Single vs Two-session Root Canal Treatment: A Preliminary Randomized Clinical Study using Cone Beam Computed Tomography


ABSTRACT

Aims: To compare root canal treatment of teeth with apical periodontitis (AP) in a single or two visits, using cone beam computed tomography (CBCT).

Materials and methods: Twenty-six pairs of teeth (13 patients) were assigned to two groups: Group single-session (GSS): Instrumentation and root canal filling in the same session; and group two-session (GTS): A calcium hydroxide intracanal medication (Calen® paste) was used for 14 days between two sessions. Cone beam computed tomography scans were obtained before and 12 months after treatment. The pre- and postoperative volume of the AP lesions was measured in mm³. The percentages of volume reduction was compared using Student’s t-test and the existence of significant difference between the groups for cases with ≤ 50% or > 50% lesion volume reduction was assessed by chi-squared test ratio likelihood test (α = 5%).

Results: The volume reduction was greater in GTS (79.25%) than GSS (68.35%), though without significant difference (p > 0.05). After 12 months, complete repair was not observed in any specimen. Lesion volume reduction > 50% was significantly greater with the use of an intracanal medication (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: Twelve months posttreatment, CBCT did not show complete repair in any of the teeth, suggesting that this follow-up period is not sufficient for complete lesion regression. In both groups, similar AP lesion volume reduction was observed after 12 months, with a more advanced repair (>50% volume reduction) in the teeth medicated with calcium hydroxide intracanal dressing.

Clinical significance: Calcium hydroxide intracanal dressing provided clinical evidence to indicate its use in teeth with apical periodontitis prior to definitive root canal filling.
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INTRODUCTION

Periapical radiography (PAR) remains the most popular imaging method for endodontic diagnosis and treatment, but limitations arise from the fact that PAR image corresponds to a two-dimensional (2D) aspect of a three-dimensional (3D) structure and superimposition of structures occurs in multiple planes. Small pathologic apical bone losses are usually not detected on PAR and the size of the radiolucencies may underrepresent the true dimensions of the lesions. Several studies have referred to cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) as a more accurate imaging resource than PAR for the early detection of apical periodontitis (AP) lesions, even for those still confined within the cancellous bone. It has been reported that CBCT has approximately 40% greater capacity to reveal the number of roots associated with chronic AP lesions than PAR, indicating that the
tomographic imaging technique is consistently more sensitive for the detection of AP lesions.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^13\)\(^,\)\(^14\) Experimentally, the root canal treatment of teeth with chronic AP lesion has been performed in two or more sessions in order to control root canal system infection,\(^15\)\(^,\)\(^16\) using a calcium hydroxide (CH)-based intracanal medication between visits.\(^17\)\(^-\)\(^19\) While clinical studies in humans have demonstrated the efficacy of using a CH interappointment intracanal dressing,\(^19\)\(^,\)\(^20\) others have not found a higher success rate in medicating teeth with AP with CH in a multiple-visit root canal treatment protocol compared with single-visit treatment.\(^21\)\(^,\)\(^22\) Systematic reviews with meta-analysis were also inconclusive.\(^23\)\(^-\)\(^26\) However, the postendodontic treatment success of these treatment modalities has been evaluated based on PAR. Due to the reportedly low sensitivity of PAR,\(^6\) it is possible that the use of high-resolution imaging techniques, such as, CBCT, could provide more accurate results.

Adherence to the “as low as reasonably achievable” (ALARA) concept is strongly recommended when selecting the appropriate imaging technique for each situation, which means that every radiation exposure should be justified clinically, and principles should be followed to minimize patient exposure to ionizing radiation while maximizing the diagnostic benefit.\(^27\) Although CBCT scanning of AP lesions is not indicated as a routine preoperative procedure in endodontic clinic, this imaging resource has been used in endodontic research as a diagnostic tool to provide a more reliable observation of the AP status in order to substantiate the choice for the most adequate root canal treatment strategies.\(^12\) According to European Society of Endodontontology (2014),\(^28\) CBCT with a limited field of view (FOV) may be considered for the diagnosis of nonspecific signs and/or symptoms of AP pathosis, assessment and/or management of complex dentoalveolar trauma, appreciation of extremely complex root canal systems prior to endodontic management or nonsurgical endodontic retreatment, treatment planning purposes and assessment, and/or management of root resorption.

