INTRODUCTION

During the initial growing period of a child when motor coordination is not well developed, the incidence of trauma to the primary dentition is greatest.1 The highly resilient and flexible supporting structures result in luxation injuries rather than fractures of the teeth.2 These luxation injuries constitutes 62 to 73% of all injuries to the primary dentition of which intrusive and extrusive traumas are more common than other luxation injuries.3–14

Intrusive injuries account for 4 to 22% of damage to the primary teeth.3,5,11,14–21 Intrusion is defined as an apical displacement of tooth into the alveolar bone along with compression of the periodontal ligament with or without fracture of the alveolar socket.22

Coronal discoloration, obliteration of pulp canal, pulpal necrosis, internal resorption, pathological root resorption or lack of re-eruption due to ankylosis, and spontaneous re-eruption back to its original position or ectopically are the sequelae of an intruded primary tooth.14–21 Due to anatomic proximity of the developing permanent tooth germ to the primary root apex, an intruded primary tooth can cause developmental disturbances to the successor tooth.23,24

Factors, such as child’s maturity and ability to cope up with the emergency situation, presence of oral habits, and the time of exfoliation of injured tooth determine the treatment plan.25 The degree of intrusion, relation between the root apex of primary tooth and the permanent tooth, degree of development of the root of intruded tooth, signs of previous injury, number of teeth intruded, associated injuries, condition of surrounding alveolar bone, presence of caries in the affected teeth, and time elapsed since the injury also influence the treatment plan. A complete medical and dental history of trauma is essential for an accurate diagnosis of the injured tooth. In addition, treatment of an intruded primary tooth depends on thorough clinical and radiographic examination.26

Intruded primary teeth are being managed in a wide array of modalities ranging from observation for spontaneous re-eruption, surgical repositioning, or extraction.3,11,14–21,25 Though various guidelines are available for the treatment of intruded primary incisors, optimal treatment for intruded primary teeth has always been a topic of controversy among clinicians.22,26 Treatment of intrusion is usually limited to extraction due to danger underlying the permanent tooth bud or young age. The objective of this systematic review of literature is to analyze systematically the various treatment modalities and sequelae of intruded anterior primary teeth.

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this systematic review is to analyze the various treatment modalities and sequelae of intruded anterior primary teeth.

Materials and methods: Electronic search in PubMed, Cochrane, and Science Direct databases was done. Hand search was performed using the reference list of chosen articles from electronic search. Three reviewers analyzed the articles independently, assessed the quality of the studies, and derived data.

Results: Ten case series were identified from the electronic and hand search. No randomized control studies were available. In the observational studies treatment of intruded primary teeth ranged from conservative management, which includes waiting for spontaneous re-eruption as well as repositioning to invasive procedure, such as extraction.

Conclusion: Spontaneous eruption is a treatment option of intruded primary teeth in absence of damage to a permanent tooth. Surgical repositioning of intruded primary teeth has also shown as a viable alternative treatment modality. Extraction to be performed if complications develop.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

A comprehensive dental literature search was made electronically from PubMed, Cochrane Database of Systematic Review, and Science Direct up to June 2014. Later, hand searching of reference list of articles that were obtained from electronic search that compiled with inclusion and exclusion criteria was also included. Table 1 shows the key words and their combinations used in the literature search. Explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria were used to identify the relevant literature to be included in the review. Study selection was done at title, abstract, and full text screening stage by employing the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Three reviewers did the search independently and arrived at a consensus after discussion regarding the selection of articles (Flow Chart 1).

Inclusion Criteria

- Case series and cohort studies on various management techniques of intrusion of primary anterior teeth.
- Studies that evaluated the sequelae of intruded primary tooth.

Exclusion Criteria

- Articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria, and unpublished data were excluded.
- Literature in languages other than English was not considered in the review.
- We also excluded letters to editors, editorials, review articles, and commentaries; however, we did read them to identify any potential information relevant to our review.
- Articles that evaluated postextraction complications of intruded primary teeth.

Data Extraction

Data extraction was done on a specially designed data extraction form by the three authors independently. Data collection was undertaken according to the following criteria.

Participants: Country; age; radiograph taken – Yes/No; labial bone fracture – Yes/No

Intervention: Antibiotic therapy; observation with oral hygiene instructions; extraction; surgical repositioning

Outcome: Re-eruption of tooth; pulpal status; and peri-odontal status of the intruded primary tooth.

