Paradigms, Paradoxes, and Controversies on Keratoconus and Corneal Ectatic Diseases

In this review/opinion article, we prospectively discuss the evolution of knowledge related to keratoconus and ectatic corneal diseases (ECDs), which is intimately related to the emergence of modern refractive surgery in the early 1980s. This is linked, but goes further beyond the need for screening candidates at risk for progressive keratectasia prior to refractive laser vision correction (LVC). In this scenario, we evolved from early diagnosis of keratoconus toward the characterization of the individual susceptibility for ectasia development. There was a paradigm shift related to the management of ECDs, which was unsophisticated and limited to spectacles, rigid contact lenses, or penetrating keratoplasty (PKP). In fact, the emergence of novel treatment modalities, such as corneal cross-linking (CXL) and intrastromal corneal ring segments (ICRS), has established conflicting situations on when, why, and how to proceed with surgery on these patients. Such paradoxes determine the need for individualized treatment planning, which should consider accurate evaluation of patient needs, advanced imaging with advanced geometric characterization, biomechanical assessment, and environmental factors. In addition, patient (and family) education has become an essential part of the management in order to allow conscious decisions and set realistic expectations. In addition, explaining patients that eye rubbing is a major factor on ectasia progression has gained its momentum with the JUNE VIOLET campaign. Such enhanced understanding has led “corneal ectasia” to be considered as a novel subspecialty in ophthalmology.
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INTRODUCTION

In 1854, John Nottingham provided the primary truthful report of keratoconus covering many aspects that are still relevant nowadays, which was coined as “conical cornea” (Fig. 1). Despite the general limitations related to the knowledge on basic sciences including anatomy, biochemistry, and physiology, Nottingham’s landmark publication described in detail several features of ECDs that are still relatively accurate and relevant today.1 Previously, other authors already had performed less consistent reports of the disease. Interestingly, ECDs were erratically designated by different concepts, such as “hyperkeratosis,” “conical formed cornea,” “sugar loaf cornea,” “prolapses corneae,” “procidentia corneae,” “staphyloma pellucidum,” or “staphyloma diaphanum.”2

REFRACTIVE SURGERY AS A “GAME CHANGER”

In the early 1980s, the advent of elective procedures performed on normal corneas aiming to reduce refractive error boosted the need for knowledge related to kerato-
conus and ECDs. Thereby, the emergence of “refractive surgery” as a subspecialty stimulated an unremitting, continuous, and accelerated evolution in the understanding and management of such diseases.3-6 In addition, “corneal ectasia” may be also considered as a new subspecialty in ophthalmology, due to high incidence of the disease,7 and to the development of novel equipment and knowhow for the diagnosis and treatment.3 This was the scope of the film produced by The Rio de Janeiro Corneal Tomography and Biomechanics Study Group (Fig. 2) in 2012 entitled “Advances in Diagnosis and Treatment of Keratoconus: Are We Facing a New Subspecialty?” (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mVQE7n0u3ZI), and was intimately related to the development of the International Journal of Keratoconus and Ectatic Corneal Diseases in 2013 (http://www.ijkecd.com).

A PubMed review on the published papers found 5,588 publications in December 2016, which increased to 6,301 in July 2018 (PubMed search for “keratoconus”). While there was an increase of over 10% in the last 12 months, the total number of publications over the last year surpasses all articles published up to 1980 and this is also more than the entire decade of 1990. Graph 1 presents the number of new articles published per decade, in which one can conclude that after modern “refractive surgery” was introduced, a significant augment on the scientific publications was initiated. Considering these data, this is likely that we will exceed 10,000 publications by the end of the current decade.6 This clearly reflects the increase in relevance of the disease and the progress that definitively enhances our aptitude to assist and help the patients.

THE QUEST FOR EARLY DIAGNOSIS

The advent of elective “Refractive Surgery” called for the sensitivity for earlier diagnosis of mild or subclinical forms of ECDs, because such cases are known to be at very high risk for biomechanical decompensation and progressive keratectasia after such procedures, including incisional surgery (Figs 3 to 5),8 and refractive corneal or LVC procedures.9,10 The terms subclinical, suspect, and fruste have been fitfully used for referring to such cases. Interestingly, the actual definitions for each of these terms are not clear, as there is no consensus on what these terms mean. Therefore, we prefer to refer to those cases as with high susceptibility for developing ectasia,11 which should be characterized based on objective data from advanced corneal diagnostic technologies.

