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Abstract

Aim: The development of biologic modalities designed to enhance bone regeneration and wound healing of specific periodontal sites continues to unfold. This is accomplished through the cell binding activity of Type-I collagen provided by a synthetic cell binding peptide (P-15) which is incorporated in a scaffold of anorganic bovine matrix (ABM). This combination is designed to facilitate the attachment, migration, and differentiation of cells. The objective of this study is to clinically and radiographically evaluate the effectiveness of the combination of ABM and P-15 (ABM/P-15) ‘putty’ during regenerative periodontal procedures.

Methods and Materials: A total of 20 interproximal intraosseous defects in 16 patients, (8 males, 8 females), age 22-48 years (mean 34.45) were recruited and divided equally into two experimental groups. Following open flap debridement (OFD), the defect sites in a test group were grafted with a bovine-derived xenograft enriched with a cell binding peptide. The defect sites in a control group were treated with only OFD. Appropriate periodontal maintenance schedules were followed; at six months, clinical and radiographic assessments for soft tissue and hard tissue were performed for documentation and finalization of results.

Results: Statistical analysis using student paired ‘t’ test analyses of the patient mean value from the 16 patients revealed the ABM/P-15 ‘putty’ graft group demonstrated significantly better mean defect fill of 3.4 + 0.7 mm (70.5%) versus mean defect fill of 0.9 mm (17.33%) for defects treated with only OFD. Soft tissue findings showed significant differences among treatment with ABM/P-15 compared to OFD.
Introduction
Complete regeneration of destroyed periodontal tissues is the ultimate goal of periodontal therapy. Several bone graft materials have been used in an attempt to regenerate lost bone as a result of periodontal disease. Although these materials have demonstrated clinical effectiveness in terms of functional periodontal repair, defect fill, and the reduction of periodontal pockets at a manageable level, a predictable high rate of success in terms of regeneration has not yet been achieved.\(^1\)

Autogenous bone grafts; allografts, such as non-decalcified freeze-dried bone allograft (FDBA);\(^2\) decalcified freeze-dried bone allograft (DFDBA);\(^3,4\) synthetic or natural hydroxyapatite;\(^5\) and bioactive glasses,\(^6,7\) acting as a scaffold, have been evaluated in human infrabony defects, but they have not always induced bone formation.

Intense research is currently underway in a number of laboratories to identify, characterize, purify, and synthesize a variety of biologic modulators that may enhance wound healing and regeneration of lost tissues in periodontal therapy.\(^3,5,15\) Recently, investigators have focused on the cell binding aspect of this process. The P-15 peptide is a synthetic clone of the 15-amino acid sequence Type-I collagen which plays an important role in the binding of cells, particularly fibroblasts and osteoblasts,\(^9,10\) and the initiation of other cellular events like migration, proliferation, and differentiation necessary for bone repair.\(^11,12\)

Recently, the use of a new combination (ABM/P-15) of a natural bovine-derived hydroxyapatite bone matrix (ABM) coupled with a cell binding peptide (P-15) in human infrabony defects in conjunction with periodontal surgery demonstrated true regeneration of the periodontium including the cementum, periodontal ligament, and alveolar bone on contaminated areas of the root in a human histological case report.\(^13\) The mechanism of action of ABM/P-15 is believed to enhance collagen and bone formation by facilitating cellular attachment to fibroblasts and osteoblasts with a subsequent increase in cell binding, proliferation, and differentiation of cells increasing Tgf-β1, BMP-2, and BMP-7 levels that could positively influence the process of periodontal regeneration.\(^14\)

ABM/P-15 has been shown to be clinically effective and superior to other bone graft materials in two multicenter clinical trials.\(^15,16\) The present clinical and radiographic study was an

**Conclusions:** These results indicate the use of P-15 synthetic cell binding peptide combined with ABM yields better clinical results in conjunction with OFD than with OFD alone.
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Plaque control instructions were repeated until patients achieved Silness and Loe\textsuperscript{17} plaque scores of ≤ 1. A re-evaluation examination was performed after six weeks following completion of initial therapy to determine the patients’ responses to therapy and to confirm the need for periodontal surgery.

