ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate the influence of age and severity of keratoconus in the clinical outcomes of implantation of Ferrara intrastromal corneal ring segments (ICRS).

Methods: A total of 1,073 eyes of 810 patients, consecutively operated from January 2006 to July 2008, were evaluated. Two independent analysis were made according to the age of patients and keratoconus staging. Four groups were created according to the age of patients: < 20 years old, 20 to 30, 30 to 40 and >40 years old. The patients were also evaluated according to the keratoconus stage (I to IV). The outcome analysis included uncorrected distance visual acuity (UDVA), corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA), keratometry (K), asphericity (Q), corneal volume (CV) and pachymetry. All patients were evaluated using the Pentacam.

Results: The postoperative increase in UDVA and CDVA was statistically significant in all groups (p < 0.05). The magnitude of improvement of CDVA was larger for patients between 20 and 30-year-old (CDVA = 20/40) and patients with keratoconus grade I (CDVA = 20/35) (p < 0.05). There was a statistically significant increase in CV and pachymetry postoperatively in all groups. The keratometry (3.95D) and asphericity (−0.77) reduction were larger in patients younger than 20-year-old and in patients with keratoconus grade IV (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: The best clinical outcomes are seen in patients between 20-30-year-old and initial cases of keratoconus (grade I). The more advanced the keratoconus, the larger magnitude of K and Q reduction after ICRS implantation.
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INTRODUCTION

Intrastromal corneal ring segments (ICRS), which were initially designed to correct mild to moderate myopia,1,2 have been evaluated as a way to manage keratoconus in cases with a clear cornea and contact lens intolerance. The main advantages of ICRS are safety,3-5 reversibility and stability.6-8 In addition, the surgery preserves the integrity of the central cornea. The ICRS implantation has been reported to provide effective outcomes for the treatment of patients with corneal thinning disorders like keratoconus,7,8 pellucid marginal degeneration9,10 and post-LASIK ectasia.11,12 The goal of ring segment implantation is to improve visual acuity and to delay or avoid corneal grafts in patients with keratoconus.

The age of patients can, theoretically, interfere in visual and topographic results after ICRS implantation. A recently published paper showed that the stiffness of the human cornea increases by a factor of approximately two between the ages of 20 and 100 years.13 Moreover, the stage of keratoconus can also influence the outcomes after ICRS implantation, as in more severe cases it is expected suboptimal results, when compared to initial cases.14 The purpose of this study is to evaluate the influence of age and severity of keratoconus in the clinical outcomes of implantation of Ferrara ICRS.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

In the present study, 1,073 eyes of 810 consecutive surgical patients from January 2006 to July 2008 were evaluated. In 972 eyes, one or two segments of an ICRS with 160° of arc were implanted. In 101 eyes, one ICRS with 210° of arc was implanted. We have done two independent analysis according to: (1) Age of patients and (2) keratoconus staging. The patients were divided into four groups according to its age: <20 years old, 21 to 30 years old, 31 to 40 years old and >40 years old (Table 1). Moreover, patients were divided into four groups regarding the stage of keratoconus, according to the mean keratometry (Km): Grade I (Km < 46D), grade II (46 < Km < 52D), III (52 < Km < 60D) or IV (Km > 60D).

Inclusion criteria were contact lens intolerance and/or evidence of ectasia progression as measured by worsening of uncorrected distance visual acuity (UDVA) and corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA), progressive intolerance to contact lens wear and progressive corneal steepening documented by topographical changes. Two or more lines of UDVA and/or CDVA worsening and at least 2 diopters (D) Table 1: General data of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Eyes (n)</th>
<th>Age (Y)—(range)</th>
<th>Sex (F/M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>17 ± 2.25 (10-19)</td>
<td>68/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>23 ± 3.93 (20-29)</td>
<td>224/302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>33 ± 2.96 (30-39)</td>
<td>107/195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>47 ± 6.85 (40-74)</td>
<td>88/59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p-values = mean ± SD
of increase in mean keratometry (K) as measured with a Pentacam (Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH), were required to define progression of the disease. Exclusion criteria included any of the following discovered during the preoperative examination: Advanced keratoconus with curvatures over 62D, significant apical opacity and scarring, hydrops, corneas with thickness below 300 µm in the ring track as evaluated by Pentacam pachymetry and intense unresolved atopia, which is more appropriately treated before implantation.