The last three decades witnessed a factual and continuous revolution in corneal imaging, which includes the
development of high-resolution technologies capable of detailed characterizations of different aspects of corneal shape and anatomy. In addition, scientifically validated methods for clinical interpretations of the vast amount of data generated were introduced to enable and help improve the clinical decision process. Placido disk-based corneal topography characterizes the anterior or front corneal surface in detail, which enables the detection of abnormal patterns of corneal shape that accompany mild forms of keratoconus in cases in which the routine clinical tests are within normal findings. Such augmentation of sensitivity to detect ectasia in such eyes with normal slit-lamp biomicroscopy and normal distance-corrected visual acuity (DCVA) has positioned corneal topography as an obligatory exam for screening candidates prior to LVC. Nonetheless, there are still cases that undergo keratectasia after LVC procedures, even for low-to-mild corrections, notwithstanding relatively normal topography findings prior to laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis (LASIK), surface ablation, or small-incision lenticule extraction. Contrarily, there are cases with preoperative irregular corneal topography that would have
been considered at high risk and therefore disqualified from having surgery based on the topometric (anterior surface curvature) characteristics, but had proceeded with LASIK considering data from advanced corneal imaging, and had uneventful stable outcomes. Those clinical scenarios provide absolute confirmation for the need for enhancing the accuracy augmented with either sensitivity or specificity for ectasia risk assessment.

Developments in corneal diagnostic technologies include corneal tomography and biomechanical assessments. Front surface corneal analysis (topometric or topography) evolved into the three-dimensional (3D) tomographic characterization, which represents elevation of the back and front surfaces along with thickness mapping. Eyes with normal topometric results from patients with clinical ectasia in the fellow eye have been commonly studied to demonstrate the improved ability of corneal tomography to detect ECDs. In addition, the ability of tomographic data was studied to augment the aptitude to identify ectasia risk or susceptibility in retrospective analysis of cases that underwent keratectasia after LASIK.

The challenge for most clinicians is the interpretation for proper clinical decision based on the enormous amount of clinical data generated by these exams. Considering such encounter, the Pentacam Belin/Ambrósio Enhanced Ectasia Display (BAD) was designed to be a comprehensive tool for displaying tomographic data. The BAD considers the standard and enhanced benign fasciculation syndrome (BFS) elevation maps of the front and back surfaces, along with tomographic thickness profile data. Different tomographic parameters are displayed as the deviations from the norm values toward disease (d values), including anterior and posterior elevation at the thinnest point (considering the 8 mm BFS with float), change in anterior and posterior elevation of the standard and enhanced BFS, thinnest value and its vertical location, pachymetric progression increase, Ambrósio’s relational thickness, and maximal curvature (KMax). The final BAD-D, currently in its third version, is a final parameter that was calculated using linear regression analysis (LRA) to maximize the ability for detecting ectatic disease. The BAD-D higher than 2.11 was a criterion with sensitivity and specificity of 99.59 and 100% for diagnosing keratoconus, while for detecting mild or subclinical disease, the criterion of higher than 1.22 provided 93.62% sensitivity and 94.56% specificity. Interestingly, in a retrospective nonrandomized study involving preoperative LASIK data from an international pool comprising of 23 post-LASIK ectasia cases and from 266 stable LASIK with over 1-year follow-up, the criterion of BAD-D higher than 1.29 provided 87% of sensitivity and 92.1% of specificity. Even though the BAD-D was the most accurate parameter in predicting ectasia risk, the data suggest the unquestionable need for further improvements.

Further developments on corneal diagnostic imaging technologies allowed for segmental or layered tomographic (3D) characterization with epithelial, and Bowman’s layer regularity characterization and thickness mapping. While corneal epithelial thickness was initially presented by the digital very-high-frequency ultrasound, advances in corneal and anterior segment optical coherence tomography (OCT) allowed for such evaluation. The advent of OCT epithelial thickness mapping may significantly impact either the safety or the efficacy of refractive surgery, being also very important for improving therapeutic procedures.

