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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Exact location of the inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) bundle is very important. The aim of this study is to evaluate the relationship between the mandibular third molar and the mandibular canal by cone-beam computed tomography.

Study design: This was a cross-sectional study with convenience sampling. 94 mandibular CBCTs performed with CSANEX 3D machine (Soredex, Finland) and 3D system chosen. Vertical and horizontal relationship between the mandibular canal and the third molar depicted by 3D, panoramic reformat view of CBCT and cross-sectional view. Cross-sectional view was our gold standard and other view evaluated by it.

Results: There were significant differences between the vertical and horizontal relation of nerve and tooth in all views (p < 0.001).

Conclusion: The results showed differences in the position of the inferior alveolar nerve with different views of CBCT, so CBCT images are not quite reliable and have possibility of error.
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INTRODUCTION

Knowledge of exact location of the inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) bundle is a very important factor since this data reveals the regions where safe and quick removal of bone is possible and danger zones where special care must be used.

The open extraction of third molars is one of the most commonly used procedures in dental surgery, and after the surgery several complications can occur, therefore, location of the IAN in the surgical field is important because it can improve the reduction of complications, surgical planning, and quality of care.

A preoperative evaluation of the exact location of the IAN and the roots of the mandibular third molar would help avoiding sensory deficiencies.

For surgical extraction of Impacted Teeth, a true radiographic examination is needed to determine the adjacent anatomical structures. The proximity of the tooth to a cortex (buccal or lingual) will determine the procedure the surgeon will take. The region must be assessed for proximity to nerve and vessels.

Several imaging approaches have been used to evaluate the site of the Mandibular canal, including panoramic radiography, computed tomography (CT), conventional tomography, and the most recent one; cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT).

Before CBCT was introduced, surgeons had only an estimate of the vertical position of the mandibular canal to the mandibular border.

After the introduction of CBCT, three-dimensional imaging became more readily available in order to use in dental applications.

Cone-beam computed tomography images are reconstructed in a three-dimensional (3D) dataset with modification of the original cone-beam algorithm developed by Feldkamp et al. CBCT is a medical image modality on the basis of a cone-shaped X-ray beam centered on a two-dimensional (2D) detector. The source-detector system rotates around the object producing a series of 2D images. Demand for CBCT in the oromaxillofacial region is increasing.
Evaluation of the Relationship between Mandibular Third Molar and Mandibular Canal

Good aspects of CBCT imaging are its accessibility, easy handling and that it offers a real-size dataset with multi planar cross-sectional and 3D reconstructions.\(^{18-20}\)

Cone-beam computed tomography can determine the exact location of the impacted teeth and their relationship to surrounding structures.\(^{21}\) Data gathered from imaging can be reformatted to show sequential slices through the oral and maxillofacial complex in the axial, coronal and sagittal planes. These data can be manipulated to produce precise 3D reconstructions of the area that surgeon wants to be evaluated, helps him find a clear picture of the exact location of the teeth in question.\(^{5}\)

These scans allow the surgeon to gain an under-standing of the precise relationship between the mandibular third molar and the inferior alveolar canal. This increased understanding of the anatomical relationships of a modified surgical approach may be necessary to remove teeth or replacement allows the surgeon to reduce the risk of surgical planning.\(^{22}\)

Cone-beam computed tomography is able to show the exact location of the nerve enough to predict binding to the proximal nerve during surgery, so it is possible to modify the method or to choose a different approach as an alternative to surgery in high-risk procedures.\(^{3}\)

Vannier said that the recent developments in the synthesis and optimization of CBCT reconstruction algorithms allows to exploit the full potential of the detectors in CBCT, CBCT will provide important advantages for craniofacial imaging. It is expected that advances in cone beam reconstruction algorithms and post processing reduce this problem.\(^{11,23}\)

Yabroudi and colleagues\(^{15}\) studied on forty-seven mandibular third molars in 30 patients who were in close contact with mandibular canal in panoramic radiographs. In this study, 3D relationship between the mandibular third molar and the mandibular canal in cone-beam computed tomography were examined. The results of this study showed that from forty-seven of wisdom teeth were close to mandibular canal, 8 mandibular canals were buccal of third molars, eleven of them were lingual to third molars and the 7 of them were between the roots. CBCT in demonstration of existence or absence of cortical bone between nerve and roots was more accurate than in panoramic radiographs. So, it can be used for risk assessment of surgical procedures.