Prior to initiating the study, the purpose and design of the clinical trial was explained and informed consent was conveyed by every participating patient. The study protocol was approved by the ethical committee of Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences, Deemed University, Sawangi (Meghe), Wardha, India.

**Study Design and Randomization**

A total of 20 interproximal defects in 16 patients were found to be suitable after initial therapy. Prior to surgery selected defects were randomly assigned by a coin flip to test and control groups with each consisting of ten defects in accordance with a randomized parallel design. The test group was treated using open flap debridement (OFD) in conjunction with PepGen P-15 putty, (Dentsply Friadent CeraMed, Lakewood, CO, USA) which is a commercial brand of ABM/P-15. The control group was treated using OFD alone.

**Clinical Measurements**

On the day of surgery and at six months post surgery, patient’s oral hygiene status was evaluated using the Plaque Index (PI)\textsuperscript{17} as an expression of the level of complete-mouth supragingival plaque accumulation. Gingival inflammation was assessed using the Gingival Index (GI).\textsuperscript{18} The probing pocket depth (PPD), clinical attachment level (CAL), and gingival recession (REC) were determined with a Florida probe and recorded for assessment of the results. All measurements were recorded at six sites per tooth. During later calculations only the sites representing the deepest point of the defect were used.

A periapical radiograph (PA) with Kodak D-speed film (Carestream Health, Inc., Rochester, NY, USA) was taken of each selected site using the long-cone paralleling technique at baseline and after six months following surgery to measure the radiographic defect depth (DD) and to calculate the percentage of bone defect fill. Radiographic measurement was obtained utilizing a film mount

---

**Methods and Materials**

A total of 16 patients (8 males and 8 females) in the age range of 22-48 years (mean age 34.45 ± 12.32 years) with chronic periodontitis were included in the study. The patient inclusion criteria were as follows:

- Subjects were systemically healthy.
- There was a presence of at least one or two radiographically detectable interproximal osseous defects with a probing depth ≥ 5 mm and clinical attachment loss ≥ 5 mm following initial therapy.
- The depth of the intraosseous component of the defect estimated as ≥ 3 mm by clinical and radiographic means.
- There was a presence of at least ≥ 2 mm band of keratinized tissue around the test teeth.

The exclusion criteria included:

- Patients with unacceptable oral hygiene (Plaque Index >1).
- Smokers or use of any tobacco products.
- Third molars and teeth with inadequate endodontic/restorative treatments or defects extending into furcations.
- Teeth exceeding Class II mobility.
- Patients previously treated by periodontal surgical therapy.
- Any pregnant females or lactating mothers.

Initial therapy consisted of oral hygiene instructions, supragingival and subgingival scaling along with root planing under local anesthesia. 

---

Initial therapy consisted of oral hygiene instructions, supragingival and subgingival scaling along with root planing under local anesthesia.
with millimeter grid scale (Nix Company Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). These are pocket-mount type grids for intraoral-size films. Grid scale lines are printed vertically and horizontally at 1 mm intervals with bolder lines at 5 mm intervals.

The developed films were inserted in the pocket mount grid to measure the radiographic DD at baseline and at six months post-surgery. Vertical linear distances between the most coronal interproximal extension of the bone crest and the most apical part of the defect were determined with the measuring grid. The radiographic DD reduction (defect fill in mm) was calculated by subtracting post surgical radiographic DD at six months from the baseline radiographic DD. Radiographic defect fill in terms of percentage was then obtained by comparing the DD reduction (defect fill) at six months with the radiographic DD at baseline in both groups.