Beyond shape analysis, clinical biomechanical assessment has been promised as an ultimate tool (before genetic analysis is available) for enhancing the overall accuracy for identifying mild forms of ECDs, along with the representation of the natural susceptibility of the cornea for ectasia progression. In fact, this is an agreement that the pathophysiology of corneal ectasia is related to abnormal biomechanical properties. The present perception proposed by Roberts and Dupps is that a focal abnormality or weakness in corneal biomechanical properties precipitates a cycle of pathology, leading to secondary localized thinning and steepening (bulging), which generates optical aberrations.

The Reichert ocular response analyzer (ORA) is a noncontact tonometer (NCT) that was introduced as the pioneer instrument for clinical in vivo biomechanical assessment. The ORA has a collimated air pulse the peak of which is adjusted according to the measured intraocular pressure (IOP). Corneal deformation is monitored by the apical reflex of an infrared light that goes through a pinhole system toward the sensor. While the first generation of ORA pressure-dependent parameters, corneal hysteresis and corneal resistance factor, provided relatively low sensitivity and specificity for discriminating keratoconic from normal corneas, the parameters derived from the waveform signal that characterize corneal deformation during the NCT exam were found to have higher accuracy. Interestingly, such data were found useful to improve diagnostic accuracy for mild forms of ECDs when combined with tomography data.

The Corvis ST (OCULUS Optikgeräte GmbH; Wetzlar, Germany) is also an NCT, but utilizes an ultra high-speed Scheimpflug camera to monitor the deformation of the cornea in greater detail. It also has a collimated air pulse, but has a fixed pressure profile. Interestingly, the first set of parameters derived from the Corvis ST measurement also had a relatively poor discriminant ability to detect ectatic diseases. Nevertheless, novel parameters, such as the inverse concave radius of curvature.
during the concave phase of the deformation response, the deformation amplitude ratio between the apex and at 2 mm from the apex (DA ratio 2 mm), and the stiffness parameter at first application were found to improve detection of ECDs.\textsuperscript{46-48} Vinciguerra et al.\textsuperscript{48} developed the Corvis biomechanical index (CBI) using LRA for combining parameters from the deformation corneal response and from the horizontal thickness profile.\textsuperscript{49} The CBI has high accuracy to detect clinical keratoconus.\textsuperscript{48} Besides detection of ECDs, the characterization of the deformation response has also provided an equation for IOP correction. The biomechanically corrected IOP reduces reliance of IOP measurements on both corneal thickness and age,\textsuperscript{50,51} being demonstrated to have lower variation after corneal surgery.\textsuperscript{52}

**INTEGRATED SCHEIMPFLEUG IMAGING FOR ENHANCED ECTASIA DETECTION**

While the ideal cases for representing the eyes with high risk for ectasia progression are the preoperative cases that underwent keratectasia after LVC procedures,\textsuperscript{10,29,53} the fellow eyes with normal topography from patients with clinical ectasia in the other eye have been commonly studied for developing and testing diagnostic tools that have higher accuracy than corneal topography.\textsuperscript{24-26,41,54-58} In that way, those very asymmetric ectasia (VAE) cases have been included in the analysis for the development and further validations of models for enhanced ectasia detection that integrate geometrical and biomechanical data.

