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ABSTRACT

Background: Colonoscopy has been accepted as the best method for the screening, diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of colorectal pathologies. It is an invasive procedure with many recognized complications such as iatrogenic colonic perforation (CP). Knowledge of the factors influencing (CP) is of decisive importance, especially with regard to the avoidance or minimization of the perforations. This is the second case in the literature with such unreported and rare risk factor for iatrogenic colonoscopic perforation.

Case summary: We reported a 66-year-old female, not known to have any medical problems, underwent colonoscopy screening. No abnormalities detected up to the rectosigmoid junction when the gastroenterologist noted sudden and massive abdominal distension, the patient started complaining of severe generalized abdominal pain, however, she was hemodynamically stable. The procedure abandoned. Abdominal X-ray showed severe scoliotic deformity of the lumber spine with massive pneumoperitoneum. Diagnostic laparoscopy showed a small perforation at the anterior wall of sigmoid colon which was repaired laparoscopically, no fecal soiling of the peritoneal cavity was found as she was prepared for colonoscopy. Her course was unremarkable, and she was discharged 7 days later. A 2-week follow-up showed her to be asymptomatic with healed laparoscopic surgery scars and normal bowel motion.

Conclusion: Patients with skeletal deformity such as scoliosis undergoing colonoscopy have a higher risk of iatrogenic colonoscopic perforation. Symptoms of abdominal pain and distension during colonoscopy in this group of patients should alert the treating doctor for the possibility of colon injury which should be managed accordingly.
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INTRODUCTION

Colonoscopy is a common procedure for the diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of colorectal pathologies. However, this invasive procedure is performed with some risk of hemorrhage, perforation and even death.\(^1\,^2\)

Colonoscopic perforation (CP) has become one of the most fearsome complications of routine or therapeutic gastrointestinal endoscopy. The incidence of iatrogenic perforation is reported to range between 0.01 and 0.8% for diagnostic and routine colonoscopy, and from 0.15 to 3% for therapeutic colonoscopy,\(^1\,^3\,^4\,^5\) with rectosigmoid as the most common site of colonic perforation.\(^7\,^9\,^11\)

Major complications such as perforation and hemorrhage occur 2.4 times more often in the right than in the left colon during therapeutic colonoscopy.\(^12\) Knowing risk factors, recognizing early signs of perforations, and giving early and optimal treatment may reduce the probability of complications and death.\(^11\)

We are describing an iatrogenic CP where the mechanism of CP is related to patient’s skeletal deformity that precipitated the injury, the CP managed surgically. The first case with such injury was described in 2010,\(^13\) and still in publishing process.\(^14\) This is the second case in the literature with such unreported and rare risk factor for iatrogenic colonoscopic perforation.

CASE REPORT

We reported a 66-year-old female, not known to have any medical problems, underwent colonoscopy screening. No abnormalities detected up to the rectosigmoid junction when the gastroenterologist noted sudden and massive abdominal distension, the patient started complaining of severe generalized abdominal pain, however, she was hemodynamically stable. The procedure abandoned and was taken immediately to resuscitation room, abdominal examination showed peritonitis. Chest X-ray revealed air under the diaphragm (Fig. 1). Abdominal X-ray showed severe scoliotic deformity of the lumber spine with massive pneumoperitoneum (Fig. 2). Diagnostic laparoscopy showed a small perforation of 1.0 cm at the anterior wall of sigmoid colon which was repaired laparoscopically, no fecal soiling of the peritoneal cavity was found as she was prepared for colonoscopy. She was covered with antibiotics postoperatively. Her course was unremarkable, and she was discharged 7 days later. A 2-weeks follow-up showed her to be asymptomatic with healed laparoscopic surgery scars and normal bowel motion.
DISCUSSION

The CP may occur as a result of direct mechanical penetration with the instrument tip, sharp flexion of the colonoscope over distended bowel or due to thermal or electrical injury during therapeutic intervention, such as polypectomy.