Table 1: Search terms used in PubMed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. no.</th>
<th>Search terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(“therapeutics” [MeSH Terms] OR “therapeutics” OR “therapeutic”) AND approach AND intruded AND (“tooth, deciduous” [MeSH Terms] OR (“tooth” AND “deciduous”) OR “deciduous tooth” OR (“primary” AND “tooth”) OR “primary tooth”) “therapy” OR “therapy” OR “therapy” OR “therapeutics”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>extraction AND intruded AND (“tooth, deciduous” [MeSH Terms] OR (“tooth” AND “deciduous”) OR “deciduous tooth” OR (“primary” AND “tooth”) OR (“primary tooth”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>repositioning AND intruded AND (“tooth, deciduous” [MeSH Terms] OR (“tooth” AND “deciduous”) OR “deciduous tooth” OR (“primary” AND “tooth”) OR (“primary tooth”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>pulpal AND obliteration AND intruded AND (“tooth, deciduous” [MeSH Terms] OR (“tooth” AND “deciduous”) OR “deciduous tooth” OR (“primary” AND “tooth”) OR “primary tooth”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(“dental pulp necrosis” [MeSH Terms] OR (“dental” AND “pulp” AND “necrosis”) OR “dental pulp necrosis” OR (“pulpal” AND “necrosis”) OR “pulpal necrosis”) AND intruded AND (“tooth, deciduous” [MeSH Terms] OR (“tooth” AND “deciduous”) OR “deciduous tooth” OR (“primary” AND “tooth”) OR “primary tooth”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(“ankylosis” [MeSH Terms] OR “ankylosis”) AND intruded AND (“tooth, deciduous” [MeSH Terms] OR (“tooth” AND “deciduous”) OR “deciduous tooth” OR (“primary” AND “tooth”) OR “primary tooth”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(“diagnosis” [Subheading] OR “diagnosis” OR “diagnosis” [MeSH Terms]) AND intruded AND (“tooth, deciduous” [MeSH Terms] OR (“tooth” AND “deciduous”) OR “deciduous tooth” OR (“primary” AND “tooth”) OR “primary tooth”)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Study design: Case series; observational study – both prospective and retrospective studies.

Data Synthesis

Information regarding the various treatment procedures according to the level of intrusion of primary anterior teeth and their sequela was extracted. Data regarding level of spontaneous re-eruption either complete, partial, or no re-eruption was recorded. In addition, status of teeth that were surgically repositioned, and teeth that were extracted immediately or due to late complications was recorded. Pulpal and periodontal complications of intruded primary teeth were also synthesized. They were:

- Pulpal status which included pulpal necrosis and obliteration of the canal
- Periodontal status: Periodontal breakdown; mobility; ankylosis
- Coronal discoloration either dark or yellow
- Resorption: Internal or external resorption of the intruded primary tooth.

Study Analysis

Quality Assessment and control of bias was done by three authors using the methodological checklist for prognostic studies developed by National Institute for Health and Care Excellence of United Kingdom27 (Table 2). Each study was evaluated for all the criteria and was classified to have either “yes,” “no,” or “unclear” methodology to minimize risk of bias. If a study fulfills at least five criteria as “yes,” the study is said to have high methodological quality; if the study fulfills at least three criteria as “yes,” the study is said to have moderate methodological quality. Study that fulfills two or less criteria with “yes” was classified to have low methodological quality.

RESULTS

The electronic search of PubMed, Cochrane, and Science Direct yielded 105 citations. Following deletion of repeated articles and those that did not meet inclusion criteria, 9 relevant papers were obtained. Additionally, 1 more paper was procured on screening the references of the reviews and selected articles. Therefore, a total of 10 observational studies3,11,14,16-21,25 were identified for this systematic review. All the studies were Level 4 according to levels of evidence.28

Different authors have followed different systems to classify intrusion injuries which are enlisted below.

Carvalho et al16

Total intrusion: Tooth is completely inside the gingival tissue.
Partial intrusion: Part of the tooth is inside the gingival.

Colak et al20

Mild: Less than 2 mm
Moderate: 2 to 4 mm
Severe: Greater than 4 mm.

Altun et al21

Shortening of the crown by at least one-half of its clinical size is considered as intruded.

Radiographs as a Diagnostic Tool to assess the Intruded Anterior Primary Teeth

In all the studies, intraoral periapical radiographs were taken to assess the position of intruded anterior primary teeth immediately following trauma.3,11,14,16-21,25 Only in cases of very young and uncooperative children, radiographs were not taken.