CLINICAL MEASUREMENTS
A complete ophthalmologic examination was performed before surgery and included UDVA and CDVA assessment, biomicroscopy, fundoscopy, tonometry, corneal topography, pachymetric map and asphericity (Q) measurement using the Pentacam HR. All clinical examinations were performed in a standardized manner by an independent, experienced examiner (PF). At the last follow-up examination, manifest refraction, UDVA, CDVA, slit-lamp and topographic examinations were performed.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE
All surgeries were performed by the same surgeon (PF) using the manual technique. The arc and thickness of the ICRS were selected according to a previously described nomogram that is based on the position of the keratoconus on the cornea, topographic astigmatism and the pachymetric map.4,5 The nomogram determines the ring thickness to be implanted. The surgery was performed under topical anesthesia after miosis was achieved with 2% pilocarpine. An eyelid speculum was used to expose the eye, and 2.5% povidone iodine eyedrops were instilled onto the cornea and conjunctival cul-de-sac. The visual axis was marked by pressing a Sinskey hook on the central corneal epithelium while asking the patient to fixate on the corneal light reflex of the microscope light. Using a marker tinted with gentian violet, a 5.0 mm optical zone and incision site were aligned to the desired axis in which the incision would be made. This incision site was always the steepest topographic axis of the cornea given by the Pentacam.

A square diamond blade was set at 80% of corneal thickness as determined by the pachymetric map at the incision site. Using a ‘stromal spreader’, a pocket was formed in each side of the incision. Two 270° semicircular dissecting spatulas, clockwise and counterclockwise, were consecutively inserted through the incision and gently pushed with some, quick, rotary ‘back and forth’ tunneling movements. Following channel creation, the ring segments were inserted using a modified McPherson forceps. The rings were properly positioned with the aid of the Sinskey hook.

The postoperative regimen consisted of moxifloxacin 0.5% (Vigamox®, Alcon, Ft Worth, TX, USA) and dexamethasone 0.1% (Maxidex®, Alcon) eye drops four times daily for 2 weeks. The patients were instructed to avoid rubbing the eye and to frequently use preservative-free artificial tears (Oftane® 0.4%, Alcon). The patients were examined postoperatively at 1 day, 1, 3 and 6 months and 1 year after the surgery. After the first year, the patients were evaluated annually. The mean follow-up time was based on the time of the last visit.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
The GraphPad Instat software was used for descriptive statistics, including means ± standard deviations and to test group differences for continuous variables. Student’s t-test for paired data was used to compare preoperative and postoperative data. Statistical analysis was done using independent sample t-tests to compare variables between groups. p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
The mean follow-up time of operated patients was 23.8 ± 12.2 months. The majority of patients were between 21 and 30-year-old (Table 1).

The preoperative keratometry values were similar for all age groups. There was a statistically significant reduction of keratometry for all groups, and the amount of this reduction was larger for patients < 20 years old (Table 2). The asphericity values were more negative for patients <20 years old, however, the postoperative Q values were similar between groups. The change in Q value was larger for patients < 20 years old than for older patients (p < 0.05).

Table 2: Preoperative and postoperative K and Q, according to the age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Preoperative Km (D)</th>
<th>Postoperative Km (D)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>ΔKm (D)</th>
<th>Preoperative Q (µm)</th>
<th>Postoperative Q (µm)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>ΔQ (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>49.75 ± 4.83</td>
<td>45.80 ± 3.80</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>–1.09 ± 0.63</td>
<td>–0.36 ± 0.63</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>–0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>49.43 ± 4.54</td>
<td>45.86 ± 3.82</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>–0.90 ± 0.45</td>
<td>–0.38 ± 0.51</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>–0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>49.51 ± 4.13</td>
<td>46.11 ± 3.62</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>–0.85 ± 0.48</td>
<td>–0.39 ± 0.55</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>–0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>49.54 ± 4.60</td>
<td>46.40 ± 4.30</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>–0.77 ± 0.57</td>
<td>–0.29 ± 0.70</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>–0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p-values = mean ± SD
There was a statistically significant increase in corneal volume and corneal thickness in all groups, postoperatively, however, there was no difference intergroup (p > 0.05) (Table 3). The mean UDVA and CDVA increased in all groups (p < 0.01, Table 4). The magnitude of improvement of CDVA was larger for patients between 21 and 30-year-old (CDVA = 20/40) and in patients with keratoconus grade I (CDVA = 20/35) (p > 0.05). The changes in keratometry and asphericity were statistically significant in all keratoconus grades (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Improvement in visual acuity and refraction after ICRS implantation is accomplished by shortening the path length of the portion of the collagen lamellae that are central to the segments. Redistribution of corneal curvature leads to a redistribution of corneal stress, interrupting the biomechanical cycle of the keratoconus progression and in some cases reversing the stress.15

