ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the present study was to investigate the relationship between dental caries and fluoride concentration in unstimulated whole saliva of non-fluoride users.

Study design: Ninety subjects, aged 7 to 15 years who were non-fluoride users, participated in the study. Dental caries was recorded using modified Moller’s index. Unstimulated whole saliva samples were collected early in the morning. Fluoride concentration in saliva was estimated using combination ion specific fluoride electrode (Orion). Water fluoride of the area ranged from 0.34 to 0.38 ppm.

Results: Salivary fluoride concentration (mean ± SE) in saliva (n = 90) was 0.03 ± 0.03 ppm with a range of 0.01 to 0.24 ppm. The DMFT ± SE was 3.26 ± 0.27 and DMFS 4.30 ± 0.42. Correlation of fluoride concentration in saliva was inverse and significant with DMFT (p < 0.01) and DMFS (p < 0.01) using the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient.

Conclusion: Fluoride concentration in saliva could be an indication of the individual’s caries risk and help isolating high risk individuals requiring special chairside preventive strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

The fluoride in the oral environment has an important role in demineralization and remineralization. It has been shown in vitro that fluoride concentration below 2 ppm (105.3 µ mol/l) in the solution can influence demineralization and remineralization of enamel and the effect is related to the fluoride concentration in saliva or other ambient fluids that surround the surfaces of the teeth. Availability of fluoride in the oral environment is determined by the supply of fluoride by its periodic use in one form or the other and the factors affecting retention (binding of fluoride ions to plaque, enamel, soft tissues) and factors influencing its clearance (salivary flow rate, oral muscular movements, eating, drinking, etc.). Fluoride in unstimulated whole saliva is a cumulative reflection of the sum of fluoride present in various hard and soft tissue retention sites in the oral cavity.

Concentration of fluoride in saliva available for clinically significant influence on cariostasis is low but its availability may be important for prevention of demineralization and enhancement of remineralization. Most studies in this direction, have been carried out in populations which have been regularly using fluoride in one form or the other, which has a masking effect. It has been proposed that the cariostatic effect of fluoride is attributed to its continuous presence in low ionic concentrations in the oral environment. Few studies have correlated the human caries susceptibility with salivary fluoride concentration.

The present investigation was carried out to assess the availability of fluoride in saliva of nonfluoride users and its relationship to dental caries experience.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Selection

The investigation was carried out in 90 school children in the age group of 7 to 15 years who were residing in boarding schools in the urban north-west zone of Chandigarh, India. Permission in written, to conduct the study was taken from the principal of the schools along with written informed consent from the parents/guardians of the children. The subjects selected were non-tea drinkers, had similar socio-economic status and dietary habits and had no history of...
exposure to topical or systemic fluoride. The water fluoride concentration of the area ranged from 0.34 to 0.38 ppm.

**Dental Caries Recording**

Dental caries was recorded using modified Moller’s index\textsuperscript{11} with the aid of a plain mouth mirror and a standardized stainless steel explorer with diameter of the tip of the explorer in the range of 40 to 60 µ. Special care was taken to avoid applying too much pressure on the teeth of the subjects while examining. The children were examined in broad daylight with their face directed toward sunlight. The oral examination was carried out using a simple chair in a field setting. The teeth were dried with cotton rolls and then recording was done. Radiographs were not taken. Before recording, the investigator was calibrated by the chief supervisor (AT). A constant check was kept on intra-examiner variability.

**Collection, Transportation and Storage of Saliva Samples**

Unstimulated whole saliva (1 ml) sample was collected from each of the 90 children by asking the subjects to bend the head forward, which facilitated pooling of saliva in the oral cavity. Saliva sample was drooled into the plastic vials. Whole unstimulated saliva was considered appropriate as it is representative of saliva which influences biological events in the mouth for most of the day.\textsuperscript{2} Collection of saliva sample was done early in the morning (6-6.30 am) on rising without the subjects eating or drinking anything or brushing their teeth. Acid washed screw capped plastic vials were used for collection of saliva samples. In between snacking was permitted.

**Transportation and Storage of Saliva Samples**

After saliva collection, the plastic vials were placed upright in a storage box and transported within 30 minutes to the Oral Health Sciences Centre, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, and stored at 4°C until analysis.\textsuperscript{2}

**Estimation of Fluoride in the Saliva Samples**

The stored saliva samples were analyzed within a week of collection. They were brought to room temperature (room temperature was kept at 25°C) and treated with TISAB III buffer (Orion Inc, USA Cat no. 940911). One milliliter saliva was pipetted into another plastic vial and 0.1 ml buffer was added as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Fluoride in saliva was estimated with a combination ion specific fluoride electrode (Orion model 96-09) coupled to an ion analyzer. Solutions of 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1.0 and 10 ppm F (serially diluted from a 100 ppm F standard Orion no. 940907) and 100 ppm fluoride were used to obtain a fluoride calibration curve.

