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ABSTRACT

Aim: To determine the relevance of routine blood pressure (BP) measurement in patients attending the dental clinic.

Materials and methods: This was a retrospective survey of patients who attended the dental clinic in the Preventive Dentistry Department of a tertiary Hospital in Lagos, Nigeria over an eighteen month period. Data retrieved from the patient's hospital records included age, sex, BP and history of hypertension. Data was analyzed using Epi info 2011 package. p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: A total of 1910 patients’ records were assessed. Females represented 53.4% (n = 1020) of the study population. The mean age was 37.1 ± 15.0 years (range 18-88 years). The prevalence of hypertension was 39.9% (n = 763). Hypertension was stage 1 in 25.5%, stage 2 in 9.8% and severe in 4.6% of the dental patients. Past medical history of hypertension was obtained for 952 patients (49.8%). Of the 763 patients with high blood pressure, 14.8% had a known history of hypertension, while 42.1% were previously undiagnosed (p < 0.0001). Systolic and diastolic BP increased with increasing age (p < 0.05) and was significantly higher in males than females (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: The high prevalence of hypertension noted among the study population with its potential consequence during dental procedures makes the measurement of blood pressure a valuable assessment in a dental clinic.

Clinical significance: The high prevalence of hypertension particularly the previously undiagnosed cases among the dental patients highlights the relevance of routine blood pressure assessment prior to dental procedures in contemporary dental practice, so as to minimize the potential complications that could occur.
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INTRODUCTION

High blood pressure (HBP) popularly referred to as hypertension is the major risk factor associated with cardiovascular diseases. Hence, it is often called the ‘silent killer’ because of this risk. It also remains the commonest noncommunicable disease globally.1,2 According to the American Heart Association (2008), high blood pressure (classified as 140/90 mm Hg or above) is still the most common primary diagnosis in the United States, affecting nearly one out of every three Americans.

The picture is not much different in Nigeria, the most populous Black Country in Africa. Measuring a person’s blood pressure (BP) is the principal screening method for hypertension.3 As most Nigerians do not routinely take their BP readings, hypertension is undiagnosed being often asymptomatic. Therefore, many hypertensive individuals are unaware of their condition.1 The studies carried out on the general population have reported the prevalence of hypertension to be high, ranging from 12.4 to 34.8% in Nigeria.4-12 This relatively high prevalence coupled with the fact that it is largely undiagnosed in several individuals3 may have serious implications in patients, particularly those seeking dental treatment in the clinic.

This category of patients whilst undergoing complex dental treatment may be potentially at risk of developing some complications such as myocardial infarctions or stroke in the dental chair due to fluctuations in their BP.13,14 Furthermore, there is also the possibility of an increase of the BP by local anesthetic agents containing epinephrine which may result in an arrhythmia, a dangerous development in patients with hypertension. These risks are well documented in the literature.14

In spite of these risks; few studies have documented the profile of hypertension among dental patients in Nigeria, which may indicate that routine BP check may not be a
consistent practice in most dental clinics in Nigeria. A study by Ojehanon et al\textsuperscript{15} in Benin city, Nigeria found a prevalence of hypertension among dental patients to be 19.7%, while 10.3% of patients seen were found to have elevated BP—previously undiagnosed with hypertension. Sproat et al\textsuperscript{16} while screening patients attending a city dental practice in London discovered a prevalence of hypertension to be 39% with just 18% known to be previously hypertensive. The objective of the present study was to determine the relevance of routine BP measurement in adult patients attending the dental clinic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a retrospective, cross-sectional Survey of dental patients who had attended the Preventive Dentistry Clinic of the Lagos University Teaching Hospital over an 18 months period. This hospital serves as a referral center for many patients in Lagos, the commercial capital city of Nigeria. Lagos is a Cosmopolitan city that is home to about 16 million Nigerians. It is one of the fastest growing cities in the world, with a projected population of over 20 million by 2020. The hospital is the older of the two teaching hospitals in the state and serves the middle and lower class. The study group consisted of adult dental patients (>18 years of age) who came for dental check-up, and those referred from other peripheral hospitals between 2010 and 2012. This clinic serves both new and old patients coming for dental treatment within the hospital.

Data retrieved included the age, sex, blood pressure (BP) and known history of hypertension from the patients’ hospital records. Trained dental personnel, using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer measured the BP. Patients who were found to have elevated BP of ≥ 140/90 mm Hg, had their BP re-checked to confirm the elevated BP after resting for 2 to 5 minutes.

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) clinical guideline 127 Hypertension: clinical management of primary hypertension in adults was utilized to categorise patients into three groups.\textsuperscript{17} Stage 1 hypertension: clinic BP is 140/90 to 159/99 mm Hg. Stage 2 hypertension: clinic BP is 160/100 to 179/109 mm Hg. Severe hypertension: clinic systolic BP is 180 mm Hg or higher or clinic diastolic BP is 110 mm Hg or higher.

