ABSTRACT
Tuberculosis is an important health problem requiring early diagnosis for timely initiation of therapy and control of disease transmission. Though, conventional techniques, such as detection of acid fast bacilli by Ziehl-Neelsen staining, are very economical, yet have a low sensitivity. Isolation of mycobacteria by culture on Lowenstein Jensen media, considered to be the gold standard, is not only time consuming but has a low sensitivity, especially in extrapulmonary tuberculosis. Recent advances in molecular techniques have revolutionized the diagnostic microbiology. Various new modalities in the diagnosis of tuberculosis, like LED microscopy, microscopically observed drug susceptibility testing (MODS), antigen detection tests along with various molecular methods, like loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), multiplex PCR and Xpert MTB/RIF, are discussed in the present review.
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INTRODUCTION
Tuberculosis (TB) is a major public health problem worldwide and according to World Health Organization (WHO), India, accounts for one-fifth of this global burden of TB.1 Prompt and accurate diagnosis is of paramount importance both for better patient outcome and for control of disease. Past few decades have witnessed a tremendous improvement in the modalities available for the diagnosis of tuberculosis with the introduction of newer microscopic, culture and molecular methods. Though conventional microscopy is the backbone of tuberculosis control programs, yet is limited by low sensitivity.2 Even culture, which is considered to be a gold standard is inadequate due to slow turnaround time and low sensitivity.3 Diagnosis of tuberculosis is a challenging task, especially in paucibacillary conditions, including extrapulmonary cases, HIV patients and pediatric population. Major problems in HIV patients arise because of smear negativity due to low bacillary load. This low bacillary load has been attributed to noncavitary lesions in HIV patients, like miliary TB pneumonia or Lymph Node TB. In pediatric population, the major limiting factor is the difficulty in sample collection.4 Thus, there is a need for rapid, sensitive and specific test for diagnosis of both pulmonary and extrapulmonary conditions.5

Additionally, drug-resistant tuberculosis including multidrug (MDR-TB) and extensively drug resistant TB (XDR-TB) are on the rise. Early detection and treatment of these cases is a primary goal in minimizing the spread. Conventional antimycobacterial susceptibility testing (proportion method) is a time consuming procedure, so a rapid detection of drug resistant tuberculosis is the need of the hour.6,7 Nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) are not only being increasingly recognized as pathogenic in immunocompromised or transplant patients but also are being increasingly reported in immunocompetent individuals. This has been possible due to the availability of improved diagnostic modalities.8 The clinical presentation of NTM is often hard to differentiate from that of MTBC; therefore, it is important to accurately identify NTM for the timely and proper treatment of these patients.9

In the present review, we are going to highlight the latest development in diagnostic modalities for tuberculosis, including advances in microscopy, culture techniques along with brief description of molecular techniques being used in the diagnosis of tuberculosis.

MICROSCOPY
Conventional microscopy using Ziehl-Neelsen staining is a rapid and cost-effective way of detecting tubercular bacilli but lacks sensitivity. Lower sensitivity is encountered in pediatric TB, extrapulmonary TB and in HIV-infected TB patients.2 Conventional fluorescence microscopy is more sensitive than Ziehl-Neelsen staining and takes less time.10 It is 10% more sensitive as compared to conventional microscopy.11 But, its use has been limited by the high cost of the fluorescent microscope due to which it is available at few referral laboratories.

Recent Advances in Microscopy
Light-emitting diodes (LED) have been developed to offer the benefits of fluorescent microscopy without the associated costs. According to WHO, LED microscopy is more sensitive than conventional light microscopy and has a qualitative, operational and cost advantages over both conventional fluorescence and light microscopy.10 According to a study by Shenai et al, LED microscopy had sensitivity of 78.3% and specificity of 92.0% for diagnosis of pulmonary specimens and sensitivity of 34.0% and specificity of 88.8% for extrapulmonary specimens. The mean time per smear examination is 1.41 minute for LED microscopy as compared to 2.48 minutes for ZN stain. Thus,
it has significant benefits over both ZN microscopy and conventional FM. Adequate training and detailed standard operating procedures are required to maximize accuracy.\textsuperscript{12,13}

**ADVANCES IN CULTURE METHODS**

**BACTEC MGIT 960 Culture System**

The BACTEC MGIT 960 culture system is a fluorescent signalling system for earlier detection of growth.\textsuperscript{14} MGIT has several advantages over the BACTEC 460 TB system (radiometric system). It provides an early recovery of Mycobacterium, i.e. within 10 days as compared to 24 to 28 days by conventional culture methods and drug susceptibility can be checked in shorter time span.\textsuperscript{14} The major limitation is high cost of equipment and availability only at limited tertiary care centers.