Ambrósio et al.\textsuperscript{59} described the tomographic/biomechanical index (TBI) that combines Scheimpflug-based corneal tomography and biomechanics using innovative artificial intelligence (AI) techniques for optimizing ectasia detection. As the CBI study,\textsuperscript{48} this was a multicenter study in conjunction with Dr Vinciguerra, combining populations from Rio de Janeiro and Milan. However, the “TBI Study” had an expanded population including 94 VAE cases, which constitutes one of the largest cohort studies with such special group of cases.\textsuperscript{24-26,54} Data from the Pentacam and Corvis ST were combined using different AI methods. The random forest method with leave-one-out cross-validation gave the best model with higher accuracy. The TBI had 100% sensitivity and specificity for distinguishing normals (n = 480) and clinical ectasia eyes (n = 276) with 0.79 as cut-off. Seventy-two non-operated eyes with clinical ectasia were included as ectatic eyes from very asymmetric ectasia cases (VAE-E), so that the TBI had similar accuracy to that in the keratoconus group. Considering the fellow eyes with normal topography (VAE-NT group), the optimized cut-off value of 0.29 had 90.4% sensitivity (n = 94), with 96% specificity.\textsuperscript{59} Very importantly, the “TBI Study” had a restriction criteria for clinical diagnosis of ectasia, which included topographic characteristics, such as skewed asymmetric bow-tie, inferior steepening, and at least one slit-lamp finding (Munson’s sign, Vogt’s striae, Fleischer’s ring, apical thinning, and Rizutti’s sign).\textsuperscript{60} Patients were considered as very asymmetric if the diagnosis of ectasia was unquestionable in one eye and the fellow had normal objective topometric values, including KISA% lower than 60 and a paracentral inferior–superior asymmetry value at 6 mm (3 mm radii) less than 1.45.\textsuperscript{52} These objective criteria are fundamental in order to avoid problems related to the subjectivity and inter- and intraexaminer variability of the classifications of topographic maps.\textsuperscript{62} In this cohort, the BAD-D\textsuperscript{16,22,25,27,48,63-65} had 98.2% sensitivity to detect clinical ectasia (keratoconus and VAE-E groups) with 99.2% specificity among normal eyes. The area under receiver operating characteristic curves (AUROC) of BAD-D was 0.997, which was not significantly lower than the 1 for TBI (AUROC = 1.0) according to DeLong et al.\textsuperscript{66} test to compare AUROC. However, the analysis of the separation curves as described by Bühren et al.\textsuperscript{67} disclosed a more dichotomous response characteristic of the TBI, which is more tolerant to shifts on the cut-off criterion compared with BAD-D for detecting clinical ectasia.\textsuperscript{59} Nevertheless, the augment of accuracy of the TBI over BAD-D is unblemished when we consider the VAE-NT cases. For those cases, the AUROC of the BAD-D was 0.838 (sensitivity of 80.9% and specificity of 72%), being statistically lower (DeLong, p < 0.0001) than the TBI AUROC of 0.985 (sensitivity of 90.4% and specificity of 96%).

This is important to consider that while the TBI had exceeding accuracy over all other parameters tested, there is still a fundamental need for external validations.\textsuperscript{68} Different validation studies have been performed in different countries, including Brazil, Germany, Portugal, US, Italy, Japan, India, and Iran.\textsuperscript{69} A validation study was accomplished in Rio de Janeiro in the same format as the original study for the populations (Mendes et al, submitted data, 2018). In such study, the normal group included one eye randomly selected from 312 patients with normal corneas; keratoconus group included one eye randomly selected from 118 patients with clinical keratoconus in both eyes; the non-operated ectatic eye from 67 patients with very asymmetric ectasia (59 eyes, VAE-E group), and the fellow eye with normal topography (67 eyes, VAE-NT group). The ability for detecting ectasia of TBI was statistically higher than all other tested parameters (AUC comparisons with DeLong, p < 0.001). Considering all cases, the cut-off value of 0.33 for the TBI provided 93.4% sensitivity and 94.9% specificity (AUC = 0.982; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.967–0.993). Considering the VAE-NT group, the same optimized TBI cut-off value of
0.29 provided 86.6% sensitivity and 91% specificity (AUC = 0.939; 95% CI 0.910–0.961). Other studies have demonstrated the clinical validity of the integrated approach with Scheimpflug imaging in relevant case series.70

