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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to test for a significant link between personality trait and muscle dysmorphia in bodybuilders. A total of 212 bodybuilders filled the muscle dysmorphia inventory of which 44.3% (n = 94) were found to be muscle dysmorphic. Their personality traits assessed using eysenck personality profiler in the vienna testing system machine revealed 65% of muscle dysmorphic bodybuilders being predominantly oriented toward extroversion type of personality. Further statistical analysis revealed, the significance between the muscle dysmorphia inventory and the personality traits and its results are discussed. Estimating the prevalence and categorizing based on personality has rather opened a new gateway to identify such vulnerable men who are susceptible to their own drive for muscularity.
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INTRODUCTION

Men obsessed with a drive for muscularity or in better word an ideal body image, in which bodybuilders being more susceptible are often at risk for developing body dysmorphic disorders (BDD) like muscle dysmorphia (MD). Such vulnerable men passionate with their body and image think of being superman like, invincible, sometimes even unattractive or monstrous. MD also known as reverse anorexia nervosa, is characterized by a chronic preoccupation that one is insufficiently muscular (or sometimes, especially in the case of women, both muscular and lean). The body building populations were reported to be majorly affected by this BDD. These are the men who obviously have the desire to look more muscular than the others thriving hard to achieve a Herculean physique. A compulsive need to maintain a strict workout and diet schedule makes these men to miss important social or family events, stick to a strict exercise schedule, hide their physique from others, which are considered as characteristic features of MD. Also there is a preoccupation in them of not being identical as others, and of being small or not enough muscular.

Preoccupation defined as an extreme or excessive concern, is nevertheless ascribed to social factors, where body image itself is a psychological phenomenon significantly affected by social factors. One of the primordial social factors being the exposure to magazines and movies. Films do make an impact on the mind leading to imagine a larger than life portrait, causing frequent evaluation of one’s body to gain information about size, shape or weight. It is the concept of learned behavior that the media projects in viewer’s mind, where the mental image drawn in the mind is harder to prevail over. Men with MD were even thought to have psychiatric conditions. Further, there is an evidence of BDD being associated with Type D personality which necessitates the need for personality to be assessed in the context of bodybuilders affected with MD.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Data’s were collected in India. A total of 212 male bodybuilders participated in the study, of which 149 bodybuilders were from the city of Amritsar, Punjab and 63 bodybuilders were from Chennai, Tamil Nadu. Of these, 94 bodybuilders were found to be symptomatic to MD with an age limit of 18 to 34 years and mean age being 23.31 ± 3.64. In our study, both recreational and professional bodybuilders were included and all the bodybuilders were self-identified. There was no definite criteria to identify the...
recreational bodybuilders, as they were bound to exercise only on their own will either as a pass time activity or simply to gain or reduce weight and were intended to continue their exercises regularly. The professional bodybuilders were mostly the gym trainers who exercise regularly and who had a minimum of one stage appearance. The data’s of bodybuilders were taken in a large scale, with an informed consent being supplied to each participant and detailed instruction about the study was explained prior to the commencement of the procedure.

Procedure

A 6-factor 27-item muscle dysmorphia inventory (MDI)\textsuperscript{22,23} questionnaire was used to identify the symptomatic bodybuilders. Rhea et al in 2004, classified the MDI with its 6 subscales of ‘diet’, ‘supplement’, ‘physique’, ‘exercise dependence’, ‘size and symmetry’ and ‘pharmacology’ under a unique scoring system with specified cutoff scores. The cutoff scores determined the level of MD. The higher the score is the more symptomatic one is toward MD. The MDI has self-explanatory questions like, ‘how many hours do you exercise in a day’; ‘what is your diet pattern like?’; ‘do you take energy supplements prior to or after exercises’; ‘do you use steroids or other drugs’, that was spread through its 6 subscales. Irrespective of all these factors the participants were seen on the whole as ‘bodybuilders’ and the data was narrowed and concerned toward analysis of their personality alone. This ‘zeroing’ of data toward the personality led us to new dimensions of bodybuilders symptomatic to MD which was recorded using the VTS.