**Surgical Procedure**

Immediately before surgery, patients rinsed with 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate solution for one minute. Asepsis was maintained throughout the entire surgical procedure. The area to be subjected to surgery was verified using a Florida probe then anesthetized either by nerve block or infiltration anesthesia, depending on the surgical site (Figure 2).

A conventional surgical approach consisting of a periodontal access flap was initiated with intracrevicular (sulcular) incisions on the buccal and lingual aspects of the involved tooth. The incisions were carried as far interproximally as possible to preserve the entire interdental papilla in order to achieve favorable primary wound closure. The flap was extended to include a tooth mesial and distal to the defect-associated tooth. When necessary, divergent vertical relieving incisions were made one tooth away from the defect if additional access was required. Full-thickness (mucoperiosteal) flaps were reflected to expose alveolar bone in the area of osseous defect (Figure 3).

Extreme care was taken to avoid flap perforation or the loss of papillae due to granulomatous tissue from the lesion adhering to the inner aspect of the flap. Any granulomatous tissue or epithelium adherent to the inner surface of the flap or papillae was judiciously removed with care to not overly thin the flap. The osseous defect was debrided of granulation tissue using hand and ultrasonic instruments to expose the root surface, alveolar bone, and periodontal ligament. The root surfaces were planed to remove plaque and calculus using ultrasonic and hand instrumentation. The root surfaces were planed until a smooth hard consistency was obtained.
At this stage, the depth of the interproximal osseous defect was measured and classified depending on the number of bony walls present. Intramarrow penetration was performed with a half-round bur if there was insufficient bleeding from the walls of the lesion. According to manufacturer’s directions, the ABM/P-15 peptide-enhanced bone graft material in particulate form was mixed thoroughly with sodium hyaluronate in phosphate buffered saline in a sterile mixing container using a sterile spatula until the mixture was a homogenous and a putty-like consistency.

In test sites ABM/P-15 (PepGen P-15 putty) material was placed and packed into the defect up to adjacent alveolar crest walls to fill but not to overfill the defect (Figure 4).

The mucoperiosteal flaps were then sutured in their original position using interdental interrupted 4-0 non-absorbable Mersilk braided black silk surgical suture (Ethicon, Johnson and Johnson Ltd, Somerville, NJ, USA). Primary tension-free wound closure was achieved to avoid contamination of the wound with oral debris.

The surgical site was dressed with Coe-Pak TM periodontal dressing (GC, Inc, Alsip, IL, USA). The surgical procedure for control sites was identical to the test sites except for the omission of the placement of ABM/P-15 material into the osseous defects.

**Post-Operative Care**
Antibiotic coverage consisting of 500 mg of amoxicillin three times a day and analgesics consisting of a combination of Ibuprofen (325 mg) and Paracetamol (400 mg) were prescribed for five days. Patients were instructed to rinse twice daily with 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate for six weeks. The periodontal dressing and sutures were removed at eight to ten days post surgery. No mechanical oral hygiene procedures or chewing in the treated area was allowed for six weeks. After this period, the chlorhexidine was discontinued and patients were instructed to resume mechanical oral hygiene measures including careful brushing with an ultra soft toothbrush and interdental cleaning with an interdental brush. The patients were recalled at one, three, and six months post surgery at which time all patients received oral hygiene instructions and full mouth professional prophylaxis in the form of a supragingival scaling with ultrasonic instruments at each follow up visit. Neither probing nor subgingival instrumentation was performed during the first six months post surgical period.

**Statistical Analysis**
The means and standard deviations of PPD, CAL, REC, and radiographic DD at baseline and at six months post-surgery were calculated for both groups. The Student’s Paired t-test was used to compare the data from the baseline to those at six months for each treatment group. Comparisons
between treatment groups at baseline and six months post-surgery were accomplished with the Student’s Unpaired t-test. If the probability value (p) was more than 0.05, the difference observed was considered non-significant; if less than 0.05, it was considered significant.