**Statistical Analysis**

Normality of the variables was tested, using normal quantile (Q-Q) plots and it was observed that there was a deviation from normality. In order to find out the relation between decayed, missing and filled teeth (DMFT) and decayed, missing and filled surfaces (DMFS) with fluoride concentration in saliva of all 90 subjects, Spearman’s rank correlation was computed. The data were classified into three groups and for comparison of these groups Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc tests was carried out separately for DMFT and DMFS.

**RESULTS**

Correlation coefficients of dental caries status of 7 to 15-year-old children with fluoride concentration in saliva were found to be inverse and significant for DMFT (p < 0.01) and DMFS (p < 0.01) indicating that as fluoride concentration in saliva decreased the dental caries increased (DMFT and DMFS). No statistically significant difference was observed in the deciduous dentition decayed, extracted filled teeth and decayed, extracted filled surfaces (DEFT and DEFS, Table 1). The 90 subjects examined were divided into three (low, moderate, high) groups based on fluoride concentration in saliva as shown in Table 2. Low salivary fluoride concentration group had the highest mean ± SE score for DMFT and DMFS, i.e. 4.09 ± 0.27 and 5.54 ± 0.57, followed by moderate salivary concentration group with DMFT of 2.46 ± 0.44 and DMFS of 3.10 ± 0.68 and the high salivary fluoride concentration group had the lowest score, with DMFT of 1.45 ± 0.31 and DMFS of 1.54 ± 0.31 (Table 3). This difference was not observed for the deciduous dentition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline salivary fluoride concentration (ppm) (mean ± SE)</th>
<th>Caries status (mean ± SE)</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.03 ± 0.03</td>
<td>DMFT 3.26 ± 0.27</td>
<td>-0.381</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMFS 4.30 ± 0.42</td>
<td>-0.393</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEFT 1.31 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEFS 2.25 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS: Nonsignificance
Three salivary fluoride concentration groups were compared simultaneously using Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA separately for DMFT, DMFS, DEFT, DEFS (Tables 4A to C). A significant difference was observed between the groups for the permanent teeth. The difference between groups I, II and groups I, III was statistically significant. However, there was statistically no significant difference between groups II and III. In DEFT and DEFS, there was no significant difference.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present investigation, there was found to be a wide variation in the fluoride concentration of saliva ranging from 0.01 to 0.24 ppm (mean ± SE of 0.03 ± 0.03 ppm) for 90 children who were nonfluoride users residing in an urban area with suboptimal fluoride in the drinking water (0.34-0.38 ppm). Duggal et al. analyzed saliva for Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn and F by atomic absorption spectrophotometry and for fluoride with fluoride sensitive electrode. They observed that Cu and F had a consistently inverse relationship with caries experience. In their study, the mean fluoride concentration from five rural areas of Northern India ranged from 0.01-0.02 for subjects in contrast to the findings of the present investigation. As snacking, frequency of water consumption was not controlled, it is probable that the kinetics of fluoride in the oral environment was influenced and availability of fluoride concentration in saliva was variable.
In a study by Dutta et al., the baseline salivary fluoride concentration (collected sometime over the day) in the urban population of Chandigarh in unstimulated whole saliva of 30 non-fluoride users ranged from 0.02 to 0.07 ppm.

Dental caries status, viz DMFT and DMFS was inversely correlated to the fluoride concentration in saliva and found to be highly significant ($p < 0.01$, Table 1). Similar findings have been reported by Leverett et al., Duggal et al., Toumba and Curzon, and Amanlou et al. However, in a study by Bruun and Thylstrup relating dental caries experience and fluoride in whole saliva the results were inconclusive. Farsi (2008) observed that salivary fluoride did not significantly correlate to dental caries. In the present study, the results indicated that as the availability of fluoride in saliva decreases, the dental caries increases, suggesting an increasing caries risk (Table 3).

Although most of the subjects were living under the same environmental conditions with same food habits, there are great differences in dental caries experience from one individual to another. The present observations have highlighted the possible role of the oral reservoirs, sites influencing the pharmacokinetic clearance of fluoride, the severity and nature of the cariogenic challenge and the composition of ambient fluids (plaque fluid, crevicular fluid, saliva) and oral hygiene habits.

The subjects in the present study had not been exposed to any topical or systemic fluoride treatments. There are many plausible explanations for the availability of fluoride in the saliva of these nonfluoride users. Extraneous sources like water and diet (turmeric, cloves and other condiments) are the most probable. Nanda investigated the fluoride content of foods and food ingredients commonly eaten in Northern India. He found, in particular, that many spices were relatively rich in fluoride. One child with surprisingly very high saliva fluoride concentration of 0.24 ppm was an outlier but was considered for analysis.

CONCLUSION

Fluoride concentration in saliva is a significant predictor of dental caries in the permanent dentition (DMFT, DMFS) in the population studied. Fluoride concentration in saliva could be an indicator of the individual’s caries risk in our study. It may help to isolate high risk individuals requiring special chair side preventive strategies. However, dental caries is a multifactorial disease that starts with microbiological shifts within the complex biofilm (dental plaque) and this may be one of the important variables for dental caries risk assessment.
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