Association of Treatment and Sequelae of Intruded Anterior Primary Teeth

Three authors reviewed the sequelae of intruded primary teeth (Table 3). Ravn3 found that majority of the intruded teeth re-erupted spontaneously (80/88 teeth). Pulp canal obliteration was seen in 30 teeth and periodontal breakdown in 10 teeth as late complications. Soporowski et al11 found that treatment rendered was significantly associated with the sequelae of intruded teeth. Even though Soporowski et al11 has compared spontaneous re-erupted teeth and repositioned teeth, definite conclusions cannot be drawn as number of teeth repositioned were only 3 when compared to 21 teeth that were allowed for spontaneous re-eruption.11 Hirata et al25 observed favorable prognosis with no pathological pulpal changes, and mobility in teeth allowed for spontaneous re-eruption.

Spontaneous Re-eruption

Degree of intrusion and spontaneous re-eruption (Table 4):

In four of ten studies, it was seen that degree of intruded teeth did not have any effect on re-eruption.3,16,17,25 Ravn3 and Colak et al20 observed that completely or severely intruded teeth re-erupted within 4 to 6 months, whereas partially or mild-to-moderate intruded teeth re-erupted within 2 to 4 months. Holan and Ram17 found no significant difference with degree of intrusion.

Age of injury as a factor in spontaneous re-eruption:

Only three of the nine studies that were included for the review gave an association between the age at the time of injury and spontaneous re-eruption.17,20,21 These three studies have shown that the possibility of spontaneous
### Table 3: Treatment rendered to the anterior primary intruded teeth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. no.</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Geographic location</th>
<th>Total number of intruded teeth</th>
<th>Lost to follow-up</th>
<th>Unavailable data/not analyzed</th>
<th>Total number of intruded teeth assessed</th>
<th>Average age at trauma</th>
<th>Labial bone fracture</th>
<th>Immediate extraction</th>
<th>Allowed for spontaneous re-eruption</th>
<th>Repositioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ravn(^3)</td>
<td>Copenhagen</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Soporowski et al(^{11})</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spontaneous 21 Repositioned 3</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Borum and Andreasen(^{14})</td>
<td>Copenhagen</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1–5 years</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Carvalho et al(^{16})</td>
<td>Rio De Janeiro</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>187</td>
<td>1–4 years</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Holan and Ram(^{17})</td>
<td>Jerusalem</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>123</td>
<td>2–3 years</td>
<td>Yes 163 No 49</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gondim and Moreira Neto(^{18})</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Spinas et al(^{19})</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td>No loss</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Colak et al(^{20})</td>
<td>Belgrade</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1–6 years</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Altun et al(^{21})</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td>2–4 years</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hirata et al(^{25})</td>
<td>Hiroshima</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete 8 Partial 13</td>
<td>Spontaneous 14 Repositioned 7</td>
<td>1–4 years</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Level of re-eruption of intruded anterior primary teeth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. no.</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Total number of teeth with follow-up</th>
<th>Duration of follow-up</th>
<th>Degree of intrusion</th>
<th>Level of re-eruption</th>
<th>Teeth remained till normal exfoliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ravn</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Avg 3 years</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>90.09% (80)</td>
<td>5% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Soporowski et al11</td>
<td>Spontaneous 21</td>
<td>Avg 4.3 years</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Borum and Andreasen14</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Avg 7 years</td>
<td>22.38% (15)</td>
<td>71.64% (48)</td>
<td>5.97% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Carvalho et al16</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>Avg 8 years</td>
<td>Complete 120</td>
<td>Partial 67</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Holan and Ram17</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Avg 4.5 years</td>
<td>Complete 58</td>
<td>Partial 64</td>
<td>2% (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gondim and Moreira Neto18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Avg 8 months</td>
<td>47% (10)</td>
<td>42.5% (9)</td>
<td>10.5% (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Spinas et al19</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>63.6% (70)</td>
<td>13.6% (15)</td>
<td>22.8% (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Colak et al20</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>89.48% (85)</td>
<td>10.52% (10)</td>
<td>76.47% (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Altun et al21</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Avg 2.7 years</td>
<td>78.4% (80)</td>
<td>14.7% (15)</td>
<td>6.9% (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hirata et al25</td>
<td>Spontaneous 14</td>
<td>Avg 7 years</td>
<td>Complete 8</td>
<td>Partial 13</td>
<td>100% (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Association of labial bone fracture and spontaneous re-eruption:
Intrusion of primary teeth in some instances may be associated with alveolar fractures. Two studies evaluated the sequelae and found no significant difference.14,17

Association of oral habit and spontaneous re-eruption of intruded tooth:
Of three studies, two authors evaluated the presence of oral habits and re-eruption of intruded anterior primary teeth. In both the studies,17,25 no significant association was seen between the existence of oral habits and re-eruption.