**Results**

Wound healing was uneventful during the course of the study. There were no untoward effects, allergic reactions, infections, or patient complaints related to the graft material. The ABM/P-15 appeared to be clinically well tolerated by the periodontal tissues, and exfoliation of particles was not noted. None of the selected patients dropped out before the termination of the study and in general showed good oral hygiene throughout the study. The mean PI score during the six month period remained low (< 1). There was a statistically significant reduction in GI scores at six months (p<0.05), indicating satisfactory improvement in gingival condition throughout the study. Tables 1 and 2 demonstrates in detail regarding patient’s age, sex, location of tooth, defect type, and comparison of all clinical parameters at baseline and at six months follow-up in the test group and control group respectively. At baseline, no statistically significant differences in any of the investigated parameters were observed between

**Table 1. Patient’s age, sex, location of tooth, defect type, and comparison of clinical parameters at baseline and at six months follow-up in the test group.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>Defect Type</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Six Months</th>
<th>PPD Reduction</th>
<th>CAL Gain</th>
<th>REC Increase</th>
<th>Defect Fill %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>#19</td>
<td>2 wall</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>#14</td>
<td>1 ½ wall</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>#30</td>
<td>3 wall</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>#19</td>
<td>2 wall</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>#30</td>
<td>1 ½ wall</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>#31</td>
<td>1 ½ wall</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>#14</td>
<td>3 wall</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>#11</td>
<td>2 wall</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>#14</td>
<td>3 wall</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>#30</td>
<td>2 wall</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>32.6 ± 6.14</td>
<td>6.78 ± 0.22</td>
<td>6.84 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.09</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.28</td>
<td>3.98 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.61</td>
<td>7.05 ± 12.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(MV±SD in mm)
the test and control groups (p>0.05), indicating the randomization process was effective (Table 3).

**Clinical Outcomes at Six Months**

In the test group the mean PPD at baseline was 6.78 ± 0.22 mm and at six months was 3.8 ± 0.28 mm. In the control group the mean PPD at baseline was 6.92 ± 0.37 mm and at six months was 5.24 ± 0.36 mm. At six months, the mean PPD reduction was 2.98 ± 0.35 mm for the test group and 1.68 ± 0.56 mm for the control group. The Student’s Paired t-test indicated both the test (ABM/P-15) and control (OFD) groups showed a significantly greater mean PPD reduction at six months compared to baseline (p<0.05). When the differences in mean PPD reductions for the test group (2.98 mm) versus control group (1.68 mm) were analyzed, a greater reduction in mean PPD was demonstrated in the test (ABM/P-15) group. Of all surgical sites 80% of those treated with ABM/P-15 showed pocket depth reduction of more than 2.5 mm, while only 10% of OFD sites showed a PPD reduction of more than 2.5 mm.

**Clinical Attachment Level (CAL)**

In the test group the mean CAL at baseline was 6.84 ± 0.28 mm and at six months was 3.98 ± 0.25 mm. In the control group the mean CAL at baseline was 7.08 ± 0.31 mm and at six months was...
was 5.66 ± 0.46 mm (Tables 4 and 5). The mean CAL gains of 2.86 ± 0.37 mm was observed in the test (ABM/P-15) group, while the control (OFD) group displayed mean CAL gains of 1.42 ± 0.48 mm. The observed differences between baseline CAL and at six months were found to be statistically significant in both the groups (p<0.05).

The Student’s Unpaired t-test revealed significantly greater CAL gains for the test group (2.86 mm) than the control group (1.42 mm). The magnitude of the observed additional benefit was 1.44 mm in the test group. The ABM/P-15 treatment resulted in 80% of the defect sites gaining 2.5 mm or more CAL, whereas none of the sites with OFD treatment resulted in a CAL gain of more than 2.5 mm.

