Children using Day Nurseries’ Facilities can be Associated with more Risk to Nonnutritive Sucking Habits

ABSTRACT

Introduction: This study evaluated the expression of nonnutritive sucking habits and the presence of malocclusion in children using day nurseries’ facilities.

Materials and methods: The 195 children (7–40 months) attending 18 public day nurseries were evaluated clinically in Ponta Grossa, Brazil. Statistical package software was used for descriptive, univariate, bivariate, and multiple logistic regressions of the data about the socioeconomic condition, educational family status, malocclusions, and prevalence of nonnutritive sucking habits among the children.

Results: The pacifier users had a statistically significant, explanatory association with open bite [odds ratio (OR) = 10.97; 95% confidence interval (CI): 4.95, 24.31; p < 0.0001]. The children older than 25 months had more open bite than younger children (OR = 6.07; 95% CI: 2.81, 13.11; p < 0.0001). Of the children examined, 35.4% had an anterior open bite, 0.51% had posterior cross-bite, and 1.03% showed finger-sucking habits. A high frequency of pacifier-sucking habits was found (52%), with a significant association between this habit and anterior open bite (p < 0.0001, OR = 7.49; 95% CI: 3.71, 15.15). The 126 children without open bite (36.5%) were pacifier users. There was suggestive, though nonsignificant, evidence of a difference in pacifier use by gender (males, 34%; females, 46%; p = 0.07). The 69 children with open bite (81.16%) were pacifier users and (18.84%) nonusers. The boys showed a slightly greater association with open bite (OR = 21.33; 95% CI: 6.12, 74.40; p < 0.0001) than girls (OR = 5.03; 95% CI: 1.26, 20.00; p = 0.02) in the age group of 25 to 40 months; however, it was not observed in younger children.

Conclusion: Pacifier use is a predictor for open bite in children from the lower socioeconomic classes using day nurseries’ facilities.

Clinical significance: The parents, guardians, and caregivers working in public day nurseries should be advised to monitor nonnutritive sucking habits in order to avoid or minimize the occurrence of malocclusion. It demonstrates that the permanence of the children in day nurseries may be linked with deleterious oral habits, and it discusses strategies to minimize the occurrence of alterations in the normal occlusion.
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INTRODUCTION

The oral area is where the first emotional contact of humans with the world occurs through breastfeeding, the
interaction between the newborn and the mother. The first year of human life is the period known as the oral phase, during which there is a psychological development, and the mouth concentrates the functions of nutrition and important emotional perceptions. In addition to feeding, the act of sucking calms infants and provides comfort during stressful situations. During this act, all the oral structures (lips, tongue, cheeks, bones, and facial muscles) are developed and strengthened. Sucking is an inborn ability, a natural response of the species, whose basic function is feeding. However, it can be both a mechanism of the energy and tension discharge and a source of pleasure and safety. Over time, the sucking act loses its nutritive function and becomes harmful.1 The need for sucking diminishes when solid feeding is introduced, because with the eruption of the first teeth, the child is forced to retract the tongue, beginning the deglutition process without sucking. This process should be established gradually. The physiological need for sucking ceases between the ages of 9 and 12 months, while the psychological need remains for some time.

Nonnutritive sucking habits are linked to emotional and psychological states, such as moments of delight, anguish, safety, and anxiety. At first, they can contribute to establishing normal occlusion, favoring facial growth without deviation. However, when these sucking habits persist, they become deleterious. They may interfere with the development of the phonological and articulated oral structures, causing buccal alterations that can persist for a lifetime. The dummy-sucking habits have a profound influence on the anomalies in the occlusions,2 but the habits of sucking fingers, oral breathing, biting objects and lips, lingual interference, onicophagic anxiety, and bruxism are all abnormal habitual patterns, and the frequency, intensity, and duration of these habits play an important role in the development of malocclusion.