Association of antibiotics and prognosis of intruded tooth:
Two authors17,25 had not found significant improvement in prognosis of intruded primary tooth following systemic antibiotics.

Repositioning of Intruded Anterior Primary Teeth
Only two17,25 of nine studies considered repositioning of intruded teeth as a treatment option. Hirata et al25 mentioned that fixation was done following repositioning of intruded primary teeth. However, the technique of repositioning was not clearly mentioned in both the studies.

Sequelea of Intruded Anterior Primary Teeth
All the included studies in the review (Table 5) had explored the development of late complications of the re-erupted or repositioned intruded primary teeth. However, there was an overlap of complications, such as pulpal necrosis, pulp canal obliteration, periodontal breakdown, ankylosis, coronal discoloration, and resorption developed in teeth.3,11,16-18,20,21,25 Hence, the actual number of teeth that had developed complications cannot be assessed. In four studies, it was seen that around 57% of re-erupted anterior primary intruded teeth survived without complications.11,18,20,21 Borum and Andreasen14 reported a lower percentage of teeth without complications (11.8%).

Age at time of injury and sequela developed:
Five of nine studies had shown age of injury as an influencing factor in the development of complications.11,14,17,20,21 Pulp canal necrosis was seen in an intruded teeth when the apex was completely closed at time of injury.11,14,20 Though Altun et al21 had observed pulpal necrosis in children from 1 year of age up to 4 years, maximum pulpal necrosis was seen between 3 to 4 years of age.21 Higher occurrence of pulp canal obliteration was seen when teeth had an open apex, i.e., in children below 2 and above 5 years.14,17 Incidence of external and internal resorption was seen in children younger than 2 years of age at time of injury.21 Carvalho et al16 found no significant correlation between age of intrusion and frequency of subsequent complications in the injured tooth.

Degree of intrusion and sequela of intruded anterior primary teeth:
In five of the nine observational studies, degree of intrusion was considered a factor for the sequela of intruded anterior primary teeth.1,16,17,20,25 It was seen
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. no.</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Total number of teeth with follow-up</th>
<th>Degree of intrusion</th>
<th>Pulpal complications</th>
<th>Periodontium complications</th>
<th>Coronal discoloration</th>
<th>Resorption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ravn&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Complete 80</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>37.5% (30)</td>
<td>25% (10)</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial 8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Soporowski et al&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Spontaneous 21</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>33.3% (7)</td>
<td>9.5% (2)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Repositioned 3</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>33.3% (1)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.3% (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Borum and Andreasen&lt;sup&gt;14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>37.6% (32)</td>
<td>41.1% (35)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>2.4% (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Carvalho et al&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>Complete 120</td>
<td>78.9% (101)</td>
<td>0.87% (1)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>3.1% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial 67</td>
<td>23.6% (24)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Holan and Ram&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Complete 58</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>64% (33)</td>
<td>37% (15)</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial 64</td>
<td>40% (24)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>63% (25)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown 1</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>23% (5)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>Bone loss 19% (4)</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gondim and Moreira Neto&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>23% (5)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>Bone loss 19% (4)</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Spians et al&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Colak et al&lt;sup&gt;20&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>8.82% (9)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Altun et al&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>31.4% (32)</td>
<td>0.98% (1)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>1.96% (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hirata et al&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Spontaneous 14</td>
<td>Complete 8</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>36% (5)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>7.14% (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Repositioned 7</td>
<td>Partial 13</td>
<td>43% (3)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Extraction of Intruded Anterior Primary Teeth

Extraction of intruded primary teeth was done either immediately or following development of complications. Teeth considered for immediate extraction were those that were pushed against permanent tooth bud, excessive mobility, severe caries lesion, or associated with bone fractures. Only 65 of 341 spontaneously re-erupted teeth in the four studies that have been extracted due to development of late complications like periodontal breakdown, pathological root resorption, and caries. Hirata et al. had reported extraction of repositioned tooth due to development of abscess.

