ABSTRACT

Aim: The purpose of this in vitro study was to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of 2% chlorhexidine gel (CHX) as auxiliary chemical substance and intracanal medications on Candida albicans, Enterococcus faecalis, Escherichia coli, and their endotoxins in the root canals.

Materials and methods: The study was conducted on 48 single-rooted human teeth divided into four groups (n = 12), according to intracanal medications used: (1) Calcium hydroxide + apyrogenic saline solution (Ca(OH)2 + SS), (2) 20% ginger glycolic extract (GEN), (3) calcium hydroxide + 20% ginger glycolic extract (Ca(OH)2 + GEN), (4) apyrogenic SS (control). Collections were made from the root canal content before preparation (baseline–S1), immediately after instrumentation (S2), 7 days after instrumentation (S3), after 14 days the action of intracanal medication (S4), and 7 days after removal of the intracanal medication (S5). The antimicrobial activity and endotoxin content were analyzed for all collections. The results were statistically analyzed by the Kruskal–Wallis and Dunn tests at a significance level of 5%.

Results: After instrumentation with CHX, there was complete elimination of E. coli and C. albicans, except for E. faecalis, which was significantly reduced and then completely eliminated after intracanal medication. There was significant reduction of endotoxin after instrumentation. Comparison of collection after instrumentation and intracanal medication revealed reduction of endotoxins in all groups; this reduction was greater in group Ca(OH)2 followed by the group GEN.

Conclusion: It was concluded that the instrumentation using CHX and intracanal medication used were able to eliminate the microorganisms from the root canal; the endotoxins were reduced, yet not completely eliminated.

Clinical significance: This study is important and relevant for searching alternatives during endodontic therapy, since it aims to study the effect of Zingiber officinale on microorganisms and endotoxins present in root canals.
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INTRODUCTION

Root canal cleaning and disinfection are fundamental steps for the success of endodontic treatment, and cleaning is performed simultaneously with instrumentation. This, in turn, consists of root canal instrumentation associated with auxiliary chemicals, which are essential for the elimination of microorganisms, mainly responsible for the appearance of pulp and periapical lesions. Hundreds of species of microorganisms have been isolated and identified in infected root canals, including yeasts. Enterococcus faecalis has been isolated in root canals, especially in cases of persistent infections. Infected root canals also exhibit large quantities of Gram-negative...
bacteria, which have endotoxins on the cell wall that are lipopolysaccharide complexes (LPSs) with powerful cytotoxic action. This LPS released during cell duplication or depth represents an important virulence factor of these bacteria. Thus, for the success of endodontic therapy, its neutralization is important to assure the treatment success.\(^7\)

Chlorhexidine has been used as auxiliary chemical due to its wide antimicrobial spectrum,\(^8\) substantivity,\(^8-10\) and relative lack of cytotoxicity.\(^11\) However, even after instrumentation, bacteria and endotoxins may remain in the dentinal tubules, and intracanal medication is used to complement the antimicrobial action of instrumentation.\(^12\)

Calcium hydroxide is the most used intracanal medication, either pure or associated with other drugs.\(^9\)\(^12\)\(^14\) However, in the last years, there has been increasing interest in the utilization of natural substances in different biological areas as an alternative treatment method, due to the possibility of fewer toxic effects to the organism and less aggression to the environment.

Due to its healing, anti-inflammatory, and antimicrobial action, *Zingiber officinale* has been used pure or associated with other substances, in cooking and medical areas as dentistry, though there are few related studies. Grégio et al\(^15\) evaluated the glycolic and alcoholic extracts of *Z. officinale* on *Streptococcus mutans*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Candida albicans* and observed that both glycolic and alcoholic extracts presented relevant antimicrobial and antifungal activity for dentistry, possibly contributing to the treatment of diseases caused by these microorganisms present in the oral cavity.

The properties of *Z. officinale* suggest that it may have clinical applicability, as root canal irrigant or even as intracanal medication. Thus, this *in vitro* study evaluated the action of root canal preparation using 2% chlorhexidine gel, followed by intracanal medication with calcium hydroxide, glycolic *Z. officinale* extract or their association, on microorganisms and endotoxins.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation and Contamination of Specimens**