The risks and benefits of the pacifier are discussed thoroughly in the scientific literature, which reports contrasting opinions about whether or not it should be used. It is believed that incorrect pacifier use is harmful to the normal development of occlusion, increasing the risk of otitis media3 and favoring early weaning4 and atypical phonation.5 On the contrary, it is customary to offer pacifiers to newborn infants to calm them. Its use is also related to psychoemotional satisfaction during the oral phase as well as the reduction of the sudden infant death syndrome.6 It is important to stimulate breastfeeding, which provides everything an infant needs naturally, rather than reducing this practice due to early use of the pacifier. The infants that begin using a pacifier soon after birth retain this habit for 4 and 5 years, and the persistence of this habit over a long period of time correlated to factors like maternal age and education level,7 with different pacifiers8 increasing the potential for occlusion anomalies. It is believed that the removal of the pacifier before the age of 3 or 4 years favors self-correction of malocclusions, because the pattern of neuromuscular contraction has not yet been completed.9 Additionally, the pacifiers may do less harm to dentition because the pacifier habit is often dropped spontaneously between the ages of 2 to 4 years.10 On the other hand, thumb- or finger-sucking habits are more likely to persist into school-age years, causing malocclusions and sometimes requiring therapy for discontinuation. The most frequent type of malocclusion is the open bite,7,11,12 which is not always symmetrical. It may be located on the central, right, or left side, depending on the position in which the pacifier is sucked. Thumb-sucking and/or pacifier use is still a controversial and inconclusive issue, and there is some evidence that socioeconomic status and lifestyle may influence the prevalence of malocclusion.13-15 Considering the importance of this issue16 and that the social class and economic conditions remain potent discriminators of health inequalities,17-19 the aim of this study was to verify the relationship between nonnutritive habits and malocclusions in children using day nurseries’ facilities.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This cross-sectional study examined 195 children (7–40 months) of both genders attending 18 public day nurseries in Ponta Grossa, Paraná, Brazil. After approval of the research by the Institutional Review Board of the Ponta Grossa State University, the infants’ parents or legal guardians received an explanation of the purpose of the study and signed the consent form authorizing the infants’ oral exam. The infants were examined under natural light using a wooden spatula for clinical oral evaluation and observation for any obvious habits. The patient’s hands, lips, and tongue for evidence of habits were researched. Pacifier use, finger sucking, and other nonnutritive sucking habits were evaluated. The posterior crossbite and open bite were diagnosed in a centric relationship. The concept of anterior open bite with the absence of contact of the anterior teeth, while the posterior teeth are in occlusion, was used. The infants with posterior crossbite and/or open bite were recorded in a clinical report prepared for this research. A questionnaire was provided to the parents at home and at public day nurseries, and they were questioned about the nonnutritive sucking habits in the infants, which might influence the dental structures, such as finger sucking and similar hand pressures, fingernail biting, tongue habits, and lip or cheek habits. The socioeconomic/educational data included parents’ years of education, legal family relationship, and family income.
Data Analysis

The GraphPad Prism®, BioEstat®, and statistical package SAS® System software were used for descriptive, univariate, bivariate, and multiple logistic regression with statistical analysis significance at p < 0.05. The data were analyzed by multiple logistic regression, and the chi-square test for the full and partial data set with 95% confidence interval (CI) and the odds ratio (OR) of the association between nonnutritive sucking habits, malocclusions, age, gender, and monthly income was considered. The probabilistic dependence between the selected explanatory attributes and the target variable (malocclusion) was evaluated by Pearson’s chi-squared test of independence using the MASS package for R statistical software. Dependence was assumed when the p-value of this test was <0.05.

RESULTS

In our sample of 195 families, 95% had a monthly income ≤ $300, with 6% without any family income (Table 1). Thirty-nine percent of parents were divorced or separated. Ninety-one percent of the mothers and 67% of the fathers reported that they had not finished elementary school.

In a multiple logistic regression model for open bite that included pacifier use, gender, and age group as explanatory variables found that pacifier use had a statistically significant association with open bite (OR = 10.97; 95% CI: 4.95, 24.31; p < 0.0001). In this model, children older than 25 months were found to have more open bite than younger children (OR = 6.07; 95% CI: 2.81, 13.11; p < 0.0001), whereas gender was not significantly associated with open bite in this model (OR, girls vs boys = 1.21; 95% CI: 0.59, 2.48; p = 0.60).