Bell et al reported that the mean of sensitivity and specificity of panoramic images in predicting exposure were of 66 and 74% respectively. They reported that these values vary between observers and emphasized the need for greater standardization of diagnostic criteria.\(^{24}\)

Angelopoulos et al\(^{6}\) were compared digital and conventional panoramic radiography and CBCT for detecting mandibular canal in different regions of the mandible. CBCT compared to other methods for such a task is found better. The posterior third of the mandibular canal that was the best visualization of the entire procedure was followed intermediate.

Lothag-Hansen et al\(^{3}\) evaluated the mandibular canal and alveolar ridge distance by cross-sectional view of CBCT images. In this study, visibility of alveolar ridge crest was considered superior than mandibular canal. Observers only marked mandibular canals clearly in one third of cases with one predetermined cross-sectional image, but by more images visibility of mandibular canal was increased. This result points to the importance of each successive image available to improve the localization of the mandibular canal.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the relationship between the mandibular third molar and the mandibular canal by cone-beam computed tomography with elimination of hard tissue.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In this cross-sectional study, 94 mandibular CBCTs performed with CSANEX 3D machine (Soredex, Finland) and 3D system from patients who had near relationship between mandibular canal and mandibular third molar in panoramic radiography were simply chosen by a radiologist, and those pictures that were without superimposition excluded from study.

Pictures reconstructed by 3D (Fig. 1) panoramic reformat view of CBCT (Fig. 2) and cross-sectional image views of CBCT (Fig. 3) and a radiologist separately evaluated the vertical and horizontal position of the mandibular nerve and the mandibular third molars. For standardization of conditions, evaluation was designed at certain hours of the day in a room with a computer specifically to room lighting conditions and contrast on the screen does not affect the measurement accuracy.

Since in cross-sectional view of CBCT the images are multiple and possible error detection status is minimized, Gold standard in this study was cross-sectional view of CBCT and other images were compared with that.\(^{13}\)

Vertical relationship between the mandibular third molar root and the mandibular canal classified into three categories according to the position of the tip of the root:

- The root apex at the upper half of the mandibular canal.
- The root apex at the lower half of the mandibular canal.
- The root apex under the inferior wall of the mandibular canal.

The horizontal relationship between the mandibular canal and the mandibular third molar classified in terms of the position into one of the four following categories:
• Roots were located at the buccal of nerve.
• Roots were located at the inferior of nerve.
• Roots were located at the lingual of nerve.
• Nerve was located between the roots.

Horizontal and vertical relations between nerves and teeth in each view separately recorded and the data were entered and analyzed in software SPSS. Kappa ratio and chi-square test was used for statistical analysis. Kappa ratio was considered between 0.1 and 0.9 and significance level was $\alpha = 0.05$.

RESULTS

In this study, 94 patients with low alveolar canal and wisdom teeth distance on the CBCT images had been studied. Images using three views: cross-sectional, panoramic reformat view of CBCT and 3D were analyzed, cross-sectional view taken as the standard view and the images were compared with it. Figure 4 is an example of injury to the inferior alveolar nerve in all three views. Tables 1 and 2 compare the results of different view in vertical and horizontal relationship.
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Kappa ratio for comparison of the vertical distances between 3D view and cross-sectional view was 0.713 and p-value was < 0.001 that chi-square test approved it.

Kappa ratio for comparison of the vertical distance between panoramic reformat view of CBCT and cross-sectional view was 0.696 and p-value was < 0.001 that chi-square test approved it.

Kappa ratio for comparison of the horizontal distances between 3D view and cross-sectional view was 0.283 and p-value was < 0.001 that chi-square test approved it.

Kappa ratio for comparison of the horizontal distance between panoramic reformat view of CBCT and cross-sectional view was 0.197 and p-value was 0.001 that chi-square test approved it.

DISCUSSION

Detecting of the exact location of the inferior alveolar nerve is very important to determine the safe location of bone removal.1

Third molar surgery is the most common cause of injury to the inferior alveolar nerve,2 so the knowledge of the exact location of the nerve can reduce surgical complications.3

If you decide to make a third molar surgery the radiographic examination of the teeth and surrounding structures is required.5

There are various methods of imaging for evaluating mandibular canal locations, including: panoramic, conventional tomography, computed tomography and CBCT.6-9

Introduction of new radiographic techniques is enabled to see anatomical structures in all aspects without superimposition.25

Before the accessibility of CBCT surgeons just could determine the vertical position of the mandibular canal in regard to mandibular border.15

The picture quality and contrast between adjacent structures are important factors in the detection of anatomical structures on a radiograph.10

The use of 2D images to view 3D structures can be difficult to determine the location of the tooth impaction. The old solution to this problem was using two techniques to prepare radiology SLOB or perpendicular, respectively.26

CBCT 3D images are helpful in determining the exact location of mandibular canal.16

