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Abstract

Microimplants usage has revolutionized the clinical orthodontic practice over last few years. Their diverse clinical applications and ease of usage has simplified orthodontic cases requiring maximum anchorage. Their application in minor tooth movements for facilitation of prosthodontic restoration in overerupted or drifted teeth without usage of orthodontic brackets will soon find favour with other dental specialties.
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Introduction

Microimplants also known sometimes as Mini screws, Mini implants and wrongly as TAD’S (Temporary anchorage devices) are currently being branded as Holy Grail of orthodontics.

Since specialty of orthodontics emerged, orthodontists have been quite obsessed with anchorage planning. Anchorage control is one of the most important aspects of orthodontic treatment. There are times when absolute or maximum anchorage is needed. Various appliances for anchorage purpose have been designed which were either too cumbersome or depended on unreliable patient compliance.

A Microimplant is a titanium-alloy miniscrew, ranging from 6 to 12 mm in length and 1.2 to 2 mm in diameter, that is inserted into bone temporarily to enhance orthodontic anchorage. Procedure is minimally invasive and often completed using only topical anesthetic. They can be inserted directly through the gingival tissue into bone with a hand driver.

Microimplants act as stationary anchorage from which forces can be delivered without having undesirable side effects.

History of Skeletal Anchorage

Gainsforth and Higley in 1945¹ used vitallium screws in the dog ramus for purpose of anchorage. This experiment led to failure.

Linkow (1969)² had some clinical success using mandibular blade-vent implants for retraction of maxillary incisors.

Roberts (1984)³ investigated and found that endosseous implants had future potential to be used as source of firm anchorage.

Kanomi (1997)⁴ reported that 1.2 mm diameter titanium mini implants provide sufficient anchorage for intruding the teeth.

Numerous other attempts were taken to gain use of microimplants for purpose of absolute anchorage over years.

Availability of titanium alloys, latest designs and interaction with fellow dental implantologists have allowed orthodontists currently to reliably place and use implants for cases which were considered very difficult or impossible to treat.

Clinical Applications of Microimplants

Currently Microimplants are mostly used in cases of high anchorage requirement, loss of anchor teeth, open bite cases, intrusion of teeth, uprighting of drifted molars and in periodontally compromised cases. (Fig. 1, 2)

Implant Design and Size

The contemporary microimplant is made up of titanium alloy. It is self drilling or self tapping. (Fig. 3) Self drilling design is preferred due to ease of use and less chances of failure.

The contemporary microimplant diameter ranges from 1.2 to 1.8 mm and is 5-11 mm in length.
Placement Technique

Most orthodontists place their microimplants themselves as it’s relatively simple to place and requires only local anesthesia. Self tapping implant needs a predrilling using a pilot drill.

In self drilling method, implant itself acts as the drill as it is inserted in the bone.

After selection of site of insertion, topical anesthesia is used to anesthetize the area.

Topical anesthesia (15% Novocain) is preferred over injectable local anesthesia as it anesthetizes only the overlying soft tissues without anesthetizing the roots of the teeth. This helps us during implant insertion as proximity to root can be gauged by pain reaction in patient.

Microimplants can be either hand driven or engine driven depending on operator preference. (Fig. 4, 5)

After placement of implants they can be immediately loaded with orthodontic force as osseointegration is not desired.

Removal of implants can be performed easily without anesthesia.

Sites for Implant Placement

The most common locations for placing of micro implants are buccal alveolar bone in maxilla and mandible, palate and retro molar pad area.

Their success percentage today ranges from 70-90%.
Complications and Failures

Because of ease of use and widespread applications usage of microimplants is increasing exponentially and it is going to be more and more popular in time to come.

But with widespread usage there is always risk of potential misuse or complications.

Potential complications of microimplants include:
  - Mobility of Microimplants (Fig. 6)
  - Oro-Antral communication
  - Peri-implantitis
  - Proximity to tooth-root (Fig. 7)
  - Undesirable tooth movement
  - Microimplant fracture

She desired replacement of missing teeth using fixed bridges. On examination it was observed that the supraerupted teeth had reduced the space available for prosthodontic replacement of missing teeth in vertical dimension. Treatment was planned to intrude the supraerupted upper right and left first molars using orthodontic microimplants.

One microimplant was inserted buccally and one palatally to each supraerupted tooth.

The microimplants were placed on buccal aspect between roots of 15, 16 and 25, 26 (Fig. 8, 9). Palatally the microimplants were placed between 16, 17 and 26, 27 (Fig. 10). This was done to allow the force to pass diagonally as close to centre of resistance preventing tipping of the teeth.

Bondable attachments (brackets or buttons) were placed on buccal and palatal surfaces of 16 and 26 to allow attachment of elastic chain to the teeth. The elastic chain was attached from head of microimplant to the bondable attachments on the teeth.

Case report

A 48yr old female patient reported with missing lower right second premolar, lower right first molar and lower left first molar (35,36,46). She had supraerupted upper left and right upper first molars (16, 26). (Fig. 8, 9)
The supraerupted teeth were intruded approximately 3mm each in three months which leveled the occlusal plane. Crown preparations were performed on lower posterior teeth to receive porcelain fused to metal bridges replacing missing teeth.

Microimplants were removed once the final crowns were cemented. (Fig. 11)

The intrusion achieved is stable as the intruded teeth are in occlusion with lower crowns which will prevent their supraeruption. The entire procedure lasted around 4 months and patient received a healthy, functional and beautiful smile without compromising sound tooth structure.

Discussion

Microimplants are being used by orthodontists in cases of malocclusion which require high anchorage. They offer stationery anchorage which can be used for intrusion, retraction or uprighting of the teeth. They provide innumerable possibilities for bringing about desired tooth movement without taxing anchor teeth. Intrusion of teeth orthodontically without usage of microimplants is a very difficult tooth movement to bring about due to its reactive effect of extrusion on anchor teeth.

Microimplants are indicated in orthodontics for,

1) Intrusion of tooth or group of teeth
2) High anchorage cases requiring maximum retraction of anterior teeth
3) Protraction of molars
4) Uprighting of tilted molars
5) Forced eruption of impacted third molars
6) Periodontally compromised cases

They can be placed successfully in maxillary and mandibular buccal alveolar area, retromolar area, palate and anterior maxillary and mandibular region.

The procedure used for placement of microimplants is very simple, non invasive and can be performed under local or topical anesthesia. Care should be taken to place them in between roots to prevent root damage. Also placing microimplants in close proximity to important anatomical landmarks should be avoided.
Post treatment, they can be removed easily using manual hand drill with or without local anesthesia.

**Conclusion**

Absolute anchorage has been an orthodontist’s dream and microimplants have become one of the most effective tools for achieving it.

This new approach has brought about a paradigm shift in orthodontic treatment planning.

Microimplants can also be used in various clinical situations like mesially tipped teeth adjacent to missing teeth, fractured teeth, periodontally compromised teeth etc to facilitate their restoration.7

Restorative dentists, periodontists and surgeons should ensure that they have a clear understanding of the many applications of orthodontic microimplants when presenting patients with options for correcting occlusal problems.

The results achieved in cases of intrusion using microimplants are stable and entire procedure is simple and conservative8.
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