

# Occurrence and Predictors of Spasticity after First-ever Stroke: A Systematic Review

Dilip Maheshwari<sup>1</sup>, Bharat Bhushan<sup>2</sup>, Vijay Sardana<sup>3</sup>, Ravi Goyal<sup>4</sup>, Prashant Shringi<sup>5</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**Aim and objective:** To review recent studies on occurrence and predictors of post-stroke spasticity (PSS) after first-ever stroke.

**Materials and methods:** A systematic search of online databases including PubMed, Elsevier, and Springer was performed for literature describing occurrences and predictors of PSS. These data were collected and analyzed.

**Results:** Nine studies on prevalence including approximately 7,756 participants and 6 studies on predictors of PSS with approximately 755 patients were analyzed. The prevalence of PSS was 7–42% in a maximum 18-month post-stroke follow-up and increased muscle tone, greater severity of paresis, sensory impairment, and low Barthel Index score were predictors of PSS.

**Conclusion:** Under 65 years of age, patients are more prone to developing PSS, mainly in upper limbs at one year. For the determination of PSS prevalence, multiple parameters of spasticity measurement with biomechanical factors are to be needed. Early advanced rehabilitation, with background knowledge of predictors of PSS, the functional ability can be improved to achieve better outcomes and quality of life.

*Indian Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* (2020); 10.5005/jp-journals-10066-0092

## INTRODUCTION

Worldwide stroke is the major burden leading to adult disability and mortality.<sup>1</sup> Morbidity after stroke is mainly due to spasticity which is a complex sensorimotor disorder defined as “impaired sensorimotor control from an upper motor neuron lesion, presenting as intermittent or sustained involuntary activation of muscles”.<sup>2</sup> Focused rehabilitation can alleviate spasticity which limits activity due to contractures and/or pain.<sup>3,4</sup> As a result, the cost of healthcare for stroke survivors with spasticity has been estimated as being four times higher than other secondary complications.<sup>5</sup>

The impact of spasticity on post-stroke recovery may not be obvious at the onset of ictus hence lacking its importance in the early phase. The upper extremity is more prone to develop post-stroke spasticity (PSS) than lower limbs.<sup>6</sup>

The frequency of spasticity in the upper limb varies from 7 to 38%<sup>7–10</sup> in the first 12 months, and was found to be 46% in patients with initial impaired arm function.<sup>11</sup> To intervene in the earlier management of PSS and yield better outcomes, it is essential to recognize and identify the predictors of spasticity to help rehabilitation professionals.<sup>12</sup>

The aim of this systematic review is therefore to investigate the occurrence of spasticity after motor stroke and to identify clinical predictors of subsequent spasticity. It would be helpful to know which factors can identify patients who are at high risk of developing severe PSS, especially during the initial admission.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Search Strategy

Up to May 2020, all eligible and relevant articles regarding prevalence and predictors of PSS were analyzed. The major online databases including PubMed, Elsevier, and Springer were searched with the limitation to publications written in English. The terms used to search were natural history, clinical course, prevalence and predictors, stroke, and spasticity. Cross-references were also searched for all initially included articles. Preferred reporting

<sup>1–5</sup>Department of Neurology, GMC Kota, Chomu, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

**Corresponding Author:** Ravi Goyal, Department of Neurology, GMC Kota, Chomu, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India, Phone: +91 9829317060, e-mail: ravigoyal2006@gmail.com

**How to cite this article:** Maheshwari D, Bhushan B, Sardana V, et al. Occurrence and Predictors of Spasticity after First-ever Stroke: A Systematic Review. *Indian J Phys Med Rehab* 2020;31(3):66–69.

**Source of support:** Nil

**Conflict of interest:** None

items for systematic reviews guidelines were strictly followed by the authors.

### Eligibility Assessment

All studies that reported relevant and extractable data were included after independent assessment. Exclusion criteria were (1) case reports and case series, letters to the editor, and conference abstracts (2) studies that reported incomplete or non-extractable data (3) non-human studies. Traumatic Intracerebral hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, cortical venous thrombosis, and recurrent stroke were also excluded. Demographic data including sample size, study type, geographic location, and prevalence were extracted. During the study selection process if any discrepancy was found then a reasonable consensus between all the reviewers was made.

## RESULTS

From the thorough search process, a total of 15 papers (9 of prevalence and 6 of predictors) were eligible for qualitative analysis. Total 7,756 participants were included in estimating post-stroke prevalence in which prevalence of PSS was found in the range of 7–42% (at onset, 3-month, 6-month, 12-month, and 18-month follow-up—21, 7–19, 42.6, 18–38, and 20% consecutively) which

summarized in Table 1. For evaluating predictors of PSS, total 755 patients were included in which development of increased muscle tone, greater severity of paresis, sensory impairment, and low Barthel Index score were predictors of PSS. These characteristics are summarized in Table 2.

