Surgical Correction of an Inverse Astigmatic Keratotomy following Penetrating Keratoplasty in a Patient with Keratoconus
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ABSTRACT

Astigmatic keratotomy (AK) is a common and safe procedure to correct post-penetrating keratoplasty (PKP) astigmatism in patients affected by keratoconus (KC). We report the case of a post-PKP AK that was performed inversely by mistake in a private practice. A new AK was performed by our practice in order to correct the remarkably high astigmatism (19 D) that was created with the previous inverse procedure. Fourteen months later, astigmatism had decreased by 12.9 D and central corneal thickness had increased by 35 µm.
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INTRODUCTION

Keratoconus (KC) is a bilateral noninflammatory corneal ectasia, which usually occurs at a young age, often in the second decade of life. This corneal dystrophy results in distorted and decreased vision, although a number of conservative procedures is available. These include corneal collagen cross-linking (CXL), mini asymmetric radial keratotomy (MARK), asymmetric radial keratotomy (ARK), circular keratotomy (CK) and intrastromal corneal ring segments (ICRS).

In 20 to 25% of cases, however, corneal transplantation may be required. In such cases, astigmatic keratotomy (AK), also known as arcuate keratotomy, is a common and safe procedure to correct postoperative astigmatism. The first reference to AK surgery was made in 1885 by Norwegian ophthalmologist Schiotz, while Italian surgeon Lucciola of Terrin was the first to adopt non-perforating corneal incisions to correct astigmatism.

We report the correction of a 19 D astigmatism, due to a previous surgical mistake made by a private practice, resulted in an inverse AK, which was performed in an attempt to correct the original post-penetrating keratoplasty (PKP) astigmatism in a patient with bilateral KC.

CASE REPORT

In September 2013, a 70-year-old man presented with a bilateral KC diagnosed in 1976. Ocular history was complex and included PKP in both eyes in 1978, transplant rejection and, subsequently, new PKP in both eyes in 2000. In 2011, the patient underwent femtosecond laser-assisted AK in the right eye in order to correct postoperative astigmatism in a patient with keratoconus. Int J Kerat Ect Cor Dis 2015;4(3):107-109.
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the determination of the position, length and depth of the microincisions, performed with a diamond knife. We opted for a manual AK in order to better place the incisions both in length and position, given that the femtosecond laser cannot perform fully customized incisions based on the tomography. Incisions designed during the surgical plan were marked on a copy of the corneal topography print and were used as a surgical reference during the procedure. The target refractive result was determined at the end of this preoperative decision process. After application of topical anesthesia (benoxinate chloride 0.4%), AK incisions were performed at 80 to 90% of corneal thickness, with particular attention to corneal irregularity, especially along the steepest axis of astigmatism.

Fourteen months after the intervention, central keratometry (K) values in the right eye were 35.9 × 42 D, $K_{\text{max}}$ was 56.7 D, central pachymetry was 541 µm and thinnest pachymetry was 519 µm. Uncorrected visual acuity was 3/10 and the patient was able to apply contact lenses on his right eye once again (Fig. 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Today, AK is considered to be a safe procedure for the correction of post-PKP astigmatism and was deemed equally safe and effective both 20 and 30 years ago. In terms of difference between femtosecond laser-assisted AK and manual (diamond knife) AK, a comprehensive study shows that no statistically significant variations were detected, highlighting that the only difference between the two techniques was represented by potential microperforations and off-center incisions with manual AK.

In our case, AK, performed with a diamond knife, was successful in strongly improving the patient’s astigmatism, UCVA and in giving him the possibility to use contact lenses on his right eye once again, thus countering the markedly negative effects of the surgical mistake we were asked to address (Table 1). Along with a careful patient selection, factors that are crucial to a positive outcome are quality of the diamond blade and experience of the surgeon with incisional refractive surgery.

At present, nevertheless, it’s worth reminding that corneal collagen CXL is widely considered to be the golden standard technique in the treatment of KC. In one study, we showed that along with the halting of the progression of the disease, CXL with epithelium removal results in an average reduction of corneal curvature of 1.2 D, something that might prevent the need for further surgery in keratoconic eyes, including corneal transplantation and subsequent refractive surgery. These trends let us hope, in the near future, for continuous improvements and less invasive surgery in the treatment of KC.

**Table 1:** Pre- and post-AK values, 14 months after the intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$K_{\text{med}}$</th>
<th>$K_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>Central pachymetry</th>
<th>Thinnest pachymetry</th>
<th>Astigmatism</th>
<th>UCVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-AK</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4/200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-AK</td>
<td>38.95</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>-2.55</td>
<td>-13.4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-12.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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