### Table 3. Baseline defect characteristics for the test (ABM/P-15) and control (OFD) groups (mean ± SD; in mm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (at site)</th>
<th>Test group (ABM/P-15)</th>
<th>Control (OFD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probing Pocket Depth (PPD)</td>
<td>6.78 ± 0.22</td>
<td>6.92 ± 0.37</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Attachment Level (CAL)</td>
<td>6.84 ± 0.28</td>
<td>7.08 ± 0.31</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival Recession (REC)</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.18</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic defect depth (DD)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.42</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.52</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gingival Recession (REC)**
At six months, the mean increase in REC was 0.12 ± 0.13 mm in the test group and 0.26 ± 0.29 mm in the control group (Table 6). Although a statistically significant increase in REC was found in both the groups (Table 6), there was no statistically significant difference found in the increase in REC between the test and control groups (p>0.05). None of the sites having the ABM/P-15 treatment resulted in an increase in REC of 1 mm or more. However, 10% of the sites treated with OFD alone resulted in an increase in REC of 1 mm or more.

**Radiographic Analysis of Defect Depth (DD)**
At six months, the mean radiographic DD was reduced from 4.8 ± 0.42 mm to 1.4 ± 0.61 mm in the test group and from 5.0 ± 0.52 mm to

### Table 4. Clinical and radiographic parameters at baseline and at six months postsurgery for the test (ABM/P-15) group (mean ± SD; in mm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (at site)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Six months</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPD</td>
<td>6.78 ± 0.22</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.28</td>
<td>PPD reduction 2.98 ± 0.35</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAL</td>
<td>6.84 ± 0.28</td>
<td>3.98 ± 0.25</td>
<td>CAL gain 2.86 ± 0.37</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.11</td>
<td>REC increase 0.12 ± 0.13</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.42</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.61</td>
<td>Decrease in DD 3.4 ± 0.70</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S; Significant (p<0.05)
4.1 ± 0.45 mm in the control group (Tables 4 and 5). The mean radiographic DD reduction of 3.4 ± 0.70 mm (70.5%) was observed in the test (ABM/P-15) group, while the control (OFD) group displayed a mean reduction of the DD of 0.90 ± 0.61 mm (17.33%). Statistically significant reductions of radiographic DD were recorded for the test group as well as for the control group (p<0.05). When a comparison was done between the test and control groups, a statistically significant greater reduction of radiographic DD was observed in test group (p<0.05) (Table 6). There was also an additional 2.5 mm (53.17%) of reduction in radiographic DD reduction in the test (ABM/P-15) group.

Discussion
Change in the CAL following regenerative therapy is the single most commonly used clinical outcome variable in regenerative studies. In the present study, the mean CAL gain obtained was 2.86 ± 0.37 mm in the test group and 1.42 ± 0.48 mm in the control group at six months. A statistically significant greater amount of mean CAL gain
(1.44 mm) was observed in the test group when compared with the control group. The gain in CAL observed in the test group compares well with those reported in other clinical trials. Radhakrishnan et al. in a six month study reported a similar mean CAL gain of 2.8 ± 0.92 mm in a ABM/P-15 group and 1.3 ± 0.68 mm in an OFD group. Yukna et al. compared ABM/P-15 with demineralized freeze-dried bovine allograft (DFDBA) and OFD alone and reported a mean CAL of 1.3 ± 1.9 mm in an ABM/P-15 group, 0.5 ± 1.8 mm in a DFDBA group, and 0.1 ± 2.4 mm in an OFD group at the six month follow-up visit. Yukna et al. observed a mean CAL gain of 2.2 ± 2.0 mm in ABM/P-15 treated sites and 2.1 ± 1.8 mm in ABM treated sites at the six month follow-up visit. Yukna et al. reported a mean CAL gain of 3.8 ± 1.6 mm in a 36 month follow-up of 25 patients after treatment with ABM/P-15 which was higher than the gain obtained in the present and previous studies. The differences in the CAL gains reported in these studies might be explained by the difference in the initial DD. Clinical studies have demonstrated the CAL gain following regenerative periodontal surgery is strongly dependent on the initial DD. The greater the initial DD, the greater the amount of CAL gain.