**DISCUSSION**

Work-again and walk-again burden in recovering patients after stroke is mainly related to PSS; hence, in this article, we want to discuss the occurrence of PSS and its relation to various factors like age, duration of symptom onset, early intervention of advanced rehabilitation, and site of weakness.

Description of spasticity and its clinical implications are still having lack of attention. The prevalence of PSS in initial studies was approximately 60%;<sup>13</sup> however, current studies show lower rates of spasticity in 7–42% of post-stroke patients.

Three follow-up studies of a Swedish cohort<sup>7,14,15</sup> report a frequency of 18–21% in patients examined at stroke onset, 3, 12, and 18 months after stroke, while two studies from the

United Kingdom<sup>16,17</sup> report a frequency of 36–38% in patients at 1-year post-stroke. According to follow-up time period and geographical distribution showed a higher frequency of PSS in the United Kingdom population at 1 year than the Swedish cohort. One Brazilian study reported a prevalence of spasticity at the level of 25%, as assessment is done year after stroke. Among the hemiparetic patients, 26–36% exhibited spasticity. These findings are in accordance with those of O’Dwyer et al., who found electromyographically verified spasticity in 21% of hemiparetic patients assessed 13 months after stroke.<sup>18</sup>

The discrepancies between the UK, Swedish, and Brazilian studies might be related to a few important variables. Primarily, the TAS as the outcome measure was used in the two UK studies<sup>16,17</sup> for assessing muscle tone (wrist, elbow, hip, knee, ankle), while the MAS was only used at the elbow. The Swedish and Brazilian cohorts were examined using the MAS as a primary spasticity measure.

The use of different methods of assessment might be the main source of the difference in spasticity prevalence between the studies. It may also be the reason for the discrepancy between

**Table 1:** Prevalence of spasticity and characteristics of the population

Study	Numbers of patients	Etiology of stroke	Muscle tone assessment methods	Time from ictus to evaluation	Prevalence of spasticity
Watkins et al., 2002	106	Stroke (TIA and SAH excluded)	TAS, MAS	12 months	38%
Leathley et al., 2004	106	Stroke (TIA and SAH excluded)	TAS	12 months	36% 21% (severe spasticity)
Sommerfeld et al., 2004	95	First-ever stroke (SAH and cerebellar lesions excluded)	MAS	At onset (mean, 5.4 days) and 3 months	21% (initially) 19% (at 3 months)
Welmer et al., 2006	66	First-ever stroke (SAH and cerebellar lesions excluded)	MAS	18 months	20%
Lundström et al., 2008	140	First-ever stroke (cerebral infarction or intracerebral hemorrhage excluded)	MAS	12 months	18% 6% (disabling spasticity)
Moura et al., 2009	146	Ischemic stroke	MAS	12 months	25%
Urban (2010)	301	First-ever ischemic stroke	MAS	6 months	42.6%
Eyngyeomcha et al. (2016) Kosco study	6,675	First-ever stroke	MAS	3 months	7.3%
Michał J. Schinwelskie et al. (2019)	121	First-ever stroke	MAS	12 months	35%

TAS, tone assessment scale; MAS, modified ashworth scale; TIA, transient ischemic attack; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage

**Table 2:** Predictors of post-stroke spasticity

Study	Number	Time after stroke	Evaluation method	Predictors of spasticity
Wissel et al. (2010)	94	Up to 4 months	Modified Ashworth Scale (spasticity: MAS >0)	Moderate increase in muscle tone at baseline and/or first follow-up (MAS = 2), Low Barthel Index at baseline, hemispasticity, involvement of more than two joints at first follow-up, and paresis at any assessment point
Urban et al. (2010)	211	Up to 6 months	Modified Ashworth Scale (spasticity: MAS >0)	More severe paresis in the proximal and distal limb muscles had a higher risk for developing spasticity
Lundstrom et al. (2010)	49	Up to 6 months	Modified Ashworth Scale (spasticity: MAS >1)	Any paresis in affected limb more in upper limb than lower limb
Kong et al. (2011)	163	Up to 3 months	Modified Ashworth Scale (spasticity: MAS >1)	Upper limb weakness
Opheim et al. (2014)	117	Up to 12 months	MAS	Reduced sensorimotor function. Reduced sensation
Michał J. Schinwelski et al. (2019)	121	Up to 12 months	MAS	Severe disability and muscle weakness

the studies that assessed the prevalence of severe spasticity called disabling spasticity (DS).