VTS is a computerized psychological diagnostic instrument designed by Schuhfried, Austria. The eyenck personality profiler version 6 (EPP6) was used for assessment of personality of the muscle dysmorphic bodybuilders. The shorter version of EPP6 which had a total of 200 items/questions divided among 9 subscales, three each under E, P and N respectively was used. Activity, sociability and assertiveness were categorized under extraversion (E); inferiority, unhappiness and anxiety under emotionality (N) while risk-taking, impulsiveness and irresponsibility belonged to adventurousness (P) type of personality trait. However, the overall raw score that came out upon test completion was considered for evaluation. All the 200 questions were of ‘yes’ or ‘no’ type and were self-explanatory. There were secondary variables, such as ‘dissimulation’ which showed the level of openness of an individual. An increase in the dissimulation score indicated decrease in the reliability of the questionnaire. Hence, a result with a high dissimulation of more than a score of 25 was not considered for the study. Almost 27 participants were neglected from the study as a result of high dissimulation and those data’s were redone. Similarly, there were ‘cannot decide’ answers for which the participant could not answer. More than 10% of the questions for which ‘cannot decide’ is answered is again considered to decrease the reliability of the questionnaire. Therefore, those results were also neglected. Since, there was only one participant to answer more than 10% of ‘cannot decide’, that data was also redone with another participant. As dissimulation and number of ‘cannot decide’ were considered to be secondary variables, they were not considered for statistical evaluation.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was done using the statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 17. The data’s were categorized based on the three personality traits and cross-tab was used to identify the percentage of the three personality traits in relation to the MDI. One statistically significant data was found in the supplement subscale of the MDI.

RESULTS

Our primary objective was to find any significant link between MD and personality trait, but VTS also helped us in estimating the prevalence of MD in the Indian bodybuilders. Of the 212 bodybuilders participated in the study, 100 bodybuilders were found symptomatic based on the MDI and the prevalence of MD in Indian bodybuilders was estimated to be 47.1%. However, only 94 bodybuilders (44.3%) were considered for this study. There were six subjects who scored identically in two personality traits and hence they could be considered to be oriented toward both the personalities. Two participants showed signs of both extraversion-emotionality; 3 were found to be extraversion-adventurousness; and 1 participant was adventurous-emotional. As all these six participants were associated with more than one personality trait they were excluded from the study. Thus VTS results revealed that the bodybuilders symptomatic to MD are more oriented toward extraversion (65%) type of personality. Also, 21% of bodybuilders were found to be emotional than the 13% of adventurous (Fig. 1).

Considering the predominance of extraversion trait over the other personality traits (Table 1) it was evident that the extraverts were more fond of using supplements, as we achieved one statistically significant data (p < 0.05) in the supplement subscale. Supplement intake does make a significant contribution in building body, yet is not the elixir to every bodybuilder. Apt focus was given to exercises and diet too. The size and symmetry subscale is a mandatory and was taken as a constant; hence it showed 100% result
and was not considered as part of evaluation. The pharmacology subscale was excluded as almost 61 of the 94 participants accepted that they have never used performance enhancing substances such as steroids.

DISCUSSION

This study differed from previous researches done on MD. Firstly, because the psychological profiling done was completely objective and it involved computerized diagnostics. The results obtained are free from bias as the software automatically generated raw scores upon test completion, making it highly reliable. Though, earlier researches have been carried out on personality, its impact on exercises\(^2^4\) and body dissatisfaction,\(^2^5\) to our knowledge this is the only study being carried on the personality of bodybuilders who are symptomatic to MD. The study offers support to use personality as a criterion in identifying individuals symptomatic to MD.

MD has recently been proposed as a new disorder addressing the pathological pursuit of hypermesomorphic physique.\(^2^2\) Many studies have stated that the prevalence of MD is either not known\(^2^6^-^2^8\) or difficult to calculate.\(^2^9\)

Also, Choi et al (2002) concluded that there are no prevalence estimates of MD owing to lack of epidemiological studies.\(^3^0\)

However, Hitzeroth et al (2001) reported a prevalence of 53.6\% in their study\(^3^1\) and Behar and Molinari (2010) reported a 13.6\% prevalence of MD in weight lifters.\(^3^2\)

Though our study reports a prevalence of 47.1\% in the bodybuilding population, this was precisely concerned to the two demographic regions we surveyed. We do believe that the prevalence of MD is difficult to estimate, yet not impossible, as targeted population, such as bodybuilders are widespread always. As observed by HG Pope et al in 1997, it is important to note that MD should not be confused with mere enthusiasm for bodybuilding. Many people may become so devoted to bodybuilding or other sports that they sometimes forego other opportunities. However, ordinary dedication to sport is not associated with profound dissatisfaction once preoccupation sets in.\(^6\)

To identify this preoccupation, personality appeared to be an effective tool for us. We hypothesized that bodybuilders symptomatic to MD would be oriented toward one particular personality, and extraverts were found to be abundant in it. Extraversion is predicted upon the biological basis of personality\(^1^8\) which is attributed to cortical arousal mechanism in the central nervous system.\(^3^3\) Even though extroversion was predominant in our results, the difference between the other two traits was less. The bodybuilders, who were known to perform rough and tough exercises, did expose their emotional side too. Emotionality needs to be addressed with caution where, even depression can lead to body image.\(^3^4\) However, lower scores in emotionality are consistent with the evidence that regular exercise reduces the anxiety level.\(^3^5\) Though they scored less on emotionality still the emotional content is noteworthy. Considering the scores, if a participant has an overall raw score of 33 in extraversion; 10 in emotionality and 27 in adventurousness, we can say that this person is predominantly inclined toward