The aim of this study was to conduct a comparative evaluation after root canal treatment of permanent teeth with chronic AP lesion completed in a single session or in two sessions with 14-day CH interappointment intracanal medication, using CBCT imaging.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This randomized clinical study had the duration of 1 year and was carried out after approval from the Ethics committee of CEUMA University, São Luis, Maranhão, Brazil (29.058/2013). Research was conducted in full accordance with ethical principles, including the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients (≥18 and <60 years old) treated at the Endodontics Clinic of CEUMA University were invited to participate if they had two permanent mature single-rooted teeth (maxillary and mandibular incisors and canines, maxillary second premolars, or mandibular premolars) with confirmed diagnosis of pulp necrosis due to caries lesion and radiographically visible AP lesion detected on radiographic examination; no previous root canal treatment; and sufficient coronal structure for rubber dam isolation and placement of a direct restoration. Patients who used antibiotics or antimicrobial agents within 6 months prior to the study, smokers, pregnant women, diabetics, immunosuppressed patients, and those who underwent radiation therapy, or had severe periodontal disease, or periodontal pocket in the tooth to be treated were excluded from the study. Sample size calculation was performed based on the results of a previous study from our group\(^29\) with 80% power and revealed a number of 13 teeth per group. We conducted this study with 14 patients aged 18 to 58 years old, who fulfilled the inclusion/exclusion criteria were enrolled in the study, and 28 teeth were examined clinically and radiographically.

Operative Procedures

The same operator with 10 years of clinical experience carried out all endodontic procedures. After coronal opening, immediate and progressive neutralization of the septic/toxic root canal content was performed pressureless in a coronoapical direction with a K-file (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) alternating irrigation with 5.25% NaOCl and aspiration. After apical debridement, the root canal length measurement was determined 1 mm short of the apex using Root ZX II J. Morita, Kyoto, Japan and the canals were instrumented using ProTaper Universal\(^\text{®}\) System (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) (SX, S1, and S2 shaping files and F1, F2, F3, F4, and F5 finishing files). In large canals, instrumentation was complemented with size 60, 70, and 80 hand K-files (Dentsply Maillefer), according to canal diameter. At each change of file during instrumentation, the canals were irrigated with 5.25% NaOCl. At the end of instrumentation, the canals were filled with 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid for 3 minutes under manual agitation using a K-file to remove the smear layer, flushed with 5.25% NaOCl, and dried with absorbent paper points.

The teeth were randomly assigned to two groups (n = 14/group) by simple random sampling (draw), in such a way that each patient received both root canal treatment modalities: Group single-session (GSS) and group two-session (GTS). In GSS, the canals were filled by lateral condensation of gutta-percha and an
epoxy resin-based sealer (AH-Plus, Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland), a glass ionomer cement base was prepared onto the canal entrance, and the coronal access was restored with composite resin. In GTS, the canals were filled with a commercial CH-based paste (Calen®, SS White Artigos Dentários Ltda., Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil), a cotton pellet was placed in the pulp chamber, and the coronal access received a temporary glass ionomer cement restoration. The intracanal medication was removed by means of irrigation with sodium hypochlorite and manual K-file after 14 days and the definitive root canal filling and restoration were performed as described for GSS.

### Cone beam Computed Tomography Imaging

In both groups, CBCT scans were obtained at two moments: (1) Before endodontic treatment and (2) 12 months after treatment. The teeth were scanned pre- and postoperatively using the i-CAT Next Generation device (Imaging Sciences International, Hatfield, PA, USA) set with the following parameters: 8 cm diameter × 8 cm high scan dimensions, 0.2 mm voxel size, and 8.9 seconds scan time. Cone beam computed tomography imaging was made with 0.2 mm slice thickness in three planes (axial, coronal, and sagittal). After three-dimensional reconstruction with iCATVision 1.9.3 software, the images (DICOM format) were exported to Amira software (v.5.3.3, Visage Imaging Inc., Carlsbad, Canada) and the AP lesion volume was calculated in mm³ using the segmentation procedure. Using the blow tool (Gaussian 1, tolerance 35), the lesion was outlined in the axial, coronal, and sagittal planes (Fig. 1) for each slice and then the volume was automatically calculated using the Measure/Material Statistics tool. The volume of each lesion was measured twice with a 2-week interval between the 1st and 2nd measurements and a mean value was obtained. An experienced, blind, calibrated (intraclass correlation (ICC) = 0.982) radiologist evaluated the pre- and postoperative volumes of the PA lesions.

### Statistical Analysis

The groups, GSS and GTS, were compared to evaluate the volumetric images of AP (primary outcome), considering two criteria: (1) Variation in PA lesion volume after root canal treatment. The percentage of tomographic AP lesion volume reduction (%LVR) was obtained from the difference between the preoperative and postoperative volumes; (2) %LVR results were dichotomized in two intervals: 0 to ≤ 50 and > 50%.