The MAS is the most commonly used scale to assess tone in clinical practice as well as in research studies.<sup>19</sup> Recognized limitations of the scale include—it measures only one feature of spasticity, i.e., resistance to passive movement. It does not differentiate the effects of reflex hyperexcitability from those of biomechanical factors. This may explain why not all of the spastic patients had hyperreflexia and only one-third of the spastic patients experienced muscle stiffness.<sup>14</sup> National healthcare systems may also influence the final results. Easy access to rehabilitation following the acute phase of a stroke may result in a lower rate of spasticity, mainly severe or DS.

Spasticity was more frequent in younger patients (under 65 years) at 12 months,<sup>7</sup> at the onset of stroke (mean, 5.4 days), and 3 months after stroke. This observation is difficult to explain. Aging is related to the decrease in reflexes, as seen in the tendon reflexes and the tonic reflexes.<sup>20</sup> This might be a possible explanation for the differences between younger and older patients found in the presented studies. This might be influenced by an underestimation in the oldest age group (>85 years) because of the loss of those with more severe conditions.

Spasticity was more common in the upper extremity than in the lower extremities.<sup>7,14,15</sup> The severity of upper extremity spasticity was associated with the severity of impaired upper extremity voluntary movements, but this was not the case for the lower extremities. These differences between the upper and lower extremities may be explained by differences in supraspinal control as the upper limb normally functions predominantly under voluntary control whilst movements of the lower limb are influenced to a larger extent by spinal locomotor centers.<sup>21</sup> Spasticity was the most common in muscles resisting gravity, i.e., the arm flexors and leg extensors, which is in accordance with a previous report.<sup>22</sup> After reviewing several studies, we were not able to find the relation of laterality for PSS.

Evidences regarding the onset and course of spasticity during the first-year PSS are not much. To reduce the PSS knowledge about predictors to develop spasticity is essential. Although the prediction of developing PSS is likely improved using measures of early rehabilitation, the time point at which these measures should be taken is unclear. So, we are aiming to review various predictors responsible for PSS and their timing of implication to prevent spasticity.

In the study of Lundstrom et al.<sup>6</sup> who included 49 subjects with any paresis after first-ever stroke and examined at baseline (day 2–10 after stroke), and follow-up of 1 and 6 months. Smoking and younger age were associated with increased risk and they also found paresis of limb mainly severe paresis of the arm at baseline to be associated with a higher risk for spasticity ( $p < 0.001$ ) as early as 1 month after stroke.

In Urban et al.'s study of 211 patients with first-ever stroke and limb, paresis was included. They were examined in the acute phase after stroke (within 5 days) and 6 months later. A severe degree of paresis, hemihypoesthesia at stroke onset, and lower Barthel index at 6 months were more common in subjects with PSS ( $p < 0.001$ ).<sup>9</sup> Similarly, sequential follow-up at 6 days, 6 weeks, and 16 weeks after stroke Wissel and coworkers reported that the presence of hemiparesis and low Barthel index were predictors of PSS in the study of 94 patients.<sup>10</sup>

Predictors for involvement of upper limb rather than lower limb were found to be more. This is endorsed by Kong et al. in their study of 163 patients of a first-ever ischemic stroke, in which as a predictor poor upper limb function was the most important factor for “moderate to severe spasticity” ( $MAS > 2$ ) ( $p < 0.001$ ).<sup>8</sup> Another recent study included 117 patients of a first-time stroke in which upper-extremity paresis day 3 post-stroke was consecutively included.

Evaluations were made at admission and at 3 days, 10 days, 4 weeks, and 12 months post-stroke. They found that sensorimotor function was the most important predictor both for “any” and “severe spasticity” 12 months post-stroke.<sup>23</sup>

Michał J Schinwelskie et al.'s study on 121 patients also found muscle weakness as predictors of PSS; however, other studies did not emphasize muscle weakness and functional disability as a predictor for PSS.

## CONCLUSION

Available evidence support that under 65 years patients are more prone to developing PSS, mainly in upper limbs at one year. However, no sufficient evidence of laterality, type, and etiology of stroke are available for PSS. Prevalence of PSS is in the range of 7–42% in recent studies. For actual determination of PSS prevalence, a new systematic scale is needed which includes multiple parameters of spasticity measurement with biomechanical factors.

Further investigations are necessary to validate the correlation of sensory-motor impairment, associated diseases, and surrogate markers from magnetic resonance imaging like lesion load and location. Early advanced functional, virtual and robotic rehabilitation, with background knowledge of predictors of PSS, functional ability can be improved to achieve better outcomes and quality of life. However, further research is warranted for occurrence and predictors of PSS with emerging techniques.

