ABSTRACT

Aim: The purpose of this study was to compare disinfection of deciduous root canal by conventional chemomechanical debridement (CMD) with sodium hypochlorite (0.5%) vs laser-assisted photodynamic therapy (PDT).

Materials and methods: Twelve children aged 4 to 7 years with informed consent from their parents were studied. Access cavities were prepared and culture samples were taken before the commencement of the therapy, after CMD using 0.5% sodium hypochlorite and after laser-assisted PDT using methylene blue (MB) dye. Samples were taken by placing a sterile paper cone into the canals and transferring them into brain heart infusion broth. The samples were then subjected for microbiological processing so as to count the total number of viable bacteria.

Results: Laser-treated canals showed a reduction in bacterial load by 99.99% as against 83.9% obtained after the use of conventional CMD with sodium hypochlorite.

Conclusion: Laser-assisted PDT can be used as an excellent adjunct to CMD to obtain near perfect disinfection of deciduous root canals.
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INTRODUCTION

The advent of laser therapy has opened new vistas for faster, more effective and less painful dental procedures proving to be useful in children. It is fast gaining popularity and it has revolutionized the treatment in restorative and surgical dentistry. The endodontic treatment of deciduous teeth is another area where it is of fast gaining importance. In the endodontic treatment of deciduous teeth, laser therapy holds special significance as it not only maintains the health of the teeth and supporting tissues but also the integrity of the dental arch until its normal exfoliation.

The endodontic treatment of deciduous teeth is extremely challenging and the ultimate success depends upon achieving maximally sterile root canals before obturation, which is virtually impossible with just instrumentation and irrigation. The anatomical complexities of the deciduous root canals–accessory foramina in the apical and furcal areas, ever persistent root resorption, in conjunction with the behavioral difficulties faced by the clinician due to children’s lower tolerance toward longer treatments, as well as their overall compliance makes it imperative that pediatric operative procedures continuously evolve and metamorphose not only on the technical front but on the ergonomic front as well.

In such a scenario photodynamic therapy (PDT)–a laser based therapy, seems to be a promising addition to achieve sterilization in the infected root canals of deciduous teeth.

The use of PDT for inactivation of microorganisms was first demonstrated more than 100 years ago, when Oscar Raab reported the lethal effect of acridine hydrochloride on Paramecia caudatum. Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is based on the concept that nontoxic photosensitizers can be preferentially localized in certain tissues and subsequently activated by light of the appropriate wavelength to generate singlet oxygen and free radicals that are cytotoxic to cells of the target tissue. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the antimicrobial effects of methylene blue (MB)-mediated PDT in deciduous root canals with pulpal necrosis. This is an in vivo study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twelve children of both genders aged 4 to 7 years with necrotic pulps of deciduous teeth, were studied at the Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, Bapuji Dental College and Hospital, Davangere, Karnataka, India. An informed consent was taken from parents or legal guardians in all children and the study has been approved by the institutional ethical committee.

The inclusion criteria for the cases were as follows:

1. Necrotic carious lesions of deciduous teeth affecting the pulp evidenced by an intraoral periapical radiograph.
2. Children should not have been undergoing any medical treatment and should not have received antibiotics in last 3 months.

The exclusion criteria were as follows:

1. Necrotic pulps in the teeth, with a radiolucent area in the furcation affecting the permanent successor teeth and recommended for exodontics.
2. Deciduous teeth with radicular resorption of more than half of the total root length.

3. Deciduous teeth with radicular resorption of more than half of the total root length. 1

...
CLINICAL PROCEDURE AND CULTURE

The root length was measured with a millimeter ruler on an intraoral periapical radiograph, and the working length for chemomechanical debridement determined. After anesthesia with lidocaine (Lignox 2%, Mumbai, India) and rubber dam isolation (Ash, Dentsply, UK), the carious tissue and the roof of the pulp chamber was removed with a spherical rotary bur no. 2 at high rotation. Endodontic access was achieved and the radicular canals of each deciduous molar received a sterile paper cone with an anatomic diameter compatible to the canal for 30 seconds. The first samples were then immediately placed in brain heart infusion (BHI). Thereafter, the chemomechanical debridement (CMD) was performed with Kerr files (MANI, Japan), with anatomic diameter compatible to the radicular canal, together with 0.5% sodium hypochlorite irrigation (SDFCL, Fine-Chem Ltd, Mumbai, India) after which the sample was obtained for culture as previously. Thereafter, access cavity was filled with MB dye solution (concentration 50 µg/ml or 134 mM) prepared by dissolving MB dye (Vanshi Chemicals, Mumbai, India) in sterile phosphate buffered saline (Loba Chemie Laboratory Reagents, Mumbai, India) and the entire solution was filter sterilized before use. The dye solution was placed in the coronal portion of the access cavity using a sterile disposable syringe and was allowed to remain within the cavity for 5 minutes followed by laser light from 36 mW/660 nm diode laser (Silberbauer Low Level Laser, Austria) (Fig. 1), applied for 4 minutes at an energy fluence of 8.6 J/cm², keeping the tip of the laser unit on the crown of the tooth (Fig. 2). After conclusion of the PDT, culture studies were again performed from the radicular canals of each deciduous tooth in the same manner as described above.

MICROBIOLOGICAL PROCESSING

All samples (before CMD, after CMD and after laser application) were cultivated so that we could detect the total number of viable bacteria.