Variation in Re-eruption

Less than one-fifth of intruded primary teeth do not re-erupt completely. This is due to higher resiliency of alveolar bone surrounding the primary teeth, which protects the periodontal ligament against local damage. Though the reasons remain obscure, fracture of alveolar bone hinders re-eruption as it could lead to scraping of root surface by bone fragment, or tooth gets locked in bone fracture increasing the risk of ankylosis. Lack of re-eruption can also occur due to partial replacement of dentin pulp complex by functional periodontal liga-ment. Presence of oral habit has no major influence on
re-eruption of intruded primary teeth.17,25 Ectopic re-
eruption is more often seen in children injured between 24 and 36 months of age, and no significant relation exists between ectopic re-eruption and labial bone fracture.17 However, this should not defer the clinician from retaining the primary tooth as in such situations the tooth would only be present in infraocclusion. Later during eruption of permanent incisor a path can be paved by careful extraction of primary tooth.19 Hence, allowing an intruded primary tooth for spontaneous re-eruption can be a recommended treatment modality.

Surgical Repositioning

As spontaneous re-eruption occurs over a period of time and there occurs existence of suspicion, as an alternative treatment modality, surgical repositioning has been suggested in the literature.1 Two case reports14,35 have shown that immediate surgical repositioning of intruded teeth have shown good prognosis for over an year without development of complications. Among the relevant articles in the present review, two papers have evaluated both surgical repositioning and spontaneous re-eruption. Though with a few case series we cannot assess the benefits of surgical repositioning, it can be done in a situation when there is no impact of primary root on permanent tooth bud, when there is moderate-to-severe intrusion, when the child is cooperative, and when both child and parent can be motivated to maintain oral hygiene.35

Sequelea of re-erupted or repositioned Intruded Primary Anterior Teeth

Pulpal Necrosis

Pulpal necrosis is the most common consequence of intrusive injuries in all type of intrusion.3,11,14,16,18,21,25 Age of children at the time of injury seemed to be a significant factor in the development of pulp necrosis.14,21 Children with severely intruded teeth and those injured in 2 to 3 years old exhibited more pulp necrosis which is essentially due to mature root apex.20 Children lesser than 2 years and greater than 5 years old showed a sequela-free survival post injury due to the presence of open apex with high vascular supply and shorter pulp.11 Soporowski et al13 had observed that in a repositioned intruded tooth the occurrence of pulp necrosis is lesser than spontaneously re-erupted teeth. This is attributed to the fact that repositioning an intruded primary tooth moves the tooth outward, relieving apical compression, lessening the likelihood of ischemia, and allowing for possible reanastomosis. On the contrary, Hirata et al25 had observed pulpal necrosis in 43% of repositioned intruded tooth unlike in spontaneously re-erupted teeth. It is attributed to either thumb-sucking or pacifier-sucking habit resulting in unnecessary movement of repositioned tooth.

Pulp Canal Obliteration

Pulp canal obliteration is seen in a maximum of 40% of teeth,11,14,21,25 and it is seen more in children below 2 years and above 3 years unlike pulpal necrosis which occurs between 2 and 3 years.11,20 At these ages, the apex may be open, allowing revascularization of the pulp and apposition of calcified deposits. Presence of pulp canal obliteration does not affect the physiologic resorption. Hence, usually does not call for intervention.14 But in cases of development of pathological signs endodontic treatment or extraction might be required.

Coronal Discoloration

Coronal discoloration of a re-erupted primary tooth is yet another common sequelae which causes controversy in treatment plan.16,17,20 Discoloration of a re-erupted intruded primary tooth alone is not a reliable indicator of health of pulp. Borum and Andreasen argued that pulpal necrosis cannot be diagnosed solely by discoloration since they observed that 25% of permanently discolored teeth remained without pathological symptoms, and 25% of teeth without color changes showed pulpal necrosis during the follow-up period. Hence endodontic treatment may be unnecessary as there is less than 50% of chance that discolored teeth will become infected and require either pulpectomy or extraction. Furthermore, there is no damage to permanent successors as long as pathoses are restricted to pulpal cavity.36

Periodontal Complications

Periodontal Complications During intrusion of teeth, rupture of attachment is unavoidable, leading to easy accessibility of oral bacteria to injured tissues. However, only few intruded teeth have been reported with periodontal complications.3,18 It can be attributed to higher resilience of alveolar bone surrounding the primary teeth, which protects them against damage to periodontal ligament.14 Antibiotics at initial visit can reduce the number of extraction of intruded teeth, but no definite guidelines exist for the administration of antibiotics.37 On the contrary, maintenance of oral hygiene and cleansing of gingival crevice surrounding the affected teeth with chlorhexidine preparation can cause reduction of oral bacteria.3,17
Resorption

