ABSTRACT

Dental literature is devoid of information in respect of altered incisal pin relation due to compression molding in a single denture. It is to be reckoned that in rebasing technique, the entire segment of teeth remain fixed through a matrix of set polymethylmethacrylate that binds all the teeth. Thus, the artificial teeth do not remain as individual but act as a single unified unit of all the teeth. In view of lack of information in change in vertical dimension due to rebasing procedures and with the aim of determining the method of rebasing that result in minimal changes in occlusal discrepancy, the study was undertaken to evaluate the changes in vertical dimension of occlusion after rebasing using two different methods.
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INTRODUCTION

It has been observed that the commonly followed compression molding procedure causes migration of artificial teeth in the mold. The resultant shift adversely affects the planned occlusion which is manifested by the loss of incisal pin contact with the incisal table of the articulator. In this respect dental literature gives ample information on the variables associated with such migration of teeth thereby stressing the importance of controlling these variables.

One such area of prosthodontic treatment and interest is rebasing for complete dentures. Rebasing is a process of refitting a denture by replacing the denture base material without changing the occlusal relations of the teeth. Rebasing may be necessary when the existing denture base resin is too light or dark in color for the patient. It may also be required if a newly processed denture exhibits porosity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For the purpose of convenience the methods adopted in this project have been described under the following captions.

a. Fabrication of dentures
b. Rebasing techniques

Fabrication of Dentures

Sixty test dentures were fabricated which were identical in all respects.
traveling microscope (Fig. 2). Mean and standard deviation were calculated and were subjected to statistical analysis (Students t-test, unpaired and one-way ANOVA test). The findings of the study as well as statistical analysis have been presented in tabulated form. All the measurements were calculated using a traveling microscope having a least count of 0.001 cm.

This table shows statistical comparison (Student’s t-test unpaired) of the amount of rise of incisal pin from the incisal table with both the methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Mean (mm)</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.530</td>
<td>0.06510</td>
<td>12.87</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.296</td>
<td>0.07514</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HS: Highly significant

DISCUSSION

Rebasing involves refitting a denture by replacing the denture base material without changing the occlusal relations of the teeth. Rebasing may be needed if the patient is not happy with the color of the existing denture base or if the processed denture exhibits porosity. Since a rebased denture is of polymethylmethacrylate also, it undergoes the same processing errors due to polymerization shrinkage as it is in routine complete denture processing thereby affecting the changes in occlusion.

Hooper,12 used a Hooper duplicator to rebase the dentures, Morrow, Rudd and Rhoads13 used a reline jig, an articulator and a denture flask to rebase the dentures. Various other authors14-16 have either used an articulator or a denture flask to rebase a denture.

If at all there is plethora of doubt in mind with regards to the shift of teeth in processed dentures, it has been addressed in this project work. A number of variables in respect of changes in occlusion1,3-5,17-22 have been widely debated.

According to the studies conducted by Nelson, Kotwal and Sevedge6 and Strohaver8 the rise of incisal pin produced by compression molding procedures were under 1 mm. But the present study which was conducted showed that the incisal pin opening was found to be between 1.25 and 1.55 mm. Though the magnitude of change in incisal pin opening seems to be higher it may be attributed to occlusal changes due to elimination of existing denture base, changes in index either on the articulator or in the dental flask or dimensional changes in silicone putty. This is in addition to the occlusal changes induced by polymerization shrinkage by polymethylmethacrylate.

However, when the two methods of rebasing were compared for the amount of incisal pin rise from the incisal table it was found to be statistically highly significant.
(t-value: 12.87, p-value: 0.0000, at 1% level of significance) as shown in the table. Method B (putty occlusal index) which had less amount of incisal pin rise from the incisal table was better but only marginally than method A when the mean values were compared. Method B produced less change in occlusion (reflected by incisal pin rise from the incisal table).

Method B (putty occlusal index) was easy and a convenient method and produced less amount of incisal pin rise from the incisal table though the cost factor of using putty impression material may be a disadvantage. It is recommended for the clinicians that this method may be followed routinely. The magnitudes of changes in occlusion represented by rise of vertical incisal pin are according to the materials and method used in the study and may vary if any of the parameters or materials and methods are altered.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Rebasings require complete elimination of existing denture base leaving only a string of teeth. The procedure of rebasing could be accomplished by indexing either using an articulator or a dental flask, followed by flasking in a conventional manner. These methods employed during rebasing may result in changes in vertical dimension to the foundation. The evaluation of the two methods (method A and method B) in respect of these parameters was studied by rebasing 30 dentures employing each technique.

As all the 60 dentures were indexed using dental stone on an articulator, after rebasing, they were put back on the articulator to check for the amount of incisal pin rise from the incisal table.

When the two methods were compared for the amount of incisal pin rise from the incisal table method B showed less amount of incisal pin rise than method A.

Based on the observations, as well as results of this study the following conclusions were drawn:

1. Both the methods resulted in rise of incisal pin from the incisal table after evaluation of rebased dentures on the articulator.
2. Method B produced less rise in incisal pin opening (mean value = 1.296 mm) as compared to method A (mean value = 1.530 mm). The variation between rise in incisal pin resulting due to methods A and B for rebasing was statistically highly significant.
3. Method B, i.e. use of silicone putty index directly during flasking, was found to be convenient, less time consuming method and also resulted in less rise of incisal pin, indicating less changes in occlusal relationship to the foundation.
4. It is recommended that method B (putty occlusal index) method may be preferred over method A (dental stone occlusal index) of rebasing.

REFERENCES


ABOUT THE AUTHORS

**Shammas Mohammed (Corresponding Author)**
Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Sri Rajiv Gandhi College of Dental Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India, e-mail: shammasm@rediffmail.com

**Rama Krishna Alla**
Assistant Professor, Department of Dental Materials, Vishnu Dental College, Bhimavaram, Andhra Pradesh, India

**Achut Devarhubli**
Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Sri Rajiv Gandhi College of Dental Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

**SK Shakeel**
Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Best Dental Science College Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India