As secondary outcomes, intraoral clinical examination was performed for the presence of defective restorations, fistulas, or pain on mastication, 12 months after root canal treatment.

One patient was lost to follow-up, resulting in the loss of two teeth (7.1% loss, approximately) and reducing the sample size in the postoperative evaluation to 26 teeth (n = 13/group). The flow chart of the study design is shown in Flow Chart 1. Among the 13 patients, 4 were men and 9 were women, with mean age of 36.92 (±12.35) years. The GSS comprised 12 maxillary teeth and 1 mandibular tooth, while the GTS had 10 maxillary and 3 mandibular teeth (Table 1). No restoration failure (fractures, gaps, infiltrations, or secondary caries), fistula, or pain on mastication was observed in the 12-month postoperative intraoral clinical examination.

The mean preoperative tomographic volumes of the AP lesions were respectively, 73.47 mm³ (11.19–182.48 mm³) in GSS and 65.94 mm³ (8.27–238.01 mm³), with no statistically significant difference (p > 0.05). The mean postoperative (12 months) tomographic volumes of the AP lesions were respectively, 27.73 mm³ (1.07–101.16 mm³) in GSS and 12.84 mm³ (0.11–29.53 mm³) in GTS. The mean %LVR after 12 months was 68.35 and 79.25% in GSS and GTS respectively, with no significant difference (p > 0.05), although the mean %LVR in GTS was 10.9% greater than in GSS and data distribution in GTS was closer to the mean.
(standard deviation = ± 13.04) than in GSS (standard deviation = ± 23.91). The tomographic results showed absence of complete AP repair posttreatment in both groups.

Flow Chart 1 presents the distribution of the teeth in the groups according to the preoperative tomographic lesion volumes and %LVR after root canal treatment. Considering that the preoperative lesion volume varied from 8.27 to 238.01 mm³, the volumes were grouped in 50 mm³ intervals from 0 to 250 mm³. The %LVR results were grouped in 20% intervals, from 0 to 100%. The analysis of data shows that 60 to 100% volume reduction occurred in 84.6% of the teeth filled in two sessions and in 61.6% of the teeth filled in a single session (Table 2).

The results of AP-LVR dichotomized in two intervals (≤50 and > 50%) showed > 50% LVR in all of GTS and only teeth of GSS presented lesions with ≤50% LVR (n = 4 teeth) (Graph 1). Therefore, dichotomization of %LVR data in two intervals showed a statistically significant difference between the groups (p = 0.012). The chi-squared likelihood ratio test revealed an association between the treatment modality (single session or two sessions) and the mean %LVR. Cramer’s V was 0.426, which indicates a strong association and means that approximately 18% (obtained from 0.426 squared) of AP-LVR could be explained by the choice for one of the two treatment modalities.

### DISCUSSION

In this randomized clinical study, CBCT was used during follow-up of root canal treatment in human teeth with pulp necrosis and apical periodontitis in a single session or using a CH intracanal dressing between sessions. No previous study has used CBCT imaging to compare the repair after single- or multivisit root canal treatment. Because CBCT provides a higher diagnostic accuracy and more reliable volume measurements of AP lesions,\(^6,10-13\) we investigated the effects of single- vs two-session root canal therapy on the outcome by means of CBCT as the most accurate tool for this purpose. Other studies performed similar evaluations, but using PAR as the evaluation method.\(^20-22,30\)

---

**Table 1:** Sample size distribution according to the type and number of teeth assigned to the groups GSS (single-session) and GTS (two-session)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dental arch</th>
<th>Dental type</th>
<th>Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper jaw</td>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral incisor</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canine</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Second premolar</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower jaw</td>
<td>Central incisor</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First premolar</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Second premolar</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Graph 1:** Boxplot of the percentage of tomographic AP-LVR 12 months after single-session root canal treatment (GSS) or two sessions with a calcium hydroxide intracanal dressing (GTS)
Only teeth with radiographically visible chronic AP lesions were selected for this study but the preoperative volume of the lesions was not used as an inclusion criterion in order to avoid hindering baseline standardization, which is a problem, i.e., is inherent to randomized clinical studies with a small number of samples. However, despite the difference of the initial mean tomographic volumes among the groups, the absence of statistically significant difference demonstrates that the baseline was adjusted.