The study was conducted on 48 recently extracted single-rooted human teeth, which were cleaned after extraction and had their crowns sectioned with carbide rundum disk, standardizing the length of specimens in 16 ± 0.5 mm, and immersed in saline solution (SS) until utilization. Initial root canal instrumentation was performed throughout their extent, from the anatomical diameter up to Kerr file n.30 (Dentsply Ind Com Ltda., Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil). The root canals were irrigated with SS (Laboratório Sanobiol, Pouso Alegre, MG, Brazil) at each change of instrument. After instrumentation, the root canals were irrigated with 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA; Inodon, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil) for 3 minutes, followed by final irrigation with 10 ml of SS. The external apical region of the roots was externally impermeabilized with epoxy adhesive (Brascola, São Paulo, Brazil), except for the cervical opening. The 48 roots were randomly divided in cell culture plates with 24 wells (TPP, Switzerland) and fixated with chemically cured acrylic resin (Dencor Artigos Odontológicos Clássico, São Paulo, Brazil). The plates were covered, wrapped, and sterilized with the materials employed by Cobalt-60 gamma irradiation (20 KGY for 6 hours). The microorganisms *C. albicans* (ATCC 18804), *E. faecalis* (ATCC 29212), and *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) were used. In sterile environment (laminar flow chamber), the root canals were contaminated with 10 μl of suspension of *E. coli* and 10 μl of brain–heart infusion (BHI) broth (Himedia Laboratories, Mumbai, India). Apyrogenic cotton pellets soaked in BHI broth were placed in the root canal openings and the specimens were kept in an oven at 37 ± 1°C, in relative humidity, for 7 days. After this period, 5 μl of suspension of *C. albicans*, 5 μl of suspension of *E. faecalis*, and 10 μl of BHI broth were added to the root canals. A new apyrogenic cotton pellet soaked in BHI broth was placed in the root canal openings, and the specimens were kept in an oven at 37 ± 1°C, in relative humidity, for 21 days, with addition of BHI broth to the root canals every 2 days.\(^9\)

**Experimental Groups**

After 28 days of contamination, the root canal content was collected to confirm the contamination (baseline, S1). For collection, the root canals were filled with sterile apyrogenic SS and 100 μl was collected from the root canal with 1 ml apyrogenic syringes. This content was placed in eppendorfs containing 900 μl of sterile and apyrogenic SS. Serial dilutions and plates were performed in duplicate in agar Sabouraud dextrose with chloramphenicol for *C. albicans*, agar Enterococcus for *E. faecalis*, and agar MacConkey for *E. coli*. After root canal preparation with 2% chlorhexidine gel, a new collection was obtained from the root canal (Sample 2–S2), similar to the confirmation collection. After collection, the root canals were filled with sterile and apyrogenic SS and sealed with apyrogenic cotton pellet and, after 7 days, the third collection (Sample 3–S3) was obtained, similar to the previous collections. Thereafter, the root canals were filled with the intracanal medications: (1) Calcium hydroxide + apyrogenic SS (Ca(OH)\(_2\) + SS), (2) 20% ginger glycolic extract (GEN),
(3) Calcium hydroxide + 20% ginger glycolic extract (Ca(OH)$_2$+GEN), (4) apyrogenic SS (control).

Following this, serial dilutions were made for later plating and analysis of endotoxin. Before placement of intracanal medication, EDTA was applied for 3 minutes followed by root canal irrigation with 10 ml of apyrogenic SS. The root canals were kept in an oven at 37°C for 14 days in relative humidity. Thereafter, the fourth collection of the root canal content was obtained (Sample 4–S4). The root canals were filled with apyrogenic SS and once again placed in an oven. After 7 days, the fifth collection was obtained (Sample 5–S5), as previously described. Serial dilutions of root canal content samples were made, plated in duplicate in three selective culture media for each microorganism, and the plates were kept in an oven at 37°C for 24 hours, to determine the colony-forming units/ml.

The results were statistically analyzed by the Kruskal–Wallis and Dunn tests, at a significance level of 5%. To verify the neutralization of endotoxin, the chromogenic test of Limulus amebocyte lysate (quantitative analysis) was made using the kinetic reader QCL. The results of this test were statistically analyzed by the Kruskal–Wallis and Dunn tests, at a significance level of 5%.

**RESULTS**

There was elimination of microorganisms after instrumentation (S2) except for *E. faecalis*. Seven days after preparation (S3), all microorganisms were eliminated, as well as after intracanal medication (collection, S4, and S5), for all groups (Tables 1 and 2). The endotoxin values are expressed in Tables 3 and 4.

**Table 1:** Percentages of reduction of CFU/ml obtained in the second (S2) and third (S3) collections in relation to baseline (S1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>HG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus faecalis</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus faecalis</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus faecalis</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HG: Homogeneous groups; Different letters indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.05); CFU: Colony-forming units.

**Table 2:** Percentages of reduction of CFU/ml obtained in the fourth (S4) and fifth (S5) collections in relation to baseline (S1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>S1 × S4</th>
<th>S1 × S5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>HG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$ + SS</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$ + GEN</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS (Control)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HG: Homogeneous groups; Different letters indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.05); SS: Saline solution; GEN: Ginger glycolic extract; CFU: Colony-forming units.