## REFERENCES

- Hankey GJ. The global and regional burden of stroke. *Lancet Glob Health* 2013;1(5):e239–e240. DOI: 10.1016/S2214-109X(13)70095-0.
- Burridge J, Wood D, Hermens H, et al. Theoretical and methodological considerations in the measurement of spasticity. *Disabil Rehabil* 2005;27(1-2):69–80. DOI: 10.1080/09638280400014592.
- Ashford S, Fheodoroff K, Jacinto J, et al. Common goal areas in the treatment of upper limb spasticity: a multicentre analysis. *Clin Rehabil* 2016;30(6):617–622. DOI: 10.1177/0269215515593391.
- Demetriou M, Khan F, Turner-Stokes L, et al. Multidisciplinary rehabilitation following botulinum toxin and other focal intramuscular treatment for post-stroke spasticity. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013(6):CD009689. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009689.pub2.
- Zorowitz RD, Gillard PJ, Brainin M. Poststroke spasticity: sequelae and burden on stroke survivors and caregivers. *Neurology* 2013;80(3 Suppl 2):S45–S52. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182764c86.
- Lundström E, Smits A, Terént A, et al. Time-course and determinants of spasticity during the first six months following first-ever stroke. *J Rehabil Med* 2010;42(4):296–301. DOI: 10.2340/16501977-0509.
- Lundström E, Terént A, Borg J. Prevalence of disabling spasticity 1 year after first-ever stroke. *Eur J Neurol* 2008;15(6):533–539. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2008.02114.x.
- Sunnerhagen KS. Predictors of spasticity after stroke. *Curr Phys Med Rehabil Rep* 2016;4(3):182–185. DOI: 10.1007/s40141-016-0128-3.
- Urban PP, Wolf T, Uebele M, et al. Occurrence and clinical predictors of spasticity after ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2010;41(9):2016–2020. DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.581991.

10. Wissel J, Schelosky LD, Scott J, et al. Early development of spasticity following stroke: a prospective, observational trial. *J Neurol* 2010;257(7):1067–1072. DOI: 10.1007/s00415-010-5463-1.
11. Opheim A, Danielsson A, Alt Murphy M, et al. Upper-limb spasticity during the first year after stroke: stroke arm longitudinal study at the University of Gothenburg. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2014;93(10):884–896. DOI: 10.1097/PHM.0000000000000157.
12. Wissel J, Verrier M, Simpson DM, et al. Post-stroke spasticity: predictors of early development and considerations for therapeutic intervention. *PM R* 2015;7(1):60–67. DOI: 10.1016/j.pmrj.2014.08.946.
13. Cacho RO, Cacho EWA, Loureiro AB, et al. Feasibility study on pharmacoeconomics of botulinum toxin A (Botox) in spasticity following stroke. 3rd European Botulinum Toxin Symposium Abstracts 1997;1(4):4. DOI: 10.1590/1980-5918.030.004.a009.
14. Sommerfeld DK, Eek EU-B, Svensson A-K, et al. Spasticity after stroke. Its occurrence and association with motor impairments and activity limitations. *Stroke* 2004;35(1):134–139. DOI: 10.1161/01.STR.0000105386.05173.5E.
15. Welmer AK, von Arbin M, Widén Holmqvist L, et al. Spasticity and its association with functioning and health-related quality of life 18 months after stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2006;21(4):247–253. DOI: 10.1159/000091222.
16. Leathley MJ, Gregson JM, Moore AP, et al. Prevalence of spasticity post stroke. *Clin Rehabil* 2002;16(5):515–522. DOI: 10.1191/0269215502cr512oa.
17. Leathley MJ, Gregson JM, Moore AP, et al. Predicting spasticity after stroke in those surviving to 12 months. *Clin Rehabil* 2004;18(4):438–443. DOI: 10.1191/0269215504cr727oa.
18. O'Dwyer NJ, Ada L, Neilson PD. Spasticity and muscle contracture following stroke. *Brain* 1996;119(Pt 5):1737–1749. DOI: 10.1093/brain/119.5.1737.
19. Gregson JM, Leathley M, Moore AP, et al. Reliability of the tone assessment scale and the modified Ashworth scale as clinical tools for assessing poststroke spasticity. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1999;80(9):1013–1016. DOI: 10.1016/s0003-9993(99)90053-9.
20. Chung SG, Van Rey EM, Bai Z, et al. Aging-related neuromuscular changes characterized by tendon reflex system properties. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2005;86(2):318–327. DOI: 10.1016/j.apmr.2004.04.048.
21. Dietz V. Human neuronal control of automatic functional movements: interaction between central programs and afferent input. *Physiol Rev* 1992;72(1):33–69. DOI: 10.1152/physrev.1992.72.1.33.
22. Yelnik A, Albert T, Bonan I, et al. A clinical guide to assess the role of lower limb extensor overactivity in hemiplegic gait disorders. *Stroke* 1999;30(3):580–585. DOI: 10.1161/01.STR.30.3.580.
23. Opheim A, Danielsson A, Alt Murphy M, et al. Early prediction of long-term upper limb spasticity after stroke: part of the SALGOT study. *Neurology* 2015;85(10):873–880. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000001908.