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ABSTRACT

Background: In Nepal, it is quite common to find patients with large stone burden and thick gallbladder wall which often leads to incision extension. We have used this extended incision to our advantage. The present technique of two port laparoscopic cholecystectomy not only helps overcoming the specimen extraction difficulties but also contributes to better cosmesis.

Patients and methods: A total of 25 patients underwent the surgery in 2008 to 2010.

Results: The mean operating time was 50 minutes. None had significant procedural blood loss, iatrogenic injury, perforation of gallbladder, bile spillage, significant gas leak or subcutaneous emphysema at either port site. All patients were comfortable in the postoperative period and were routinely discharged on 2nd postoperative day except for two patients who has surgical site infection and fever respectively. Although three cases were converted to standard 4 port technique, none required conversion to open cholecystectomy. Out of 25 patients, 7 cases have completed 3 months follow-up and did not show any complication like port site hernia.

Conclusion: The described method of performing two port laparoscopic cholecystectomy is safe, simple and inexpensive yet cosmetically rewarding.

Keywords: Laparoscopy, Gallbladder, Cholecystectomy, Port.

How to cite this article: Misro AK, Sapkota P. Two Port Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: An Initial Experience of 25 Cases with a New Technique. World J Lap Surg 2014;7(3):103-106.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

BACKGROUND

In Nepal, it is quite common to find patients with large stone burden and thick gallbladder wall which often leads to specimen extraction difficulties. Out of all the available methods to facilitate the extraction like fascial dilatation, stone crushing, ultrasonic high-speed rotary, or laser lithotripsy, we prefer to use incision extension since it has been described as the optimal method and does not aggravate postoperative pain. Many of the 11 mm epigastric wounds land up in a dimension of 13 to 14 mm or more at times at the completion of the procedure. However, we have used this wound extension to our advantage by introducing another 5 mm port through the epigastric wound from the outset. This not only obviates the need for any additional port insertion but also aids in specimen extraction. This forms the rationale behind two port laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

With the technique described in this article, one will be able to perform laparoscopic cholecystectomy with only 2 incisions leading to a more cosmetic scar and less postoperative pain. Last decade has seen many innovations like SILS, NOTES from healthcare industries driven by an ever increasing demand for cosmesis. However, the cost factor keeps them out of the reach of a common man in developing countries. This technique certainly adds to cosmesis still fitting to the budget of a common man.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Twenty-five patients underwent the operation in 2008 to 2010 after the hospital ethical committee approval. Informed consent was obtained from all the patients. All the surgeries were performed by the same team of surgeons. Every single patient had investigation proven gallstone or related complications. Operative time, hospital stay and complications were recorded in each case.

The patient characteristics are mentioned below. There were 10 males and 15 females patients and none of the patients had any abdominal surgery in the past. The mean age was 40.5 years (27-55 years). All the patients had BMI below 30.14 patients were ASA I and 11 were ASA II (8 patients were controlled hypertensives and 3 were controlled diabetics).

OPERATIVE TECHNIQUE

Peritoneal entry is done by open technique with insertion of a 10 mm port through the umbilicus. After creating pneumoperitonium, a 1 cm transverse skin incision is taken in the midline at a level 1 inch cephalad to the level of inferior border of liver for the epigastric port. A 10 mm port is inserted through the later incision vertically till it pierces the rectus sheath (This will be referred henceforth as port 2). Afterwards, a slight right side angling of the port is done to bring it through the angle...
between falciform ligament and the anterior peritoneum. A 5 mm grasper (with reducer) is introduced through the port 2 and the fundus of the gallbladder is grasped and traction is applied toward the right shoulder. This step displays the gallbladder anatomy in entirety. Now an intraoperative assessment is done to determine if the 2 port laparoscopic cholecystectomy can be done safely (patient suitability has been described in discussion). If conditions are found to be favorable, with the traction maintained in the described way, a 5 mm port is inserted through the existing epigastric skin incision (but through a separate stab traversing a different path to the peritoneal cavity) little away from the port 2 pointing toward the Hartman’s pouch of the gallbladder (This will be referred henceforth as port 3) (Figs 1 and 2). Prior to this step, the skin incision may be extended 3 to 5 mm or more as required.

Now appropriate traction is applied to the Hartman’s pouch in lateral direction by the port 3 instrument, and this widens up the Calot’s triangle. With a suitable instrument (preferably a Maryland introduced through the port 2), Calot’s triangle dissection is done. The traction and dissection instruments are used interchangeably through the port 2 and 3 as per requirement. The rotational freedom of the port 3 around port 2 helps in traction and dissection to be done at various points and depth (However the rotation of the port should never be attempted with the instrument inside the port) (Figs 2 and 3). The cystic artery and duct is circumferentially skeletonized. With double clips placed on the body side and a single clip on the specimen side, both the structures are divided. This step is completed by traction through the port 3 instrument and clip application through port 2. With continued traction applied to the Hartman's pouch in the upward and right direction (this open up the interface between the gallbladder and the gallbladder fossa of the liver), the gallbladder is separated from the gallbladder fossa by electrodissection with an appropriate instrument (either a monopolar hook, Maryland or scissor). Before the final detachment of gallbladder from liver, the hemostasis of the gallbladder bed is achieved and the cystic pedicle (artery and duct) security is confirmed. The 5 mm port is now withdrawn and the specimen extracted through the epigastric port. Generous amount of peritoneal wash is given and 100 ml of normal saline mixed with bupivacaine is left in the subdiaphragmatic space. Pneumoperitonium is evacuated and the wounds closed in 2 layers.