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Extraversion</th>
<th>Adventurousness</th>
<th>Emotionality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diet Pearson Chi-square</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymp. significance</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplement Pearson Chi-square</td>
<td>4.857</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>3.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymp. significance</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physique Pearson Chi-square</td>
<td>2.470</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>1.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymp. significance</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise dependence Pearson Chi-square</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymp. significance</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.412</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates that the data is significant at p < 0.05
extraversion type of personality trait. Similarly, we conclude that the 65% of the bodybuilders who were found to be extroverts were predominantly inclined toward extraversion. The remaining 35% are not considered to be extroverts, as either they exhibited less proximity toward extraversion or predominated by the other two personality traits.

Young men who are dissatisfied with their body shape and musculature are likely to turn to bodybuilding, dietary supplements and anabolic steroids to maintain their body in shape.36 This became evident in the significance we found between the two questionnaires. It revealed the exact percentage distribution of the three personality traits toward each of the MDI subscales. Significant result was observed among the extroverts in the supplement subscale where 50.8% of the extrovert bodybuilders were fond of using supplements to enhance their exercise performance (Table 2). Though the focus was majorly on supplements, the preference given to the exercise dependence and diet was also exposed. There is enough evidence that exercise dependence can significantly enhance self-esteem where people begin bodybuilding because of poor body image and low self esteem.37 The importance of Indian diet pattern has been well-assessed through earlier studies38,39 and our study revealed it precisely (Table 2). Hence, it is now perceptible that the muscle dysmorphic bodybuilders are more reliant on these performance enhancing methods and this study highlights the same.

LIMITATIONS

There are a few possible limitations in this study. Given the two demographic regions we surveyed, it is an unimpeachable fact that MD is prevalent in our country. However, these two regions alone cannot represent the bodybuilding population of the whole country, as India being the world’s largest democracy, might offer a whole new data if the same study is repeated on a larger scale. Earlier researches have proved that BDD belongs to a category of DSM-IV disorders.8,40 Even though our research was related to psychology, we succinctly concentrated only on the personality aspect alone. Studies have proved that the reliability of the MDI7,22,23 and EPP41,42 are unquestionable. Yet, the MDI can only show how much an individual is prone to symptoms of MD and considering it as a diagnostic tool requires in depth evaluations. Similarly, the personality types distinguished by the EPP6 can show how much an individual is oriented toward that particular personality trait. Same implications being made on an even larger sample size with MD occupying the center stage may provide effective understanding of this type of BDD.

CONCLUSION

This study was aimed to find a significant link between personality trait and MD in bodybuilders. The reported prevalence in this study is a substantial evidence for the presence of such psychopathologies. The VTS showed the predominance of extraversion, yet the other two personality traits are not a fact to be overlooked. There is a dogma called ‘body image’ that surrounds the bodybuilding population which needs deeper evaluation. Though the bodybuilders were found to be affected by MD, yet they alone cannot be considered as the targeted population. If MD is associated with an obsession for better physique where bodybuilders being the most affected, identifying their personality does no harm to it. It has rather opened a new view point where future studies may reveal broader aspects of personality of the muscle dysmorphics and results are subjected to change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Extraversion</th>
<th>Emotionality</th>
<th>Adventurousness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diet subscale (cutoff = 25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with mean ± SD</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23.36 ± 3.78</td>
<td>23.52 ± 3.46</td>
<td>22.31 ± 4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplement subscale (cutoff = 20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with mean ± SD</td>
<td>50.8%**</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.95 ± 4.61</td>
<td>15.58 ± 4.09</td>
<td>15.31 ± 4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physique subscale (cutoff = 30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with mean ± SD</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.51 ± 6.03</td>
<td>26.61 ± 6.52</td>
<td>25.00 ± 7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise dependence subscale (cutoff = 20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with mean ± SD</td>
<td>82.0%</td>
<td>87.9%</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.07 ± 2.58</td>
<td>21.21 ± 2.31</td>
<td>20.85 ± 2.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**That out of 61 persons with extroversion more (50.8%) had supplement subscale ≥20 as compared to those with less extroversion (n = 33) where only 27.3% had supplement subscale ≥20 (p = 0.028). Note: Each of the three personality traits were categorized based on the scores obtained. Example, in extraversion yes (n = 61) denotes that out of the total 94 muscle dysmorphic bodybuilders 61 were extroverts. The remaining 33 were not considered as extroverts as they exhibit less characteristics of extraversion due to the predominance of the remaining two personality traits.
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