**Table 3:** Percentages of reduction in the quantity of endotoxin (EU/ml) obtained in the second (S2) and third (S3) collections in relation to baseline (S1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reductions</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1 × S2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>92.49</td>
<td>25.64</td>
<td>98.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 × S3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>81.63</td>
<td>66.23</td>
<td>99.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EU: Endotoxin units

**Table 4:** Percentages of reduction in the quantity of endotoxin (EU/ml) obtained in the fourth (S4) and fifth (S5) collections in relation to baseline (S1) and homogeneous groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>S1 × S4</th>
<th>S1 × S5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$ + SS</td>
<td>99.62</td>
<td>99.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>98.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$ + GEN</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS (Control)</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.05); EU: Endotoxin units; SS: Saline solution; GEN: Ginger glycolic extract; n = 12 for each group.
DISCUSSION

Microorganisms and their by-products present in root canals with necrotic pulp invade the periapical tissues, promoting inflammatory reaction and consequent tissue destruction. These microorganisms, present in the root canals, are diverse according to the duration of the infection process, and primary infections may present different microbiota compared with secondary infections. Secondary infections often exhibit *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans*. Especially, *E. faecalis* is able to remain in root canals with failure of endodontic therapy because of their ability to invade the dentinal tubules and adhere to collagen in the presence of human serum, besides being able to survive in environments with few nutrients. Yeasts were also observed in 7% of root canals with persistent periapical infections, 80% of these yeasts being *C. albicans*.

*Escherichia coli* is a microorganism widely investigated in the last years. According to Siqueira et al., *E. coli* is present in 3.7% of acute periapical abscesses, when evaluated by the checkerboard deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA)–DNA hybridization. Moreover, its endotoxin presents the basic structure of the lipid component, which represents the active center responsible for the toxicity of LPS. Selection of *E. coli* in this study was based on several investigations in the literature that used its endotoxin to analyze the induction of periapical lesions.

The microbiological analysis of this study revealed that, immediately after root canal preparation using 2% chlorhexidine gel, there was elimination of microorganisms except for the species *E. faecalis*, which was still resistant. After 7 days there was no growth of microorganisms, evidencing their complete elimination. The chlorhexidine exhibited effective antimicrobial action, as reported in previous studies. The action of chlorhexidine occurs because its molecule is positively charged and the cell wall of microorganisms seems to be negatively charged, leading to electrostatic interaction and altering the osmotic balance of the cell. The increased permeability of the cell wall allows the chlorhexidine molecule to penetrate into the bacteria. When chlorhexidine is used in high concentrations, there is precipitation of cytoplasm, with consequent death of the microorganism.

The intracanal medications maintained the antimicrobial action obtained by root canal preparation, acting on microorganisms that might have remained in the dentinal tubules and other areas of the root canal system. This study employed calcium hydroxide as intracanal medication considering its widespread use in endodontics because of its antimicrobial properties, ability to induce mineralization, and especially the effective action on LPS, either pure or associated with other drugs.

Due to studies using phytotherapeutic drugs, this study employed *Z. officinale* extract as intracanal medication, associated or not with calcium hydroxide. Many studies demonstrate that the main pharmacological actions of *Z. officinale* and its isolated compounds include immunomodulatory, antimutagenic, anti-inflammatory, antiapoptotic, antihyperglycemic, and antiemetic properties. Also, Ali et al. demonstrate that ginger is a powerful antioxidant and considered a safe phytotherapeutic drug, with few and nonsignificant side effects. Grégio et al. demonstrated that ginger (*Z. officinale*) is rich in volatile oils, Gingerol and Shogaol, which present analgesic, healing, and anti-inflammatory properties and antimicrobial activity. According to Lantz et al. and Jung et al., the 6-Gingerol is able to inhibit the production of prostaglandins E2, interleukin-1β, cyclooxygenase-2 and nitric oxide synthesis stimulated by LPS, with possible utilization in autoimmune diseases and chronic inflammations. In this study, it was observed that ginger was effective in the reduction of microorganisms and endotoxins, yet it was unable to completely eliminate them. However, it should be highlighted that, without statistically significant difference, the association of ginger and calcium hydroxide revealed promising outcomes, with complete elimination of endotoxins in some specimens.

Therefore, considering the importance to eliminate microorganisms and their by-products from the root canal system for endodontic treatment success and the constant search for drugs that may eliminate these microorganisms, investigations on natural drugs are highly relevant, which may allow the use of a chemical substance able to act on microorganisms and their by-products with less adverse effects to the human body and environment. The present results demonstrated that the chemicals were able to eliminate the microorganisms, promoting significant reduction of endotoxins, yet without their complete elimination. Ginger, a phytotherapeutic drug used in this study, may be an option to be investigated in *in vivo* studies, especially associated with calcium hydroxide, which demonstrates satisfactory outcomes.

CONCLUSION

According to the present methodology and results, the following could be concluded: (1) biomechanical preparation using 1% chlorhexidine gel and the medications used were able to eliminate microorganisms from the root canal and significantly reduce the endotoxins; (2) the medications reduced the endotoxins, yet did not completely eliminate the LPS.
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