Vannier et al in a study on new techniques of CBCT images reconstruction showed that the new method has several advantages in imaging of craniofacial and can reduce surgical complications.11,23

Bell et al reported that the sensitivity and specificity of panoramic images in predicting exposure were 66

Table 1: The results of different view in vertical relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cross-sectional view</th>
<th>3D view</th>
<th>Panoramic reformat view of CBCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root apex at the upper half of the canal</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root apex at the lower half of the canal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root apex under the canal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBCT: Cone-beam computed tomography; 3D: Three-dimensional

Table 2: The results of different view in horizontal relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cross-sectional view</th>
<th>3D view</th>
<th>Panoramic reformat view of CBCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root apex at the buccal of the canal</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root apex at the lingual half of the canal</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root apex inferior the canal</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBCT: Cone-beam computed tomography; 3D: Three-dimensional

Fig. 4: Injury to the inferior alveolar nerve

Table 2: The results of different view in horizontal relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cross-sectional view</th>
<th>3D view</th>
<th>Panoramic reformat view of CBCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root apex at the buccal of the canal</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root apex at the lingual half of the canal</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root apex inferior the canal</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBCT: Cone-beam computed tomography; 3D: Three-dimensional

Fig. 4: Injury to the inferior alveolar nerve
and 74% on average respectively. They also reported that these values varied widely among observers and emphasized the need for further standardization of diagnostic criteria.24

The results are consistent with the results of Bell et al, in this study, it is not possible to determine the exact position of the nerve using other methods such as surgery, so the results has been influenced by the individual observer.

Angelopoulus et al in a study that compared analog and digital panoramic and CBCT images in the inferior alveolar place, showed that CBCT is superior to other radiography in the determining the exact location of inferior alveolar canal.6

Yabroudi et al studied on 47 third molars in 30 patients who were in close proximity to alveolar nerve. In this study, dental panoramic and CBCT images were obtained. Results of this study showed that from 47 teeth adjacent to nerve, 8 of them were at the buccal of the teeth, 11 of them were at lingual of the teeth, 21 of them were inferior of the teeth and 7 of them were between the roots of the third molar teeth. The results of this study showed that CBCT is more accurate than panoramic images in determining the location of the nerve.15

In the present study, among the 94 samples with close proximity to the nerve, 89 samples were in the upper half of the mandibular canal, four samples were in the lower half of the mandibular canal and one sample was placed under the canal.

From the horizontal aspect 13 canals were at lingual of the teeth, 64 canals were at the buccal of the teeth and 17 of them were inferior the roots and no canal was seen between the roots.

Hansen et al evaluated the place of alveolar crest and the mandibular canal in CBCT cross-sectional images. The results showed that observation of alveolar crest is easier than the mandibular canal. In this study, only one-third of the mandibular canal was easily visible. The results of this study also showed that using the images with more sections is helpful for determining the exact location of mandibular canal.13

In this study, which examines the three views (panoramic reformat view of CBCT, cross-sectional and 3D) of CBCT radiography, cross-sectional view was considered as the gold standard for determining the position of the neurovascular bundle to the proximal segment of the mandible during sagittal split osteotomy. Int J Oral Max Surg 2011;69(7):1867-1872.

In the present study, all of the views showed the same results in position that the root was under the nerve, but a little differences between 3D and cross-sectional and panoramic reformat view of CBCT and cross-sectional were seen that in majority of them nerve position was lower than the exact position in cross-sectional view (Tables 1 and 2).

Chi-square test revealed significant difference (p < 0.05) in the vertical position of the nerve in relation to the three views of the CBCT, therefore, it can be said that the vertical relationship between the nerve and the tooth cannot be determined only by one view of radiographs and using of other views can be helpful to determine the exact location of mandibular canal.

In this study, there were some differences between the 3D and panoramic reformat view of CBCT with cross-sectional view in horizontal relationship between the mandibular canal and the roots of the teeth. The most differences were that 3D and panoramic reformat view of CBCT which showed that the nerve is at the buccal of the roots but the exact location of nerve is under the canal or at lingual by the cross-sectional view.

Chi-square test revealed significant difference (p < 0.05) in the horizontal position of the nerve in relation to the three views of the CBCT, so it can be said that the horizontal relationship between the nerve and the tooth cannot be determined only by one view of radiographs and using of other views can be helpful to determine the exact location of mandibular canal.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed differences in the position of the inferior alveolar nerve with different views of CBCT. The results of this study showed that CBCT images are not quite reliable and have possibility of error.

So using of more sections and different views can be useful to determine the exact location of mandibular canal.
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