From the clinical standpoint, it is more important to remember 80% of the sites experienced a CAL gain of 2.5 mm or more when treated with ABM/P-15, while none of the sites treated with OFD were able to achieve such a gain (Table 7).

In the present study pocket depth reduction was significant in both test and control groups. A statistically significant greater reduction of PPD (1.3 ± 0.91 mm) was observed in the test group compared to the control group (Table 6). The mean PPD reduction observed in the present study was comparable with the other reported ABM/P-15 studies. Radhakrishnan et al. reported a mean pocket depth reduction of 3 ± 0.94 mm in test sites compared to control sites, with 1.4 ± 0.70 mm in depth reduction. Similarly, a mean PPD reduction of 2.9 ± 1.2 mm was observed by Yukna et al. in 25 patients treated with ABM/P-15. Whereas Yukna et al. observed a mean pocket depth reduction of 2.4 ± 1.5 mm in sites treated with ABM/P-15 which is less than the present study. This could be due to the inclusion of periodontal pockets of variable depth, which may possibly have influenced their treatment outcome.

Periodontal surgeries are frequently associated with REC. This is an adverse effect of concern to both patients and clinicians. However,

Table 7. Frequency distribution of clinical characteristics after six months at the test and control sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Test Group (ABM/P-15)</th>
<th>Control Group (OFD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAL Gain (mm)</td>
<td>≥ 2.5: 80%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 2.5: 20%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPD Red (mm)</td>
<td>≥ 2.5: 80%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 2.5: 20%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC Increase (mm)</td>
<td>≥ 1: 0%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1: 100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To minimize this unwanted side effect. In the present study an increase in REC was observed to a limited extent in both the test (0.12 mm) and control (0.26 mm) groups at the end of six months. However, a lack of statistically significant difference in increase in REC was noted when both the groups were compared at six months (Table 6).

Radiographic bone measurement is a non-invasive, painless alternative to direct bone measurement and was used in the present study to monitor alveolar bone changes and to measure the outcome. In the present study reduction in radiographic DD was obtained by subtracting post-surgical DD from the initial DD (Tables 4 and 5). At six months, there was 70.5% defect fill in the test group and 17.33% defect fill in the control group with a statistically significantly greater amount of defect fill (53.17%) in the test group (Table 6). These findings are in accordance with previous studies. 15,16,23 Yukna et al.15,16 reported defect fills of 72.3% and 72.9%, respectively, in two previous studies in ABM/P-15 treated groups when they clinically compared the use of ABM/P-15 to other therapies in human periodontal osseous defects. Radhakrishnan et al.29 also observed a 73.2% defect fill at test sites and a 15.8% defect fill in OFD sites. Thus, the results of this study are highly constant with the literature and closely reflect what has been shown in previous studies.

The most reliable outcome variable for assessing periodontal regeneration is human histology. Due to ethical considerations and patient management limitations, no histological evidence was obtained to establish proof of periodontal regeneration. Based on the histological evidence from human material,13,20,21 it may be assumed to some extent the clinical improvements following ABM/P-15 treatment may represent true periodontal regeneration characterized by the formation of new cementum, periodontal ligament, and alveolar bone.

A six month period may be considered too short to evaluate the effect of grafting techniques, biomaterials, or other periodontal therapy. Yukna et al.1 stated in their long-term study the majority of clinical changes were previously achieved at the time of clinical evaluation at six to seven months, with no real further change from evaluation up to three years. Therefore, a six month time period in the present study could be considered adequate.

Conclusions
Within the limitation of the study of using non-standardized radiographs to measure defect fill, it is reasonable to conclude the regenerative surgical approach using ABM/P-15 in conjunction with OFD for the treatment of infrabony defects resulted in significant added benefit in terms of CAL gains, PPD reductions, and the amount of radiographic defect fill compared to OFD alone.
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