**MODS (Microscopic-Observation Drug-Susceptibility) Assay**

The MODS assay addresses two key gaps in resource-limited settings with a high tuberculosis burden: rapid and accurate detection of *M. tuberculosis* and simultaneous drug susceptibility testing.\textsuperscript{15} It is based on three principles: first; *M. tuberculosis* grows faster in liquid medium, second; characteristic cord formation can be visualized microscopically, third; the incorporation of drugs permits rapid and direct drug-susceptibility testing concomitantly with the detection of bacterial growth.\textsuperscript{15} It has several advantages as it requires only an inverted light microscope, whereas automated mycobacterial culture requires computer-linked automated culture incubators which are expensive. Only disadvantage of MODS is bacterial contamination, especially with aerobic spore bearers due to highly enriched medium.\textsuperscript{16}

In a meta-analysis of 12 studies, Minion et al have shown a pooled sensitivity of 92\% and specificity of 96\% for MODS in the detection of *M. tuberculosis* (Table 1). The average contamination rate was around 6.6\% for MODS and the turnaround time was 9.2 days. Thus, MODS is an inexpensive, rapid alternative to conventional method for drug susceptibility testing of *M. tuberculosis*. The current available data supports WHO’s recommendation for use of selected noncommercial drug-susceptibility tests, including MODS, as an interim solution until capacity for genotypic or automated liquid culture drug susceptibility testing is developed.\textsuperscript{17}

**ANTIGEN DETECTION METHODS**

Tests that detect *M. tuberculosis* antigens in clinical specimens could provide rapid direct evidence of infection. Most frequently targeted antigen is Lipoarabinomannan (LAM). For pulmonary TB, sensitivity estimates ranged from 2 to 100\% and specificity from 33 to 100\%. The pooled sensitivity of urine LAM was higher in HIV-infected than HIV-uninfected individuals (47\% vs 14\%); pooled specificity estimates were similar: 96 and 97\% respectively. For extrapulmonary TB, sensitivity estimates ranged from 0 to 100\% and specificity estimates from 62 to 100\%. Before one can use antigen detection tests as a rapid point-of-care test, research to improve their performance is urgently needed.\textsuperscript{26}

**MOLECULAR METHODS**

Nucleic acid amplification methods have revolutionized the diagnostic microbiology. NAATs are the most promising development for rapid diagnosis of TB and rapid drug-susceptibility testing. For *M. tuberculosis*, a number of nucleic acid amplification techniques are available as commercial or in-house tests. The examples of commercially available amplification methods using various targets is summarized in Table 2.

Besides, being costly, commercially available NAATs suffer from low sensitivity in smear negative cases and also in extrapulmonary tuberculosis. These tests have a good sensitivity only in smear positive sputum samples.\textsuperscript{36}

**In-house PCR**

Sensitivity of in-house polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is variable ranging from 45 to 95\%. Various targets had been used for diagnosis of tuberculosis including, 38kDa, devR, IS6110 and MPB64. There is heterogeneity in results of in-house PCR. The reasons for heterogeneity are, sample volume, presence of inhibitors, DNA extraction protocol, smear positive vs smear negative cases, pulmonary vs extrapulmonary TB (paucibacillary) and uniplex vs
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**Table 1:** The sensitivity and specificity of MODS test in the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no.</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Sputum samples (n)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Multiplex PCR protocols. Uniplex PCR targets the single target whereas multiplex PCR targets more than one target in a single reaction mixture. Most commonly used target in uniplex PCR is insertion sequence IS6110 as it is present in multiple copy number but it is absent in 10 to 40% of Indian isolates of *M. tuberculosis*. In tuberculous meningitis patients, we have also evaluated the 38 kDa primer with a sensitivity and specificity of 83 and 100% respectively. Multiplex PCR has more advantages as compared to uniplex PCR. Multiplex PCR is more sensitive and specific. In our own experience, we have seen that targeting more than one site, i.e. site other than IS6110, greatly enhances the sensitivity of multiplex PCR. We have evaluated multiplex PCR using protein-b, MPB 64 and IS6110 primers directed against *M. tuberculosis* complex for the diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis (TBM). The multiplex PCR showed a high sensitivity of 86.63% and specificity of 100% as compared to conventional methods. In osteoarticular tuberculosis, also, multiplex targeting IS6110 and MPB 64 showed a high sensitivity of 100% in confirmed cases and 81.8% in clinically suspected cases with a specificity of 100%. Thus, multiplex PCR is more sensitive as compared to uniplex PCR and can be carried out in resource limiting countries where commercially available NAATs are still very far from the reach of routine diagnostic laboratories. We also have noted a high sensitivity and specificity for MPCR in other extrapulmonary conditions, like ocular TB, gastrointestinal TB, lymph node TB and female genital tract TB.