The goal of ICRS implantation is to stabilize and reinforce the ectatic cornea. It takes approximately 6 months for ICRS implantation to show an effect on the cornea and improve the visual acuity because of the viscoelastic nature of the cornea.16

Alió et al17 have reported good outcomes in a similar group to our study, with a mean age of 29.5 ± 7.05 years and consisting of 75% males. Poorer outcomes and higher complication rates have been reported in older patient populations with a female predominance by Alió et al17 and Kanellopoulos et al.18 The preoperative predictors of a good outcome have been reported to be lower initial keratometric readings (K < 53D), better preoperative CDVA, lower astigmatism and spherical myopia.17 Our study results confirm some of these data, as the best clinical outcomes were found in stage I keratoconus, in which the keratometry readings are low and the UDVA and CDVA are better.

We found a significant increase in corneal thickness in all groups. In theory, this can be explained by corneal collagen remodeling induced by the implantation of the ICRS.19,20 By acting as ‘spacers’, the ring segments could interfere with corneal collagen turnover, with consequent increases in the corneal pachymetry.

There was a significant decrease in asphericity values after implantation of the ICRS. Most studies agree that

<table>
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<tr>
<th>Table 3: Preoperative and postoperative CV and TCT</th>
</tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
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<td>21-30</td>
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<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
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</tbody>
</table>

p-values = mean ± SD

<table>
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<tr>
<th>Table 4: Preoperative and postoperative UDVA and CDVA, according to the age and severity of keratoconus</th>
</tr>
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<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
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<td>&gt;20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
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<td>31-40</td>
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<td>&gt;40</td>
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p-values = Mean ± SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5: Preoperative and postoperative K and Q, according to the severity of keratoconus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade (I-IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p-values = mean ± SD
human cornea asphericity values range from –0.01 to –0.80.21-23 Currently, the most commonly accepted value in a young adult population is approximately –0.23.24 The asphericity can be considered as one of the markers of visual quality. Thus, returning it closer to ‘normal’ or at least reducing the excess prolateness usually found in keratoconus, could be a predictor of improved visual quality.

Most patients of this study were between 21 and 40 years old. There was no statistically significant (p > 0.05) change in ΔKm, ΔCV and ΔTCT when compared among the different age groups. The ΔQ was larger (p < 0.05) for patients younger than 20 years old. As the corneal asphericity has been considered as a more reliable parameter for corneal remodeling,25 the larger changes in this parameter in younger patients can be a result of a less stiff cornea, more prone to changes induced by ICRS.

There was improvement of UDVA and CDVA, from preoperative to postoperative, in all age groups. There was no statistically significant difference in UDVA and CDVA among the groups (p > 0.05). However, the CDVA was better for patients between 21 and 30 years old. Concerning the stage of the keratoconus, the more initial the stage the better the UDVA and CDVA (p < 0.05).

Miranda et al14 obtained a significant reduction in the postoperative central corneal curvature, the CDVA and UDVA improved in 87.1 and 80.6% of the eyes respectively. Siganos et al13 showed an increase of the UDVA from 20/200, 20/100 and 20/60 after 1 and 6 months respectively. The BDVA improved from 20/55 preoperatively to 20/40 and 20/33 after 1 and 6 months respectively. We found similar results in our study, which confirms the reproducibility of the technique.

The more advanced the keratoconus the larger the amount of K reduction and Q increase. This seems to be related to the biomechanics of the cornea, i.e. the steeper the cornea, the larger the response to a given implanted ICRS.

The variation of corneal biomechanics with age, may play a role in different clinical outcomes after ICRS implantation, when an age-matched analysis is performed. Elsheikh et al,26 conducted an experimental study to determine the stress-strain behavior of human corneal tissue and how the behavior varies with age. They found a strong statistical association between stiffness and age (p < 0.05). A recent study showed that corneal biomechanical parameters are significantly decreased by aging without significant changes in central corneal thickness, suggesting that age-related structural changes resulting from collagen cross-linking may lead to a reduction of corneal biomechanical variables independent of central corneal thickness.27

**CONCLUSION**

ICRS implantation was effective in reducing the keratometry, corneal asphericity, increasing corneal volume and thickness and improving UDVA and CDVA. Patients with age between 21 and 30 years old and with grade I keratoconus can benefit more from ICRS implantation. The role of age, gender, cone position and evolutive grade as predictors of better outcomes, needs to be better evaluated. Further studies and long-term follow-up reports are required to determine other predictors of a good visual outcome and to develop criteria for patient selection.
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