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ABSTRACT

Tracheal cast formation postlaryngectomy is common in patients with dry, inspissated secretions, more so in dry weather, but is rarely large
enough to cause significant respiratory distress. Our patient had significantly large tracheal casts to cause respiratory distress even with a
widely patent tracheostoma.
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CASE REPORT

A 75-year-old male underwent wide field laryngectomy with bilateral neck dissection in May 2009 for a T4N0M0 squamous cell carcinoma of larynx with a TEP. This was
followed by adjuvant radiation, about 70 Gy which concluded in August 2009.

He presented to the emergency one day in the first week of December 2009 with severe respiratory distress. On clinical examination, there was hardly any blast of air
through the tracheostoma, though the tracheostoma was widely patent. On inspection, some dry, inspissated mucinous material could be seen deep down into the trachea
with difficulty. He was immediately taken to the endoscopy suite where his SpO2 on room air was 93 to 94%. A flexible fiberoptic bronchoscope was inserted into the trachea
through the tracheostoma, and to our utter surprise, there was a large plug of yellowish green foreign body in the form of a tracheal cast nearly completely occluding the
tracheal lumen (Fig. 1). The bronchoscope was removed and the tracheal cast was removed with a long Magill’s forceps. The cast was nearly cylindrical in shape and was
almost 3 cm long (Fig. 2). Patient became immediately comfortable. The SpO2 improved to 100%. Repeat bronchoscopy did not show any block anywhere. The patient
was sent home on antibiotics. Histopathological examination showed fibrin with mucus clot. Chest X-ray was normal.

Five days later, the patient presented again in the same condition. A tracheal cast was extracted again from the trachea of a nearly similar size. This time the patient was
admitted to the hospital. Along with intravenous antibiotics, he received 2 hourly nebulizations with 1% Soda bicarb solution. Gradually the cast formation inside the trachea
decreased, though the thickness of the tracheal secretions persisted.

He was fitted with a tracheostomy tube and on top of that was fitted with an HME filter. The function of this filter is to retain the humidity and the temperature of the expired
air and allow the inspired air to become humidified. Gradually, the patient could go home in about 8 days without further formation of casts. He was also advised to use a
room humidifier.
DISCUSSION
Tracheal cast formation, postlaryngectomy, especially significantly large ones to the extent of causing respiratory distress are a rare occurrence and have been seldom reported in the literature. A relatively low atmospheric humidity in Kolkata in winter, about 50 to 55%, coupled with an extended radiation portal involving a significant amount of the upper portion of the residual trachea were possibly the chief contributors to the cast formation. A high index of suspicion is imperative when dealing with respiratory distress in a postlaryngectomy patient with a widely patent tracheostoma. Tracheal cast formation is common in dry seasons, though it has also been reported in the rainy season too.1
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