Of the 195 children examined (Graph 1), overall 102 (52%) used pacifiers (Graphs 1 and 2) and 69 (35.4%) had an open bite (Graph 3). One female (age group 13 to 24 months) had posterior crossbite (0.51%), while 2 (age group 13 to 24 months – 1 male and 1 female) had finger-sucking habits (1.03%). We found that of the 102 children who used pacifiers, 55% had open bite compared with 14% of the 93 children who did not use pacifiers (OR = 7.49; 95% CI: 3.71, 15.15; p < 0.0001), with 81.16% of the 69 children with open bite using pacifier (Graph 3). The 126 children without open bite (36.5%) were pacifier users. Of note, pacifier use did not significantly differ by age (p = 0.28), and there was suggestive, though nonsignificant, evidence of a difference in pacifier use by gender (males, 34%; females, 46%; p = 0.07). In analyses of the data for boys and girls separately, we found that pacifier use among boys had a slightly greater association with open bite (56% for pacifier users vs 13% for nonusers; OR = 8.28; 95% CI: 3.31, 20.72; p < 0.0001) than did girls (54% for pacifier users vs 16% for nonusers; OR = 6.38; 95% CI: 2.10, 19.37; p = 0.0005) (Graph 4). This difference, however, was markedly more pronounced in the age group of children aged 25 to 40 months. Among these 107 children, pacifier use among boys (n = 68) had a slightly greater association with open bite (80% for pacifier users vs 16% for nonusers; OR = 21.33; 95% CI: 6.12, 74.40; p < 0.0001) than did girls (n = 39; 70% for pacifier users vs 31% for nonusers; OR = 5.03; 95% CI: 1.26, 20.00; p = 0.02) (Graph 5).
This large a difference was not observed, however, in similar analyses of younger children.

The results of the Pearson’s test of probabilistic independence were consistent with the observations above. The hypotheses of dependence associations between open bite and pacifier were supported by a p-value of $5.9 \times 10^{-9}$. For open bite and age, a dependence association was detected by obtaining a p-value of $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$. No significant dependencies were found between open bite and gender ($p = 0.50$).

**DISCUSSION**

Deleterious oral habits are extrinsic factors that influence the development of malocclusion.\(^{15}\) The literature shows a high frequency of pacifier-sucking habits, with percentages ranging from 50 to 80%.\(^{18-21}\) Our results are consistent with the aforementioned report since 52% of the infants examined had this habit. However, the extension and gravity of alterations may vary according to the intensity, duration, and frequency of the habit. Previous studies demonstrated that 75 and 53% of infants in age groups 13 to 24 months and 25 to 40 months respectively, suck to sleep,\(^{22}\) and 77.7% of infants in the age group 7 to 12 months use bottle-feeding to sleep.\(^{23}\) The sucking habit is associated with a higher prevalence of malocclusion in primary dentition, such as the relationship of canine teeth and molars in class II, anterior open bite, posterior cross-bite, increased overbite and overjet, increased width of the upper arch, and decreased width of the lower arch.\(^{7}\)

The data analyzed here identified a significant correlation between pacifier sucking and anterior open bite, as has already been demonstrated by several studies.\(^{8,9,24}\) Our clinical evaluation found that 81.16% of the infants that had malocclusion were pacifier users and were at a 7.49-fold higher risk of developing open bite, with boys showing a tendency for a higher frequency of malocclusions than girls according to another report.\(^{12}\)

The clinical evaluation suggests the possibility that some children could be more emotionally and psychologically dependent on their mothers, being influenced by the public day care environment and using pacifiers with more frequency to calm down and for comfort during stressful situations. Other researchers found 0 to 88% of infants with anterior open bite associated with pacifier-sucking habits.\(^{7,13,19,24,25}\) The nonnutritive sucking habit contributes to the development of anterior open bite, but is not the only cause. Growth patterns, genetic characteristics, breathing and swallowing patterns, atypical deglutition, and lingual interference can also influence the development of malocclusion. Heimer et al\(^{24}\) showed that the facial growth patterns were not associated with the etiology of anterior open bite, supporting that malocclusions may be more acquired than inherited.
In our study, 18.84% of the infants with open bite were nonpacifier users, while 36.5% without this malocclusion were pacifier users. This suggests that other etiological factors contribute to anterior open bite since only 1.03% of the infants showed the finger-sucking habit. There was suggestive, though nonsignificant, evidence of a difference in pacifier use by gender (males, 34%; females, 46%; p = 0.07), similar to the data obtained by Ogaard et al11 who found sucking habits to be more frequent among girls. The data analysis showed a uniform distribution of pacifier use in the age groups and by gender, with no significant difference found between pacifier users and nonusers. If the habit had been totally abandoned in the early months or by age 2, the 25- to 40-month age group would have shown fewer pacifier users. However, this was not observed. A longitudinal study conducted by Tornisiello Katz and Rosenblatt25 who examined 4- to 5-year-old children with the pacifier-sucking habits found that the prevalence diminished from 28 to 19%, while the anterior open bite declined from 72 to 59% after 1 year.

