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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To compare corneal elevation values in normal eyes, *forme fruste* keratoconus (FFKC) and different stages of keratoconus using Scheimpflug imaging.

Materials and methods: This prospective, comparative study included 267 eyes (107 normal eyes, 21 FFKC and 139 keratoconus). Keratoconic eyes were divided into four groups according to keratometry values. Maximum posterior elevation (PE) above the best fit sphere (BFS) at the central 5 mm were measured using the Pentacam (Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH). Receiver operating characteristic curves were used to determine the test's overall predictive accuracy and to identify optimal cutoff points to discriminate between the groups.

Results: PE had the smallest values in normal eyes and increased in FFKC and each progressive stage of keratoconus. Mean PE was 9.98 ± 5.33 µm in normal eyes, 18.09 ± 9.23 µm in FFKC and 24.97 µm ± 15.89, 37.82 ± 18.64, 46.82 ± 21.41 and 66.07 ± 39.09, in keratoconus stage I, II, III and IV respectively.

Conclusion: Posterior elevation values increased according to the severity of keratoconus disease. PE can be used as indicator of keratoconus progression.
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INTRODUCTION

Keratoconus is a slowly progressive disorder in which the cornea assumes an irregular conical shape as a result of central or paracentral noninflammatory thinning of the cornea. The corneal thinning induces irregular astigmatism, myopia and protrusion, causing mild to severe impairment of vision. Keratoconus tends to be bilateral, asymmetric, corneal ectatic and enantiomorphic disease; and the different stages of keratoconus present different refractive, topographic, and slitlamp microscopy signs. Early diagnosis of this disease, especially in the screening of refractive surgery candidates, could prevent postoperative complications in these patients.

The Pentacam Comprehensive Eye Scanner is based on a capture method called Scheimpflug imaging, which measures 25,000 elevation points and gives a representation of the shape of the corneal surface. The Pentacam compares the raw elevation data against a common shape-namely, a best fit sphere (BFS)-giving a posterior surface topography. Measurements of corneal thickness and posterior elevation (PE) with the Pentacam are well-investigated and highly reproducible and repeatable.

The characteristic stromal thinning in keratoconus corresponds to an increased PE above the BFS on corneal topography. Studies have investigated posterior corneal elevation values in keratoconic, subclinically keratoconic, *forme fruste* keratoconus (FFKC) and normal corneas using the Pentacam. However, little has been published comparing this value in keratoconic corneas at different stages.

The aim of this study was to measure posterior corneal elevation values in normal corneas, FFKC and keratoconus at different stages of the disease.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

In this prospective, observational, comparative study, a single cornea specialist examined 267 eyes of 267 consecutive patients at the Cornea Service of the Instituto de Ojos Oftalmo Salud, Lima, Peru, between April 2007 and September 2009.

On the basis of clinical signs, tangential placido disk-based videokeratography evaluation and Scheimpflug imaging, we employed the following classification scheme.

*Normal eyes*: These eyes were from patients who had bilateral normal corneas, with no ocular pathology, no irregular corneal pattern, no previous ocular surgery, no signi-
thic refractive error, and no clinical signs of keratoconus or scissoring on retinoscopy. The Pentacam reported them as normal. This group included unilateral eyes that were randomly selected.

**Forme fruste keratoconus (FFKC):** This group included topographically normal eyes of patients with keratoconus in the other eye (by topography and Pentacam).

**Keratoconus (KC):** This group was defined on the basis of classic corneal biomicroscopic and topographic characteristics, as the presence of ≥1 clinical signs (corneal stromal thinning, Vogt striae, Fleischer ring, scissoring of the red reflex, or oil droplet sign identified by retinoscopy) and topographic evaluation (an increased area of corneal power surrounded by concentric areas of decreasing power, inferior-superior power asymmetry, and skewing of the steepest radial axes above and below the horizontal meridian). Keratoconus patients with a history of corneal operation or with extensive corneal scarring were excluded, as were contact lens-wearing patients who showed corneal warping. Contact lens use was discontinued at least 3 weeks (rigid lenses) or 1 week (soft lenses) before assessment. Keratoconus group was subdivided into four groups according to the keratometry of Amsler-Krumeich classification:

- **Keratoconus stage I (KC-I):** Mean central K readings ≤ 48 D.
- **Keratoconus stage II (KC-II):** Mean central K readings > 48 D to ≤ 53 D.
- **Keratoconus stage III (KC-III):** Mean central K readings > 53 D to ≤ 55 D.
- **Keratoconus stage IV (KC-IV):** Mean central K readings > 55 D.

A full ophthalmological examination, including uncorrected visual acuity, spherical equivalent refraction, best spectacle-corrected visual acuity, slit-lamp microscopy, fundus examination, and rotating Scheimpflug imaging (Pentacam), was performed in all groups. Informed consent was obtained in accordance with the recommendations of the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Pentacam Analysis and Data Calculation

For the Pentacam measurements, the patient sat in a chair, with the chin placed on the chin rest and the forehead put against the forehead strap in dim-light conditions. The patient was asked to look with both eyes open into the black spot in the middle of the instrument’s blue fixation beam. When the image was focused, the instrument took the Scheimpflug images automatically.

Data were collected using Pentacam Comprehensive Eye Scanner software, version 1.17r37. For posterior corneal elevation measurements, a best fit sphere (BFS) was used as a reference surface. The sphere that best fit the posterior corneal surface was automatically generated by the software, with the float option over a 8 mm fit. On these maps, PE values were measured as the maximum values above the BFS in the central 5 mm of the posterior cornea.