RESULTS

The ufc/ml before CMD, after CMD and after PDT in each case and the mean reduction in our cases is shown in Tables 1 and 2. The mean reduction in ufc after CMD was 1,381-552.7 ufc/ml (83.9% reduction). After PDT the mean reduction was 1,637.4-635 ufc/ml (99.99% reduction). This difference was highly statistically significant (p = 0.002). The findings were similar in all the cases. The mean total viable microbes counts–before CMD, post-CMD and after PDT in the cases are shown in Graph 1 whereas the mean percentage reduction in viable microbial count–after CMD and after PDT is shown in Graph 2.

DISCUSSION

Success of endodontic treatment depends on the ability to obtain a maximally sterile root canal before obturation.2 Literature reports that endodontic therapy will have a 94% success rate when a negative microbiological culture is obtained from the root canal at the time of obturation which is reduced to 68% in case cultures are positive.5,6

The anatomical complexity of the deciduous root canal system with its isthmuses, ramifications, make complete debridement of bacteria almost impossible, even when
### Table 1: Total viable microbiological count (ufc/ml) before chemomechanical debridement, post-CMD and post-PDT and the reduction in the total viable microbial count observed with these treatments in each case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Before CMD (x) (ufc/ml)</th>
<th>Post-CMD (x1) (ufc/ml)</th>
<th>Difference (x-x1) (ufc/ml)</th>
<th>Reduction (%) (x-x1)</th>
<th>Post-photodynamic therapy (x2) (ufc/ml)</th>
<th>Difference (x-x2) (ufc/ml)</th>
<th>Reduction (%) (x-x2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>1938</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2160</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>1836</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2160</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1870</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1869</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>1709</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Mean viable microbiological counts before and after chemomechanical debridement and after photodynamic therapy in our cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before CMD(x) (ufc/ml)</th>
<th>Post-CMD (x1) (ufc/ml)</th>
<th>Difference (x-x1) (ufc/ml)</th>
<th>Percentage of Reduction (x-x1)</th>
<th>After photodynamic therapy (x2) (ufc/ml)</th>
<th>Difference (x-x2) (ufc/ml)</th>
<th>Percentage of reduction (x-x2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1637.5</td>
<td>256.5</td>
<td>1381.0</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1637.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>635.0</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>552.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>635.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-value</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant results

### Graph 1: The mean total viable microbes counts—before CMD, post-CMD and after PDT in the cases

### Graph 2: Mean percentage reduction in viable microbial count—after CMD and after PDT

Conventional methods of endodontic instrumentation and irrigation are performed to the highest technical standards. In recent years, PDT has been employed to target microorganisms in root canals suggesting its usefulness as an adjunct to current endodontic disinfection techniques. However, most of the studies have been done in vitro and on permanent teeth and very few in vivo with only one study till date being done on deciduous teeth.

In the present ‘in vivo’ study we used naturally infected teeth, as they contain a much broader spectrum of pathogens with deeper penetration into the tubules compared to any ‘in vitro’ system.

The end outcome of any photodynamic intervention is dependent on many factors like the power density (energy fluence), exposure time, photosensitizer concentration, its absorption spectra and retentivity, optical properties of tissues, and the electromagnetic radiation intensity reaching the therapy site. Though numerous dyes have been used for PDT, blue dyes have shown better results in reducing the bacterial and fungal cultures.
MB was used as the photosensitizer in this study because of its superior hydrophilicity along with its low molecular weight and positive charge, which allows passage across the porin-protein channels in the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. MB whose intravenous administration is FDA approved, predominantly interacts with the anionic macromolecule lipopolysaccharide and results in the generation of dimers, which participate in the photosensitization process. We used a 660 nm laser wavelength since it came closest to the ideal wavelength of 665 which has been shown to have the best absorption as far as MB solution in PBS is concerned.

The superior results obtained with regards to canal disinfection can be explained by understanding the effectiveness of PDT which is ultimately determined by the concentration of the photosensitizer.

In a similar study, which used 25 µg/ml MB dye in combination with a diode laser (660 nm, 30 J/cm² energy), an 80% reduction of the bacterial count was seen, whereas in the present study using the same diode laser (660 nm) at a much lower energy fluence of 8.6 J/cm², using the same photosensitizer MB dye but at a higher concentration of 50 µg/ml a total reduction of 99.9% in the total viable bacterial count was seen as studied by the microbial growth on culture plates showing complete absence of microbial colonies after PDT (Figs 3A to C).

In another study where MB was used at (25 µg/ml) for 5 minutes in combination with a laser of 665 nm and an energy fluence of 30 J/cm² resulted in complete elimination of all bacterial species with the exception of E. faecalis (53% killing). The same concentration of MB in combination with laser at 222 J/cm² was able to eliminate 97% of E. faecalis. Hence, in the present study, MB was used at a higher concentration of 50 µg/ml so as to determine its efficacy in obtaining canal disinfection without having to increase the laser dose and was successfully proved so.

Thus, it can be stated that PDT as an adjunct to chemomechanical debridement produces greater disinfection of the necrotic deciduous root canals. The importance of PDT seems to be accentuated more in the field of pediatric dentistry, where the anatomical and physiological characteristics of deciduous teeth limit the extent and effectiveness of CMD. However, further long-term studies are needed to study the effect of different laser systems at different power intensities and fluence levels so as to arrive at the most practical and feasible ‘dose’ for primary endodontic PDT.

**CONCLUSION**

The use of photosensitizing dye and a low-intensity laser appears to be a feasible, low-cost and nontraumatic alternative to complement classic endodontic therapy in the treatment of deciduous root canals.
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