Anderson et al.\textsuperscript{15} discussed the risks of perforation after either sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy. The study compared 10486 colonoscopies with 49501 sigmoidoscopies done over 10 years (1987-1996) at Mayo Clinic, they found two deaths secondary to perforation from colonoscopy, corresponding to an overall mortality rate after a colonoscopy of 0.02% and an incidence of death after a perforation of 10%.

Gatto et al.\textsuperscript{16} explored a large population-based database to compare the incidence of perforation associated with both of these flexible endoscopic procedures and to investigate what factors predict the occurrence of this complication, they found that the risk of perforation after colonoscopy was statistically significantly increased among patients with diverticulosis and obstruction, whereas the risk of perforation after sigmoidoscopy was increased among patients with diverticulosis and abdominal pain. In their study, there were 77 perforations after 39286 colonoscopies and 31 perforations after 35298 sigmoidoscopies procedures. Further more, risk of CP from either procedure increased in association with increasing age and the presence of two or more comorbidities.

Lohsiriwat et al.\textsuperscript{17} prospectively reviewed 10124 patients undergoing either colonoscopy or flexible sigmoidoscopy between January 2005 and July 2008. Over a 3.5-year period, there were 15 colonic perforations, they found that patient gender, emergency endoscopy, anesthetic method, and the specialty or experience of the endoscopist were not significantly predictive of CP rate. In the other hand, patient age of over 75 years and therapeutic colonoscopy were two important risk factors for CP. Pelvic adhesions following previous pelvic operation or infection also contribute to a high incidence of sigmoid perforation.\textsuperscript{9,18} Some authors have also suggested that patients with multiple comorbidities are at greater risk of perforation.\textsuperscript{16,19} Furthermore, that advanced age of patients and endoscopy performed by a trainee shown to increase the risk of CP.\textsuperscript{16,20} It was found that mechanical stress is the most common mechanism of perforation, the other perforations were associated with cone biopsy, electrocautery and pneumatic causes.\textsuperscript{21}

Similar to the first reported case,\textsuperscript{13,14} we think scoliosis with severe skeletal deformity can interfere with the usual path of introducing the colonoscope, thus causing CP.

Colonoscopic perforations may be managed operatively or nonoperatively. Several large series have reported that many patients with perforations may be treated successfully without operations. Conservative treatment includes bowel rest, intravenous fluids, and antibiotics to allow the perforation to seal.\textsuperscript{15,22-25} In Korean study, it is reported that 36% of the patients were managed conservatively. Nine patients underwent endoscopic perforation closure using hemoclips. Twenty-nine percent of those patients underwent colonic resection with anastomosis. In a retrospective review of laparoscopic repair of colonic perforations, the mean colonic perforation size was 2.7 cm.\textsuperscript{26}

Operative treatment is most often necessary in patients with generalized peritonitis, large injuries, or failed conservative treatment.\textsuperscript{27}

In our case, the perforation located at the anterior wall of sigmoid colon, the patient was in peritonitis, with radiological evidence of viscus perforation, so surgical intervention was required. As she was hemodynamically stable, we chose diagnostic laparoscopy to diagnose and proceed with surgical management.

The specific operative procedures used will depend on the size of perforation, the degree of peritoneal soilage,
the presence of associated colonic pathology, the stability of the patient and the overall underlying condition of the patient. Primary repair of the colon is reserved for limited injury with no coexisting pathology. Surgical resection with primary anastomosis should be attempted if abdominal contamination or concomitant pathology is present.23,24,27

In our case, the perforation was small (1.0 cm), with no fecal soiling of the peritoneal cavity and the perforation repaired laparoscopically using absorbable sutures.

CONCLUSION

Patients with skeletal deformity such as scoliosis undergoing colonoscopy have a higher risk of iatrogenic colonoscopic perforation. Symptoms of abdominal pain and distension during colonoscopy in this group of patients should alert the treating doctor for the possibility of colon injury which should be managed accordingly. This is the second reported case, aiming to alert surgeons and endoscopists about such rare risk factor.
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Written informed consent was obtained for publication of this case report and accompanying images.
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