The present study considered %LVR 1 year after completion of the root canal treatment, although it has been suggested that evaluation of treatment success should be made after 4 or 5 years.\(^3\) Notwithstanding, possible dropouts and the difficulty of following patients for long periods have led most professionals to establish a 1-year follow-up period.\(^22,31,32\) In this study, 73.8% LVR was observed after 12 months for both groups (single session and two sessions with intracanal medicament), but no case presented complete AP lesion repair, which suggests that this follow-up period could be insufficient. Previously, it has been reported that after 5 years, the success outcome of endodontic treatment in teeth with vital pulps varied with each radiographic method, from 94.3% for PAR to 81.3% for CBCT.\(^33\)

It is well known that AP lesion volume measurements by CBCT imaging rely on the criteria employed for definition of areas with similar radiolucency values. Therefore, the CBCT scans of the AP lesions were analyzed using Amira software, which has a high measuring reproducibility.\(^9\) In addition, similar methodology has been described in previous studies.\(^18,32,34\)

Although no case of complete AP repair was observed within 1 year of follow-up, all cases presented reduction in lesion size. Differently from these results, Metska et al.\(^32\) found lesion size reduction in 57% of the cases, but these authors evaluated only teeth subjected to root canal retreatment performed by different postgraduate dental students and not by a single, experienced endodontist as in the present study.

In a recent study in human patients\(^34\) that compared the changes in AP lesion size, 10 to 37 months after root canal treatment using CBCT imaging, 77.5% of the cases presented lesion reduction or absence in the follow-up period. However, it should be mentioned that retreatment cases were included and the authors considered “lesion reduction” only in the cases that achieved over 20% reduction.

Although in the present study no statistically significant difference was observed between GTS and GSS with respect to the mean %LVR, a 10.9% greater reduction occurred in the teeth medicated with CH and filled in a second session (group GTS). Evaluation of the numerical values suggests that more patients benefited from higher percentages of AP lesion repair when an interappointment intracanal dressing was used. It should also be emphasized that the reduction values were more uniform (lower standard deviation) in GTS, showing a more predictable %LVR. This was corroborated by the fact that 84.6% of GTS cases had >60% LVR, while only 61.6% of GSS cases reached the same reduction rate. However, when the values were dichotomized in 50% intervals, a significant difference (p = 0.012) was observed between the groups, indicating that there is greater chances of reaching >50% LVR when teeth with chronic apical periodontitis receive a CH intracanal dressing for 14 days before definitive root canal filling.

During the root canal treatment of teeth with pulp necrosis and apical periodontitis, successful outcome determination might be detrimental depending on the method used for evaluation. From the discussion above, clinical verification of treatment efficacy should be performed by tomographic evaluation or histopathology in animal research studies. After this preliminary evaluation, successful treatment techniques should be the ones to be chosen for clinical practice. Histopathological studies have shown that a successful root canal treatment performed

---

### Table 2: Distribution of the teeth in the groups, GSS (single-session) and GTS (two-session), according to the percentages of AP lesion volume reduction (%LVR) recorded after root canal treatment considering the preoperative tomographic lesion volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root canal treatment</th>
<th>Preoperative lesion volume (mm(^3))</th>
<th>20–40% LVR</th>
<th>41–60% LVR</th>
<th>61–80% LVR</th>
<th>81–100% LVR</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-session</td>
<td>≤ 50</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 (33.3)</td>
<td>3 (50.0)</td>
<td>6 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 50/≤ 100</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
<td>4 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 100/≤ 150</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>4 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 150/≤ 200</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 (100.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
<td>3 (23.0)</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
<td>6 (46.2)</td>
<td>13 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-sessions</td>
<td>≤ 50</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5 (83.3)</td>
<td>6 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 50/≤ 100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (20.0)</td>
<td>4 (80.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 100/≤ 150</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 150/≤ 200</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 200/≤ 250</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
<td>4 (30.8)</td>
<td>7 (53.8)</td>
<td>13 (100.0)</td>
<td>13 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The preoperative tomographic lesion volumes were grouped in 50 mm\(^3\).*
with CH interappointment intracanal dressing achieves better outcomes than a single-session treatment.\textsuperscript{8,15} In a study with animals,\textsuperscript{8} evaluation of post root canal treatment AP repair by CBCT imaging provided results similar to those obtained by histopathological analysis (gold standard), while the radiographic analysis underestimated the detection of AP. The lack of clinical studies in patients comparing the AP response after single-session root canal treatment or the use of intracanal dressing between sessions, by tomographic evaluation, hinders comparisons of the results of the present study.

CONCLUSION

Cone beam computed tomography imaging made 12 months posttreatment did not show complete repair in any of the teeth, suggesting that this follow-up period is not sufficient for the occurrence of complete lesion regression. In both groups, similar AP-LVR was observed after 12 months, with a more advanced AP lesion reduction (> 50%) in the teeth medicated with CH intracanal dressing for 14 days.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors would like to thank FAPEMA (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Maranhão) for financial support (process APP-UNIVERSAL # 00865/13).

REFERENCES