There is no discrete data available from the reviewed studies regarding different types of resorption. Holan\textsuperscript{36} had suggested in cases of internal resorption endodontic treatment can save the tooth. Judicious use of antibiotics, such as penicillin or erythromycin also influences the health of periodontium of intruded tooth to a great extent.\textsuperscript{37} Therefore, it is understood that there is a need for constant monitoring at regular intervals so as to intervene as early as possible to prevent loss of tooth.\textsuperscript{19}

Extraction

Immediate extraction of intruded tooth is indicated when there is a palatal displacement of the primary tooth, leading to damage of the succedaneous tooth bud. Ravn\textsuperscript{23} had observed that 52% of the intruded teeth that had been left for spontaneous re-eruption showed developmental disorders, whereas 72% of teeth presented with structural disorders on permanent tooth when treatment was extraction. Torriani et al\textsuperscript{38} concluded from their study on dogs that the morphological changes in a permanent tooth is an immediate effect to trauma during intrusion than as a sequela to trauma. However, Thylstrup and Andreasen\textsuperscript{39} had observed no histologic or morphologic differences in the primary teeth that were immediately extracted or left to passively re-erupt. The metaplastic changes in reduced enamel epithelium of permanent tooth bud following injury by an intruded primary incisor are less severe when the tooth is extracted immediately than when the tooth is left in place.\textsuperscript{40} Though animal studies support the view of retaining an intruded primary tooth even if it interferes with permanent tooth bud, human clinical trials are essential to arrive at a conclusive treatment plan. This emphasizes the need for careful manipulation of tissues during extraction. A re-erupted or repositioned intruded tooth calls for extraction only when there are pathological changes that may cause alteration in permanent tooth bud.\textsuperscript{19}

In order to examine the effectiveness of management of intruded primary teeth, an ideal situation would be the analysis of randomized clinical trials (RCTs) where groups of patients have been allocated for extraction, observation, and surgical repositioning. In the interest of the patient, it is often not an ethical practice to randomly assign treatment intervention. In situations where RCTs are not feasible, observational studies are the only source of valuable information to literature. Hence, only cross-sectional studies including both retrospective and prospective studies have been included in the systematic review. Literature in languages other than English and those in other databases were not included for the review, which could have resulted in omission of studies relevant to the review.

The limitation of these observational studies is that the children examined and treated by various clinicians may subject to variation in diagnosis and treatment, rendering to intruded anterior primary tooth, and not based on a standardized established protocol. Inclusion of retrospective studies in this systematic review is a limitation as standardized data collection forms were not used across the studies considered for review. Insufficient sample size in the case series could have also influenced the interpretation of the outcome of the study. This could introduce a potential bias among the included studies. In addition, the time of diagnosis of different complication is dependent on the recall interval followed by different authors. Furthermore, few complications could have occurred between last follow-up and exfoliation of tooth. A further limitation is that only maxillary intruded primary teeth have been evaluated in all studies, thereby forcing to make an influence on treatment for other teeth. Various classifications of intrusion have been followed in different studies.\textsuperscript{16,20,21} These could have led the authors of the systematic review interpret the degree of intrusion and management of intruded primary tooth in a nonconclusive manner.

Implication for Research

A trial with a large number of participants will facilitate in arriving at an accurate decision of the management of intruded primary tooth. As the incidence of intrusion is low, an intrinsic difficulty in conducting a research lies in the sample size.

CONCLUSION

The successful treatment is influenced by the age of the child, severity and direction of intrusion, presence or absence of caries, fracture of alveolar bone, and time lapse between trauma and dental care. Based on the evaluated studies, it can be concluded that all intruded anterior primary teeth can be allowed for spontaneous re-eruption in absence of evidence of injury to permanent tooth bud. Surgical repositioning is an alternate treatment option when the root is buccally displaced and both parent and child are motivated in maintaining a good oral hygiene. In addition, endodontic treatment can be performed when pulpal or periodontal complications develop leading to symptoms like pain, abscess, and pus drainage. Immediate extraction of intruded primary tooth as a treatment option, only when there is permanent tooth injury, needs further research.
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