Molecular techniques, like PCR, are being used for the detection of NTM with increased sensitivity and specificity. Bhattacharya et al developed a multiplex PCR based on amplification of 165, 365 and 541 bp target fragments of unrelated genes, hsp 65 coding for 65 kDa antigen, dnaJ gene of mycobacteria and insertion element IS6110 of *M. tuberculosis*, respectively. This multiplex PCR was tested over 5 years from 1996 to 2001 with 411 clinical specimens from suspected cases of tuberculosis and mycobacterioses and compared with standard laboratory techniques. The multiplex PCR was positive for 379 cases compared with 280 cases by standard techniques (p < 0.0001). It could distinguish between strains of the *M. tuberculosis* complex and NTM; the results are comparable with standard techniques. Thus, the multiplex PCR can be useful in early detection, species differentiation and epidemiology. In our laboratory, we have standardized multtargeted PCR for rapid diagnosis of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. avium*. By using this method, we have reported for the first time from our centre a coinfection of *M. tuberculosis* and *M. avium* in HIV positive patient.

Loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) is an isothermal amplification technique which can amplify the target region rapidly and efficiently. It can be carried out in a simple water bath and relies on auto-cycling strand displacement DNA synthesis by a Bst DNA polymerase. The large amount of DNA generated in less than an hour and positive LAMP reaction can be visualized with the naked eye by adding 0.1% SYBR Green to the tube and observing the color of the solution under UV light. The solution turns green in the presence of a LAMP amplicon, while it remains orange in the absence of amplification. The previous studies have shown a good sensitivity and specificity of 88 to 100% and 94 to 100% respectively. LAMP has several advantages: does not require thermocycler, is rapid, simple and cost-effective method for diagnosis in resource limited setting.

**Xpert MTB/RIF**

To respond to the urgent need for simple and rapid diagnostic tools at the point of care in high-burden countries, a fully automated (Xpert® MTB/RIF) molecular test for tuberculosis case detection and drug-resistance testing has been developed. The Xpert® MTB/RIF purifies, concentrates, amplifies (by real-time PCR) and identifies targeted nucleic acid sequences in the TB genome. The Xpert MTB/RIF detects *M. tuberculosis* (MTB) and resistance to rifampin (RIF) using heminested real-time polymerase-chain-reaction (PCR) assay by amplifying MTB-specific sequence of the rpoB gene, which is probed with molecular beacons for mutations within the rifampin-resistance determining region. It provides results from unprocessed sputum samples in 90 minutes, with minimal biohazard and very little technical training is required to operate the machine. MTB/RIF test correctly detected rifampin resistance with a sensitivity of 99.1% and specificity of 100%. Vadwai et al evaluated extrapulmonary specimens which were split and processed
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**Table 2:** Performance of commercial kits in direct detection of MTB by nucleic acid amplification using different targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleic acid target</th>
<th>Test name</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%) Smear positive</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%) Smear negative</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16S rRNA</td>
<td>Cobas Amplicor MTB</td>
<td>91.7-95.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen b</td>
<td>LCx</td>
<td>92.1-96.7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23S rRNA</td>
<td>NucliSens QT</td>
<td>91.1-95.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS6110</td>
<td>BD-Probe Tec ET</td>
<td>92.1-98.5</td>
<td>40.3-53.1</td>
<td>32,33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16S rRNA</td>
<td>Cobas TaqMan MTB</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>34,35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
simultaneously for both culture (solid and liquid) and Xpert testing. The sensitivity of the Xpert assay was 81% (64% for smear-negative cases and 96% for smear-positive cases), with a specificity of 99.6% (Tables 3 and 4). The sensitivity was found to be high for the majority of specimen types (63 to 100%) except for cerebrospinal fluid, the sensitivity of which was 29%. The Xpert test correctly identified 98% of phenotypic rifampin (RIF)-resistant cases and 94% of phenotypic RIF-susceptible cases. Thus, Xpert test has so far shown good potentials for the diagnosis of both pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB and is suitable for TB endemic countries.

Currently, the major hindrance is its high cost, instrument alone costs approximately 17,000 US $. However, to expand its adoption in developing countries, Foundation for innovative new diagnostics (FIND) and other agencies have announced their agreement to significantly reduce the cost of this rapid TB diagnostic tool in 145 high-burden countries including India. Cost of single cartridge would be around 9.98 US $/test.