According to some researchers, oral habits can be influenced by social factors, such as working mothers, the introduction of bottle-feeding, time the infant spends in day nurseries (full- or part-time), and parents’ socio-economic and educational level.9,14,15,17,22,23,26,27 The infants studied here attend public day nurseries full-time because their parents have full-time work. Reinke et al 23 observed that 64.9% of infants in public day nurseries use bottles to sleep and 23.9% wake up every night for bottle-feeding. This may lead to an early interruption of maternal breastfeeding and the use of pacifiers. There is an association between pacifier use, shorter/exclusive duration of breastfeeding,21,28 and bottle-feeding.22,23 An indirect linear relationship between breastfeeding and pacifier use demonstrated that the more the infant was breast-fed the less pacifier was used.20 In another study in which most of the infants were bottle-fed between the ages of 0 and 6 months, bottle-feeding was associated with pacifier sucking.29 An important relationship is known to exist between breastfeeding and the development of nonnutritive sucking habits. Inadequate or lack of breastfeeding may give rise to compensatory attitudes favoring the development of deleterious sucking habits, such as the nonnutritive sucking of fingers and/or pacifiers.8,13,21 Tamura et al30 found changes in the total muscle activity in breast-fed infants of different ages, while the mean suckle cycle showed no significant difference. In another study,31 children with nonnutritive sucking habits were eliminated prior to evaluating the association between methods of infant feeding and occlusion, and researchers demonstrated that the children who continued bottle-feeding at 24 months of age exhibited 33.3% overbite, 22.2% anterior crossbite, while the open bite was 0%.

Self-correction of malocclusion may also occur after oral phases; hence, it is important to consider the possibility of stopping deleterious habits in the early years of life, thereby minimizing the risk of children developing occlusion abnormalities. Some researchers3,32 agree that the ideal age for a child to stop the sucking habit is around the age of 2 or 3, while other researchers believe age 3 or 4 is ideal.1,10 Children usually abandon the habit of pacifier sucking during the preschool period at about 4 to 5 years of age.25 If this habit persists past the age of 4, with maturation of the neuromuscular contraction pattern, malocclusions can be perpetuated by the establishment of abnormal function.14 Thus, to minimize malocclusion, Adair10 suggests that it is advisable for the use of pacifiers to be reduced starting at age 2 and completely discontinued by or before age 4.

In the normal development of the dental arches, the bone and muscle structures of the stomatognathic system interact harmoniously and the presence of deleterious oral habits may cause deviations in the development of occlusion, without influencing the cephalometric measurements.24 The high prevalence of pacifier use and anterior open bite found in the children of this study leads us to agree with other researchers10,20,24 that this habit merits special attention, especially when it becomes a real problem. In reality, the presence of this sucking habit is not single because Ozawa et al13 showed a prevalence of 70.7% open bite in children with 27.2% of finger and 16.8% pacifier-sucking habits, while we found just 1.03% finger-sucking habit, not one unusual sucking habit,24 and 18.84% open bite in nonpacifier users. The geographical and population characteristics are important too, for example, Retnakumari and Cyriac35 evaluated 350 children aged 12 to 36 months attending day care centers in Trivandrum, Kerala, and found that pacifier use was limited to very few children (only 5.1%), while Sriram et al26 reported that anterior spaced dentition was a common feature in the children of Chennai (73.6%) and Hyderabad (74.1%), without data about nonnutritive habits.

Preventive attitudes are simpler and more effective than corrective treatment (orthopedic and orthodontic). Additionally, specific strategies and methods like emotional and psychological support to reduce the use of pacifiers need to be tested, since there are no studies with this objective in the literature.21 Therefore, it is better to promote good practices and provide parents and professional caregivers at public day nurseries with early information about nonnutritive habits, in order to minimize the occurrence of malocclusions.23,37

In summary, the present study demonstrated that pacifier use has a strong dependence with open bite
and, therefore, can be viewed as an explanatory variable associated with open bite in children from the lower socioeconomic classes using nurseries’ facilities, indicating that the risk of development of anterior open bite is fine in pacifier users. Additionally, the data collected during this study suggest that there are other etiological factors contributing to malocclusion. More studies are necessary to improve the diagnostics of different conditions may influence the prevalence of anterior open bite in children using public day nurseries. Consequently, the parents, guardians, and caregivers working in public day nurseries should be advised to monitor nonnutritive sucking habits because the permanence of the children to day nurseries may be linked with deleterious oral habits, in order to avoid or minimize the occurrence of malocclusion. The emotional, psychological, political, geographical, socioeconomic, and educational parameters associated with different communities are examples of additional factors that can be included and recommended in future studies, especially because the children’s oral habits may be influenced by caregivers’ behavior in the day nurseries, and it needs to be investigated. Additionally, future investigations are necessary to discuss strategies in order to diminish the occurrence of alterations in normal occlusion of children using nurseries’ facilities.
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