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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) is very common problem in patient attending outpatient department, especially in perimenopausal group.

Objective: To study prevalence of abnormal uterine bleeding and its type in perimenopausal women as well as to compare diagnostic efficacy of ultrasonography, hysteroscopy and histopathology.

Material and method: The study was carried out in Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Era Medical College, Lucknow, in 100 patient attending outpatient department with AUB in perimenopausal age group.

Observation: Most of the patients were multiparous, more than 50% belong to socioeconomic class III and IV and mean age was 43.05 ± 4.09 years. Commonest complaint was menorrhagia (45%) followed by metrorrhagia in 19% and menometorrhagia in 14%. Majority of patients (85%) had uterine volume between 151 and 250 cm³. Only three patient had uterine volume more than 252 cm³. Endometrial hyperplasia was diagnosed in 14% with ultrasonography, 11% on hysteroscopy while in 15% on hystopathological examination.

Conclusion: In perimenopausal women with AUB, ultrasonography should be first investigation because of its freely availability, noninvasiveness and cost effectiveness.
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INTRODUCTION

In 2001, Stages of reproductive aging workshop (STRAW) perimenopause is defined as the period beginning with menopausal transition and ending 12 months after the last menstrual period.1,2 This period may last for many years (2-8 years). Menstrual irregularity in the form of frequency and volume may occur due to fluctuating hormone levels and can be considered normal and expected but many time uterine as well as adenexal pathology may be there.3

Ultrasound is a simple, easily available, affordable and noninvasive diagnostic modality to study endometrial pattern and its thickness. At the same time, adenexal and other uterine pathology like intra mural fibroid can also be detected simultaneously with ultrasonography.4,5 For better visualization of endometrium high frequency transducer should be preferred transvaginally.6

For histopathological examination aspiration along with currattage with 4 no Karman’s cannula is sufficient. Initially, dilatation and curettage (D&C) was considered gold standard investigation in abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) cases but being a blind procedure, it has a chance of missing small focal lesion.7-9

MATERIAL AND METHOD

The study was carried out in Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Era Medical College, Lucknow, in 100 women in perimenopausal age group (41-51 years). All women underwent for ultrasonography (transvaginal), endometrial histopathology (manual vacuum aspiration method) and hysteroscopy after clinical examination and routine investigations.

OBSERVATIONS

Thirty-eight percent women were in 40 to 43 years, 41% in 44 to 47 years while 21% were in 48 to 51 years (Table 1). Most of women were multiparous having 2 to 4 children, i.e. 53% (Table 2). Majority of women presented with chief complaint of menorrhagia (45%) followed by polymenorrhoea (23%) and metrorrhagia (19%) (Table 3). Majority (85%) of women had uterine volume between 150 and 250 cm³.

Endometrial hyperplasia was found in 14% on ultrasonography, in 11% on hysterectomy while in 15% on histopathology (Tables 4 to 6). On analyzing the association between symptomps and endometrial pathologies 77.77% patient with menorrhagia were found to have no endometrial pathologies while 78.99% patients of metrorrhagia were found to have endometrial pathologies.
DISCUSSION

Ultrasound diagnosed adenexal pathology in 20 patients of AUB which were not possible with hysteroscope alone. Besides this, simple measurement of endometrial thickness with ultrasound is enough to suggest presence of endometrial pathology. The specificity and positive predictive value of ultrasound was found comparable to hysteroscope, i.e. 95.83 and 95%. On comparison of ultrasound with histopathological examination, the sensitivity and negative predictive value of ultrasound for diagnosing endometrial pathology was 73.07 and 76.66%.

Hysteroscope was found to be more accurate in detecting endometrial polyp, submucous myoma, endocervical polyp and endometrial cancer. Sensitivity and specificity of hysteroscopic examination in diagnosing endometrial pathology was found to be 89.79 and 97.56% while positive and negative predictive values were 97.95 and 90.56% respectively.

Study by Machado et al in 2005 concluded that endometrial thickness less than 5 mm did not need D&C as none of these patients had or malignancy. The upper limit for normal endometrial thickness is controversial but most studies favored cut off value of 8 mm. The risk of endometrial cancer is significantly high in patients with complex atypical hyperplasia in comparison to simple hyperplasia. Hystopathological examination especially under hysteroscopic guidance is the gold standard for diagnosis of endometrial pathology. It diagnosed four cases of endometrial hyperplasia missed by hysteroscopy alone.

CONCLUSION

In perimenopausal women after clinical examination (speculum and bimanual) and Pap smear, ultrasonography should be first investigation as it is easily available, less expensive, safe and noninvasive method. It excludes those
adenexal or myometrial pathologies which may be missed in clinical assessment. Hysteroscopy has the additional advantage of its therapeutic value at the same time. Hysteroscopy may be reserved for the cases suspicious of endometrial pathology on ultrasound and all cases of metrorrhagia, menometrorrhagia and postmenopausal bleeding.

Both ultrasonography and hysteroscopy are complementary to each other in case of AUB and simultaneous biopsy confirmed the diagnosis.
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