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Abstract

A case of spontaneous bone regeneration after mandibulectomy is presented. The patient was young and had a mandibular resection performed for a large fibroma. Only one of the condyles was preserved. Partial preservation of the periosteum may play an important role in rapid bone regeneration. In this case bone regeneration occurred only in mandibular regions where the periosteum could be preserved.
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Introduction
Spontaneous bone regeneration after partial or total loss of the mandible has occasionally been reported in the literature. The reported causes of extensive bone loss were the results of a war injury\(^1\), an enucleation of large cysts\(^2\), infection\(^3\), and a hemi or total mandibulectomy.\(^4-16\) Most of the reported cases occurred in children, adolescents, and young adults.

Report of Case

Clinical Examination
A 14-year old Caucasian boy was referred to the oral and maxillofacial surgeon because of an enlarging lesion of the mandible. Examination showed a large swelling of the anterior mandible (Figures 1 and 2). The health history revealed the swelling had been progressively enlarging since the boy was 10 years old. His family used to live in a remote rural Brazilian region with no available medical assistance. He had no previous treatment for his condition, and the family decided to seek assistance only when he was nearly unable to eat.

The patient was unable to fully close his mouth, and the surface of the mucosa was ulcerated (Figure 3). The anterior teeth were apparently absent, with the exception of two incisors displaced to the left side.

On palpation, the swelling was tender but bony hard. There were no palpable lymph nodes. The haemogram and blood chemistry were within the normal range.

Radiographic Examination
Radiographic examination showed a large multicellular, mixed radiopaque, and radiolucent area extending from the anterior region to the left and right mandibular bodies and ramus (Figures 4 and 5). The lower border of the mandible was very thin, and there were several teeth inside the tumoral mass.

Incisional Biopsy
Specimens from the lesion were obtained via an intraoral incisional biopsy under local anesthesia. Histologic examination revealed

Figure 1. Frontal view.
Figure 2. Lateral view.
Figure 3. Ulcerated anterior mucosa.
Figure 4. Posterior anterior radiograph.
neoplasia with only a few fusiform cells with basophilic nuclei in a dense collagenous stroma. There was a presence of neoformed bone trabeculae with discrete osteoblastic activity (Figures 6 to 9). The diagnosis was ossifying fibroma.

**Surgical Procedure**
A tracheostomy was performed, and the patient was scheduled for surgery under general anesthesia. A submandibular incision from the left to the right mandibular angles was performed. The tumor was resected with difficulty in the anterior region since there was strong adhesion between the tumor, oral mucosa, and periosteum.

The periosteum was more easily detached by blunt dissection from the molar regions to the angle, and the periosteum of the ramus was preserved. The entire mandible was disarticulated, with the exception of the right condyle, coronoid process, and part of the ramus that appeared to be free from the neoplasia. Because of the unfavorable local conditions it was decided not to carry out simultaneous bone grafting, nor a placement of any kind of space contention device. The wound was closed in layers (Figure 10).
The exarticulated mandible is shown in Figure 11 (occlusal view). The removed left condyle, coronoid process, and an isolated bony fragment of the right side containing a bicuspid tooth and part of the ramus are shown in Figure 12 (inferior view).

**Postoperative Course**

The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was able to eat soft food normally. The patient was discharged to be followed as an outpatient and was to return in 3 months for a bone graft. (Figures 13 and 14). Further histologic examination of the specimen confirmed the diagnosis of ossifying fibroma. The margins of the right condylar stump were free from neoplastic tissue.

The patient returned for a review only after two years. Surprisingly he presented an acceptable facial balance with minimal deformity. Some hard bony tissue was palpated intraorally, apparently replacing the resected mandible (Figure 15).
Postoperative Radiographic Re-evaluation
Radiographic examination showed a radiopaque structure apparently growing distally in the left side. In the right side a smaller amount of hard tissue was observed, corresponding only to the remaining condyle, coronoid process, and part of the ramus that were preserved (Figures 16 to 18).

Postoperative Histological Re-evaluation
An incisional biopsy was performed and a small fragment of the hard structure felt in the left side was removed. Histologic examination revealed normal bone structure with no signs of recurrence of the fibroma (Figures 19 and 20). The patient was discharged and a new appointment was planned in two years.
Two-Year Follow-Up
The patient returned for examination as scheduled. No marked differences in the intraoral aspect of the hard tissue previously felt on palpation were observed. Radiographic examination showed the same amount of bone in the left side and an increment in the bone density in the region corresponding to the angle in the right side. (Figures 21 to 23). The patient was perfectly adapted to the situation, and the family refused any further surgical or prosthetic treatment.

It was evident the patient’s facial development was consistent with his age (Figures 24 and 25).

Discussion
In most similar cases in the literature in which grafts were not used, the spontaneous bone regeneration was credited to the maintenance of the periosteum.\textsuperscript{10,8,17,6,18,11} However, the function of the periosteum and other sources of osteogenesis are somewhat controversial.\textsuperscript{1,19}

When the periosteum is stripped from the bone, it would carry with it only some of the osteogenic cells and the bone is by no means deprived of its osteogenic potential.\textsuperscript{1}

Conclusion
In the present case, the periosteum was maintained in the molar region and proximally to the ramus and condylar regions. The pattern of the bone regeneration followed the periosteal “bed,” mainly in the left side, where the bone formation was more evident.

Figure 21. The amount of bone in the left side is the same. An increment in the bone density in the right angle can be observed.

Figure 22. Right side image after 4 years.

Figure 23. Left side image after 4 years.

Figure 24. Frontal view of the patient after 4 years.

Figure 25. Lateral view of the patient after 4 years.
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