Due to the presence of two ports in the same wound the range of their movement is likely to be affected. Hence, careful attention should be paid to proper alignment of the ports at the epigastric site. The chamber of the 5 mm port should be as close to the skin as possible where as that of 10 mm port should be as far away from the skin as possible (Figs 1 to 3). The maneuverability and the freedom of a port depend on the rotational capacity or the swing of the ports. With the measures mentioned above, we have observed that there is adequate overall maneuverability including range of movement and reach of the instrument to complete the procedure safely. The right and left hand instruments work in close harmony.
There was no incidence of bile duct or vascular injury, bile leak, iatrogenic injury, intraoperative perforation of gallbladder, bile spillage, significant procedural blood loss, significant gas leak or subcutaneous emphysema at either port site. The mean operating time was 50 minutes (40-155 minutes).

We have converted 3 cases from the two port technique to the standard four port technique. One was due to technical difficulty arising out of bleeding and the other 2 due to difficult intraoperative findings. These 2 cases had dense adhesions in the Calot’s triangle and gallbladder fossa respectively. However, none of them required conversion to open cholecystectomy.

Patients were allowed orally as early as 6 hours following surgery. All patients were routinely discharged on 2nd postoperative day except for two patients. One had severe abdominal pain and later developed surgical site infection, which subsided with wound drainage and the other patient developed fever in postoperative period. All the patients were happy and satisfied due to rapid and comfortable recovery and of course, about their small wound. Many patients were astonished small incision used to perform the surgery and hence were curious to know the procedure details (Fig. 6). Patients were advised follow-up on 10th day, 3 month and 1 year following surgery. Out of 25 patients, 23 patients visited the hospital for 10th day follow-up and were fine at that point of time. However only 7 have completed 3 months follow-up at the point of data collection and none of them had any complications including port site hernia.

**RESULTS**

Although laparoscopic cholecystectomy has been practiced as a day care surgery, it is far from reality in our set-up as most of the patients are from remote rural and hilly areas with poor access to healthcare. That is the reason for patient being discharged routinely on 2nd post operative day. Secondly, the follow-up of the patients has remained far from ideal. Many of them, once discharged, tend to avoid hospital follow-up unless they are unwell. The geographic and telecommunication barriers are other factors which has prevented us from reaching out to them.

Two port laparoscopic cholecystectomy has been practiced by many surgeons successfully and has been reported to be safe and superior to 4 port cholecystectomy in terms of pain, cosmesis and patient acceptance. Various techniques and special instruments like innovative extracorporeal knot by Mishra et al, ‘Twin-port’ system (that allows a 5 mm camera and a forceps through
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**DISCUSSION**

Two port laparoscopic cholecystectomy has been practiced by many surgeons successfully and has been reported to be safe and superior to 4 port cholecystectomy in terms of pain, cosmesis and patient acceptance. Various techniques and special instruments like innovative extracorporeal knot by Mishra et al, ‘Twin-port’ system (that allows a 5 mm camera and a forceps through
a single port) by T Kagaya et al, 2 or 3 mm endograspers by Lee KW, have been used to accomplish the procedure without the need of additional ports. However, traction sutures on gallbladder may end up in tearing of the organ leading to stone spillage and associated consequences like abscess, fistula formation and other septic complications later on. This possibility further increases in patients with high stone burden. So, we aim at gentle handling of gallbladder and take preventive steps to avoid intra-operative spillage and hence do not use sutures for traction. However, the present technique requires no special instrument or complex technique.

Although, the present technique is safe, there are some inherent limitations. This should not be used for cases where technical difficulty is anticipated or encountered for example in acute cholecystitis, empyema, dense adhesions in Calot’s triangle, intrahepatic gallbladder, anatomic abnormality in the hepatobiliary system, Mirrizzi’s syndrome, cirrhosis of liver, etc. Drain insertion in the subcostal region nullifies all the purported advantages of the procedure. Hence, it is better to perform a feasibility assessment before attempting this two port technique and difficult cases should routinely be done in four port fashion. If there is bleeding during the procedure, low threshold should be maintained to convert to the standard four port technique. Meticulous dissection and gentle handling of instruments are sine qua non for safe and successful completion of the procedure. One should not expect the freedom of a 4 port technique in this method. With careful case selection coupled with precise technique and patience, one can make this 2 port laparoscopic cholecystectomy an amazing reality in one’s own surgical practice.
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