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ABSTRACT

Background: Augmentation of the bone is done to create the sufficient bone volume for ideal implant placement. This case report presents the effective augmentation of the socket using corticocancellous graft and AlloDerm.

Methods: Failing 47 was extracted. Socket had a severe buccal dehiscence extending and a thin keratinized mucosa around. After thorough debridement, socket walls were decorticated and corticocancellous graft and allograft GBR was tacked over the socket. Mucoperiosteal flap was sutured with 5-0 nylon sutures (Ethicon) Bone core biopsy was done for histologic assessment and site was restored with screw-retained implant prosthesis.

Results: Histologic assessment revealed ingrowth of new bone around the bone graft. Stable soft and hard tissue results have been seen with 2 years follow-up of the case.

Conclusion: Results indicated that ridge preservation using corticocancellous graft and AlloDerm prevented the ridge resorption and helped in enhancement of bone fill and soft tissue volume.

Keywords: Guided bone regeneration, GBR, Ridge augmentation, AlloDerm, Socket augmentation, Perio-endo.

INTRODUCTION

Resorption of the residual alveolar ridge is an inadvertent process after extraction. Chen\textsuperscript{1} and Schropp\textsuperscript{2} have reported an average 0.34 to 7.7 mm horizontal and 0.2 to 3.25 mm vertical bone loss 6 months after extraction. Resorbed bone presents difficulty in placement of implant and planning of the future prosthesis. To reduce these difficulties ridge bone maintenance procedures using biomaterials were utilized to preserve the ridge anatomy and increase the possibility of rehabilitation after tooth extraction. The case report aims at evaluating the efficacy of alveolar ridge preservation technique.

CASE REPORT

Patient presented with pain in lower right region of the mouth. Intraoral examination showed 47 sensitive to percussion with deep periodontal pocket. Radiographic evaluation revealed a fractured 46 (Figs 1 to 3). Treatment planned for the case included: extraction of the tooth, socket augmentation, a delayed placement of the implant-retained prosthesis. After administering local anesthesia, failing 47 was extracted. Thorough debridement of the socket was done to remove the diseased granulation tissue (Fig. 4). A wide buccal dehiscence was present. Horizontal bone width was measured with bone caliper after tooth extraction with reference to the centerpoint of the buccal defect as 5.7 mm. Socket walls were decorticated to freshen the fresh bleeding. Corticocancellous graft MinerOss (Biohorizon) was filled into the extraction socket to the crestal confines of the socket (Fig. 5). AlloDerm GBR (Biohorizon) was tacked over the socket buccally and lingually. Soft tissue was coronally advanced and 5-0 nylon (Ethicon) sutures were placed in (Fig. 6) Augmentin (Glaxosmithline) was prescribed as 675 mg for 5 days. After 12 days the sutures were removed. Radiographic evaluation at 9 months presented as well-condensed bone (Fig. 7) and a thick keratinized soft tissue (Fig. 8). Ridge mapping revealed a midbuccal horizontal width and the soft tissue thickness as 6.1 and 2.3 mm respectively. Trephine bone core biopsy was done for histologic examination. Biohorizon (4.2 × 10 mm) implant was placed in (Fig. 9). At 4 months, cover screw was removed and a 2 mm healing abutment was placed in (Fig. 10) 2 weeks later, impression was recorded for PFM prosthesis (Fig. 11). Owing to the reduced interocclusal space, a screw retained prosthesis was seated in (Figs 12 and 13). The abutment screw was ratched at 35 Ncm and the access hole was sealed. Histological examination under (H&E, ×400) magnification revealed active bone formation in the areas adjacent to the graft material (Fig. 14).

RESULTS

Twenty-five months follow-up postrestoration revealed a stable prosthesis in function (Fig. 15).

DISCUSSION

Postextraction resorption rate is higher buccally than lingually.\textsuperscript{3} The loss of width of the alveolar ridge is always higher than the ridge height.\textsuperscript{4,5} Higher resorption has been reported in the maxilla than mandible.\textsuperscript{3} Socket augmentation helps to reduce the localized ridge deformities and help in a successful rehabilitation with endosseous implants.\textsuperscript{8} Research has shown that ridge bone maintenance procedures with the use of the biomaterials, helps in decreasing the expected bone loss and increases the success of rehabilitation.
after tooth extraction.\textsuperscript{9,10} Combination of bone grafts with other materials, e.g. PRP, GTR membranes, collagen plug, etc. were introduced to maximize the effect of the bone formation. In this case, we evaluate clinically, histologically and radiographically the tissues formed around the implant in a socket presenting buccal bone defect utilizing the guided bone regeneration technique with corticocancellous graft and allograft GBR membrane. The GBR technique resulted in
0.4 mm increase of horizontal width and a quantum bone fill around the implant. Appreciable keratinized mucosa resulted after healing. Histological section showed a viable bone formation in the vicinity of the bone graft with absence of any inflammatory cell findings.

CONCLUSION

The results from this case report indicates that ridge preservation is the ideal option to prepare the extraction site for future implant supported rehabilitation.
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Fig. 13: Screw-retained prosthesis in place

Fig. 14: Active bone formation adjacent to the resorbing graft particles (H&E, ×400)

Fig. 15: Twenty-five months postrestoration
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