The data was analyzed using Epi info 2011 package. Chi-square test was used to explore associations between the BP measured during dental visit and previous history of hypertension. p-values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The total number of adult patients’ records assessed in this study was 1910. Their age ranged between 18 and 88 years with a mean age of 37.1 ± 15.0 years. Females represented 53.4% (n = 1020, mean age 37 ± 14.9 years) and males 46.6% (n = 890, mean age 37.2 ± 15.1 years) of the total study group.

The prevalence of hypertension among the dental patients was 39.9% (n = 763) as shown in Figure 1. Stage 1 hypertension was present in 25.5%, Stage 2 in 9.8% and severe in 4.6% of the patients (Table 1). Mean systolic BP in the study population was 123.6 ± 17.6 mm Hg, while the mean diastolic BP was 80.8 ± 13.5 mm Hg. Table 2 shows that the prevalence of hypertension increased significantly with increasing age group (p = 0.000). This is also shown by Fig. 2.

![Fig. 1: Distribution of normal vs high blood pressure in dental patients](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of Hypertension</th>
<th>SBP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>DBP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>&lt;140</td>
<td>&lt;90</td>
<td>1147 (60.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1 hypertension</td>
<td>140-159</td>
<td>90-99</td>
<td>488 (25.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2 hypertension</td>
<td>160-179</td>
<td>100-109</td>
<td>188 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hypertension</td>
<td>≥180</td>
<td>≥110</td>
<td>87 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP: Systolic blood pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>Total patient population</th>
<th>Patients with high blood pressure</th>
<th>Prevalence of high blood pressure in population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤19</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>62.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p = 0.000
The stages of hypertension were significantly associated with increasing age of the patients (p = 0.000) as represented by Table 3.

Table 4 shows the mean BP for both systolic and diastolic BP for each gender group and age group. The mean systolic BP was significantly higher in males (124.6 ± 17.3 mm Hg) than females (122.6 ± 17.8 mm Hg) (T-test, p = 0.015). Males also had a significantly higher mean diastolic BP than females (82 ± 13.7 mm Hg vs 81 ± 13.4 mm Hg) respectively (T-test, p = 0.024). The frequency of hypertension was higher in males (41.2%) than females (38.8%), but was not significantly associated.

In reviewing the patients’ case notes, 952 (49%) were noted to have given a history of presence or absence of hypertension. Of these, 763 had high BP during their dental visit.

Among patients found to have a high BP during their dental visit, only 14.3% (n = 109) were previously diagnosed hypertensives, 42.1% (n = 321) gave no history of hypertension in their case notes, while the remaining 43.6% (n = 333) had no documentation of the presence or absence of hypertension (p < 0.0001).

DISCUSSION

According to the American Society of Hypertension, the only way hypertension can be diagnosed is by measuring a person’s blood pressure. Once detected, treatment methods have been reported to reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease and fatality by as much as 30%. In our study, the prevalence of hypertension among dental patients attending the dental clinic in a tertiary hospital in Lagos, South-West Nigeria was 39.9%. This was quite high and alarming. This prevalence is also comparable to the 42.2% reported in a recent study by Ulasi et al. It could also be compared to the 32% reported by Kellogg and Dobetti in a similar study carried out on a Dental school patient population in the US. The value in our study and that of Ulasi et al are however higher than that reported in other prevalence studies in the general Nigerian population and among the dental patient population in Nigeria as well. These differences may perhaps reflect a rising prevalence in hypertension as seen globally. Other reasons could be the type of population group studied. The present study was in an urban setting which differed from the earlier studies some of which were in rural settings. This fact is supported by Addo et al who pointed out the higher prevalence in urban compared to rural studies.

Arguably, the effect of ‘White coat hypertension’ may have contributed to the elevated blood pressure in our study, particularly the anxiety associated with dental treatment. This effect however may have been minimal because our results are comparable with other prevalence studies on hypertension among the general Nigerian population. This high prevalence could be due to a number of factors. These include the increasing adoption of a westernized diet in developing countries like Nigeria. Other risk factors include a sedentary lifestyle, obesity, smoking, alcohol consumption and increasing age. The stress associated with living in a cosmopolitan city like Lagos is another factor that should not be overlooked in this study.
Few studies in Nigeria have indeed examined the prevalence of hypertension and its potential effects on general health in a dental clinic setting. In a similar study carried out among dental patients at a tertiary institution in Edo state Nigeria, the prevalence of hypertension in the study population was 19.7 and 10.3% representing patients with previously undiagnosed hypertension.