### Statistical Analyses

We compared normal corneas vs keratoconic corneas (all stages), as well as normal corneas vs FFKC, in separate series of analyses. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves were obtained using SPSS 15 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). These curves are obtained by plotting sensitivity against 1-specificity, calculated for each value observed. An area of 100% implies that the test perfectly discriminated between groups. Area under the ROC curve was calculated to describe the predictive accuracy of the different parameters and to determine the cutoff point for the most sensitive parameter. An t-test was used to determine whether the difference between eyes in keratoconic patients and normal subjects was significantly different. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

A total of 267 eyes (267 patients) were included in this study. The control group consisted of 107 eyes of 107 individuals with normal corneas [50 males, 57 females; mean age ± standard deviation (SD), 30.56 ± 5.69 years]. The FFKC group were 21 eyes of 21 patients (10 males, 11 females, mean age 31.44 ± 6.84 years). The keratoconus group consisted of 139 eyes of 139 patients: 50, 53, 22 and 14 eyes with keratoconus stages I, II, III and IV, respectively, mean age was 32.07 ± 16.38 years (72 women, 67 men). There were no statistically significant differences between the three groups in terms of age or sex (p > 0.05).

Table 1 shows the mean posterior elevation in all groups.

Table 2 shows the sensitivity and specificity of cutoff points, the area under the ROC curve, the standard error, and the p-value for PE at different stage of keratoconus disease. The closer the value is to 1 (for the AUROC), means the highest sensitivity for discriminating FFKC and keratoconus from normal eyes.

### Discussion

In the past few years, posterior elevation has been reported to be a good parameter to discriminate between normal and keratoconus eyes; however, mean posterior elevation values varies from author to author, as well cutoff points, are different in each report. There are some points that should be taken in consideration when posterior elevation is evaluated: the reference surface used, that could be, for...
example, an sphere (best fit sphere, BFS) or a toric aspheric surface (best fit toric and aspheric surface); the place were the posterior elevation is measured, that could be at the center of the cornea, at the thinnest point of the cornea or as the maximum values above the BFS in the central 5 or 9 mm of the posterior cornea and finally the keratoconus population evaluated, some studies included mild and moderate keratoconus, another’s all types of keratoconus.

Using the Pentacam, Mihaltz et al\textsuperscript{12} described a cutoff value of 15.5 μm, with 95.1% sensitivity and 94.3% specificity; de Sanctis et al\textsuperscript{11} identified a cutoff value of 35 μm for keratoconus, with 97.3% sensitivity and 96.9% specificity; and Ucakhan et al\textsuperscript{21} reported a cutoff point of 26.5 μm, with 97.7% sensitivity and 81% specificity for keratoconus. In our study, mean PE was 9.98 ± 5.33 μm in normal eyes, 18.09 ± 9.23 μm in FFKC, 24.97 ± 15.89 μm, 37.82 ± 18.64 μm, 46.82 ± 21.41 μm and 66.07 ± 39.09 μm in Keratoconus stage I, II, III and IV , respectively. Also, cutoff values were different in each keratoconus stage, having higher AUROC values when discriminating late disease from normal eyes, than when discriminating early disease of normal eyes. A cutoff point of 13.5 μm had 71.9% sensitivity and 77.3% specificity (AUROC 0.78) discriminating normal eyes from FFKC, while a cutoff value of 35.5 μm had an AUROC value of 0.99, 97% sensitivity and 96% specificity discriminating normal eyes from keratoconus stage IV, showing that PE values increased with disease progression. In a recently article,\textsuperscript{22} we reported an intereye asymmetries on posterior elevation values, of 20.08 μm in keratoconus patients, knowing that the majority of keratoconus patients have bilateral disease, and its presentaition is asymmetric between the two eyes, this support our results were different stages of keratoconus showed different posterior elevation values.

This study suggests that PE is effective in discriminating normal eyes from keratoconus, but it is effectively lower in FFKC and early disease. With Scheimpflug technology becoming more common place, and corneal collagen crosslinking used as treatment to half the progression of the keratoconus, we believe that PE should be incorporated into standard evaluation of keratoconus progression.

We conclude, from this study, that PE increases with each progressive stage of keratoconus and it is effectively discriminate between groups is lower in early keratoconus disease, compared with late keratoconus disease.
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| Table 1: Mean posterior and standard deviation in normal, forme fruste keratoconus (FFKC), and keratoconus in all stages (KC-I—keratoconus stage I, KC-II—keratoconus stage II, KC-III—keratoconus stage III and KC-IV—keratoconus stage IV) |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                  | Normal | FFKC   | KC-I   | KC-II  | KC-III |
| Mean PE          | 9.98   | 18.09  | 24.97  | 37.82  | 46.82  | 66.07  |
| Standard deviation (SD) | 5.33   | 9.23   | 15.89  | 18.64  | 21.41  | 39.09  |

| Table 2: Sensitivity and specificity of cutoff points, the area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve, the standard error, and the p-value for PE in normal eyes, forme fruste keratoconus, and keratoconus at different stage (KC-I—keratoconus stage I, KC-II—keratoconus stage II, KC-III—keratoconus stage III, KC-IV—keratoconus stage IV) |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                  | Normal vs FFKC | Normal vs KC-I | Normal vs KC-II | Normal vs KC-III | Normal vs KC-IV |
| Cutoff point (µm) | 13.5   | 14.5   | 16.5   | 25.5   | 35.5   |
| Sensitivity      | 0.71   | 0.81   | 0.85   | 0.98   | 0.97   |
| Specificity      | 0.77   | 0.9    | 0.85   | 0.91   | 0.96   |
| AUROC            | 0.78   | 0.91   | 0.93   | 0.99   | 0.99   |
| Standard error   | 0.05   | 0.02   | 0.01   | 0.002  | 0.002  |
| p-value          | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
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