Molecular Line Probe Assays

Novel technologies for rapid detection of anti-TB drug resistance are the need of the hour. Molecular line probe assays focused on rapid detection of rifampicin resistance (alone or in combination with isoniazid). The most effectively studied commercial molecular line probe assays are (1) INNO-LiPA Rif.TB kit—Innogenetics, Zwijndrecht, Belgium, (2) genotype MTBDR and genotype MTBDRplus assay—Hain Lifescience, Germany. Both of these assays are PCR-based and detect M. tuberculosis complex and specific mutations in the rpoB gene conferring rifampicin resistance. The genotype MTBDRplus assay also simultaneously detects specific mutations in the katG gene conferring high-level isoniazid resistance as well as those in the inhA gene conferring low-level isoniazid resistance. INNO-LiPA Rif.TB kit is labelled for use on M. tuberculosis isolates grown on solid culture. While, genotype MTBDR and genotype MTBDRplus assays are labelled for use on isolates from solid and liquid culture as well as directly on smear-positive pulmonary specimens.

In a systematic review and meta-analysis, to evaluate the accuracy of LiPA for the detection of rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis among culture isolates and clinical specimens Morgan et al included 15 studies that met inclusion criteria in literature search. Of these, 11 studies used culture isolates, one used clinical specimens, and three used both. A summary receiver operating characteristics (sROC) analysis is a statistical technique that can be applied to meta-analysis of diagnostic tests. The sROC curve is initially constructed by plotting the sensitivity (true positivity) and false positivity (1–specificity) of each study. There are three commonly used methods to assess the accuracy of the test: the exact area under the curve (AUC) for the sROC function, the homogeneous AUC, and the index Q*. A test close to ideal an index Q* close to 1. In contrast, a test of poor discriminatory ability has an index Q* close to 0.5. LiPA is a highly sensitive and specific test for the detection of rifampicin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Sensitivity All culture positive</th>
<th>Sensitivity Sputum positive, culture positive</th>
<th>Sensitivity Sputum negative, culture positive</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lima, Peru</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
<td>88.1%</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
<td>98.0%</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baku, Azerbaijan</td>
<td>88.6%</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
<td>98.7%</td>
<td>97.6%</td>
<td>93.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Town, South Africa</td>
<td>86.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kampala, Uganda</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>87.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vellore, India</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
<td>85.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manila, Philippines</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
<td>96.2%</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
<td>95.7%</td>
<td>95.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90.3%</td>
<td>98.3%</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
<td>96.8%</td>
<td>96.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of direct MTB/RIF test (Adapted from Lancet 2011;377:1495-1505)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV positive</th>
<th>HIV negative</th>
<th>HIV status unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smear microscopy</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
<td>68.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTB/RIF test</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
<td>90.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum positive</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum negative</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity in non-tuberculosis samples</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear microscopy</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of direct MTB/RIF test (Adapted from Lancet 2011;377:1495-1505)
resistance in culture isolates. The test appears to have relatively lower sensitivity when used directly on clinical specimens. Table 5 shows recent studies that have evaluated commercial line probe assays.

Mycobacterial strain typing is important, both for the analysis of the spread of tuberculosis and for monitoring the development of antibiotic resistance. Molecular fingerprinting of M. tuberculosis is particularly challenging due to its clonal nature. Development of rapid typing methods remains important, and alternative PCR-based techniques are particularly promising, as they may facilitate both rapid diagnosis and molecular typing of tuberculosis. Repeat amplification by using the conventional IS6110-RFLP typing has been supplemented by PCR-based methods, such as spoligotyping and double-repetitive-element (DRE)-typing. Other typing techniques MIRU-VNTR (mycobacterial interspersed repetitive units-variable number of tandem repeats) are being increasingly used for typing.

UREASE BREATH TEST FOR RAPID DIAGNOSIS OF TB

Metabolic pathway detection may provide rapid and effective new tools for TB that can improve TB diagnostics for children and HIV-infected patients. Metabolic breath tests have advantages because these are safe and rapid tool for drug efficacy evaluation during clinical trials. The signal correlated with bacterial load both for primary diagnostics and treatment monitoring. Clinical trials are currently ongoing. Urea breath testing may provide a useful diagnostic and biomarker assay for tuberculosis and treatment response.

CONCLUSION

Rapid and accurate diagnosis of M. tuberculosis infection and drug susceptibility testing is now possible due to the availability of various new diagnostic modalities, including LED microscopy, BACTEC mycobacteria growth indicator tube (MGIT) culture technique, and molecular assays. PCR is now being incorporated as routine diagnostic test in tertiary care centers. Despite availability of these techniques, there is still a need to develop a rapid and accurate point of care test which is highly required for the diagnosis of tuberculosis at the community level.
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