The high prevalence of high blood pressure in our study among the dental patients is a source of concern because of the potential risks and complications that have been reported to occur during some dental procedures. These include hemodynamic and cardiovascular changes particularly with the use of adrenalin-containing local anesthesia. The health of hypertensive dental patients may be endangered whenever they undergo any dental treatment without the dentist’s adequate consideration for their medical history and current medications. The stress associated with any dental procedure coupled with the effect of adrenalin-containing local anesthetic agents may complicate their dental treatment by increasing their already elevated blood pressure, causing acute severe life-threatening complications, such as cardiac arrest or cerebrovascular accident.

The blood pressure fluctuations may occur regardless of the type of dental treatment. Although, a recent study in a tertiary hospital in Lagos Nigeria reported hemodynamic changes to be within normal range in dental patients with controlled hypertension during tooth extraction when injected with 2% lignocaine with adrenaline.

Unfortunately, most hypertensive patients are not well controlled. This fact is supported by the literature which revealed that only a small percentage of hypertensive patients achieve an optimal control of their blood pressure. It therefore implies that there is a higher chance that most of the dental patients with a history of hypertension would be poorly controlled and this could have serious implications for the dentist during patient’s treatment. This information regarding the patient’s control of their blood pressure was however not provided in our study.

The present study also highlighted the proportion (14.3%) of patients with hypertension who had been previously diagnosed and were aware of their history of hypertension. This percentage was quite low compared to the overall prevalence (39.9%) of hypertension in our study. This thus buttresses the fact that detection of high blood pressure is low among dental patients in developing countries. This low level of detection and consequent control of hypertension has been attributed to scarce resources and inadequate healthcare provision in sub-Saharan Africa.

Despite the public enlightening programs carried out by the government, the public awareness still appears to be on the low side. These screening programs should be continued more frequently with the additional provision of antihypertensive drugs for free or at subsidized cost.

The prevalence of hypertension increased incrementally from 13.8% in ≤19 years old group to 68.8% in the ≥60 years old age group. This rising prevalence of hypertension with increasing age in both men and women in our study is not a new finding and is also supported by the American Society of Hypertension. It is consistent with the global trend in the prevalence of hypertension. Furthermore, hypertension has been identified as the most common systemic disease in elderly dental patients. This may thus imply that older patients would have a higher risk of developing untoward complications than younger dental patients. Males had overall higher blood pressure levels than females in this study. When compared within different age groups in the patients with hypertension, this difference was however not significant.

The level of importance attached by dentists and students to hypertension among dental patients appears inadequate particularly past medical history and the documentation of such. This was reflected by the wide gap in the data between blood pressure assessment and record of previous history of hypertension in patient’s case notes. This was due to the discovery that 958 (50.2%) patients did not have records of their past medical history of hypertension. Either, they did not know their hypertension status, or knew and declined to give the information. This is not surprising as some people in this environment are sentimental and have cultural or religious beliefs which may prevent them from undergoing regular blood pressure checks or admitting they have hypertension fearing that it might get worse. This is further buttressed by Ekwunife et al who identified the reason given by most of the people who declined to participate in their study, which was the fear of being diagnosed with hypertension once their blood pressure was measured. Some dentists may have also overlooked this record during their history taking. This gap in information was a constraint and a limitation in our study which we hope to address in future studies. In view of this, we recommend that dental students and dentists should always obtain a history of hypertension and also endeavor to document it in the patient’s case notes, given the high prevalence that this study revealed. Other limitations of the study are the retrospective nature which reduces reliability of the medical records. The check of elevated BP to determine the diagnosis of hypertension should have been at another visit documenting a sustained elevation in BP. On the other hand, one of the strengths of the study is the large sample size. Following the limitations of clinic BP measurement in making a diagnosis of hypertension, it may be necessary
to use ambulatory or home BP measurements to confirm elevated BP found during a clinic visit. This will certainly remove the white-coat hypertension effect. Another future direction to this study is the need to correlate hypertension with periodontal disease among dental patients attending the clinic, because of the recent link of periodontal disease to cardiovascular disease.

Dentists should also pay attention to patients on diuretics which have additional anti cholinergic effects and cause reduced salivary flow predisposing the patient to root caries. The importance of regular assessment of the blood pressure of dental patients at each visit, past medical history and complete documentation in patients’ case notes cannot be overemphasized.

CONCLUSION

Hypertension is highly prevalent among routine dental patients. There is therefore the need to perform routine blood pressure assessment on these patients before dental procedures.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

The importance of routine blood pressure measurements for all patients attending the dental clinic is highlighted in this study as shown by the high prevalence of hypertension particularly the previously undiagnosed cases. This will help to minimize the potential risks associated with dental procedures in patients with uncontrolled high blood pressure.

Dentists should also educate their patients on the health risk factors associated with hypertension, and help to promote healthy lifestyle changes.
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