Clinical Example
A 25-year-old patient presented for routine consultation with a peculiar asymmetric keratoconus (Figs 6 to 9). The uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) was 20/40 + 2 OD and 20/150 OS and DCVA was 20/20 in both eyes with manifest refraction in the right eye of −2.25 −0.75 × 40 and −2.75 −0.75 × 75 in the left eye. The clinical presentation confirms the asymmetry on ectasia; the left eye would not qualify as normal topography even though the Kmax was 44.2D. Interestingly, we have found in different series from 7.2 to 14.4% of the cases with clinical keratoconus to have relatively low keratometric values (Ambrósio et al11). While this case demonstrates that keratoconus may be diagnosed in despite of relatively low keratometric values on corneal topography, the clinical management of this case also deserves consideration. Interestingly, Koller et al71 reported in a study involving 117 eyes of 99 patients with 1-year follow-up that preoperative DCVA better than 20/25 was identified as a significant risk factor for complications. Thereby, considering DCVA being 20/20 in both eyes, we decided not to indicate cross-linking for this patient. Patient education emphasized the importance of avoiding eye rubbing and the need for clinical follow-up as previously described.72

ECTASIA SUSCEPTIBILITY AND CONTROVERSIAL ASPECTS OF ECTASIA DIAGNOSIS AND SCREENING
This is imperative to contemplate that ectasia progression after corneal surgery happens because of biomechanical decompensation of corneal stroma, which is related to two unalike factors: The preoperative tendency for ectasia or the biomechanical status of the cornea, and the structural impact from the surgical procedure.11 The impact from the LVC procedure may be evaluated using different parameters including the residual stromal bed and the percent of tissue altered.53,73-75 In fact, the current perception is that when screening for ectasia risk among candidates for LVC, the surgeon should consider the procedure the cornea may safely undertake according to the inherent ectasia susceptibility of that given cornea.10,11
In clinical work, screening for ectasia susceptibility involves not just identifying an early or subclinical cases of the disease, but the surgeon should also consider that even a “normal” eye may develop ectasia if stressed beyond a certain biomechanical threshold.10,22,29 This is somehow related to the continuum of glaucoma, which
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has a subsymptomatic phase which may be detected by advanced propedeutics. In a previous study, Klyce referred to the VAE-NT cases as forme fruste keratoconus, a term that was introduced by Marc Amsler in the early 1960s based on photokeratoscopy findings. However, it is important to consider that some of these cases may truly have unilateral disease (Figs 10 and 11). Curiously, there is a consensus that keratoconus is indeed a bilateral disease, but also that secondary, ectasia may be caused by a pure mechanical process, such as eye rubbing, and this may occur in only one eye. These ideas are promising with the two-hit hypothesis, which put forward the notion of ectasia to result from an underlying genetic predisposition along with external or environmental factors, including eye rubbing and atopy. Our hypothesis is that we need diagnostic metrics, such as the TBI, that epitomize the natural or inherent susceptibility of the cornea to ectasia progression. Beyond the TBI, the hypothesis that segmental tomography with epithelial thickness profile, along with Bowman's layer mapping may further augment accuracy for ectasia detection deserves future studies. In addition, ocular wavefront may provide additional relevant data along with axial length measurements.

Fig. 8: Composite with the ARV/TBI display (Corvis ST + Pentacam) and the Placido disk-based topography (Keratography 5M) from OD. Note the inferior steepening in the anterior curvature sagittal map in both maps provided by the Placido disk and Scheimpflug devices. Advanced corneal tomographic and biomechanical analysis also revealed abnormal findings.

Fig. 9: Composite with the ARV/TBI display (Corvis ST + Pentacam) and the Placido disk-based topography (Keratography 5M) from OS. Both anterior curvature sagittal maps reveal milder inferior steepening compared with the right eye. The corneal tomographic and biomechanical analyses confirm the presence of abnormal findings consistent with ectasia.
Above and beyond elective refractive surgery, augmenting sensitivity for identifying mild forms of ectasia at early clinical stage and monitoring disease progression have become of utmost importance because of the definitive model or paradigm shift in the management of ECDs, which is related to the introduction of novel therapeutic approaches, such as CXL techniques and ICRS implantation.4,5,85 The diagnosis of keratoconus has a significant impact on the life of the patients and their families. Education is the best first line of treatment for the natural fear of going blind. The idea of needing a transplant is because ECD was classically recognized as one of the most common causes of corneal transplantation. In this context, the education of patients and their families about the disease is indispensable. There are some details that patients deserve to know in order to better live with the disorder. For example, there was a substantial decline in the number of keratoplasty procedures for keratoconus due to the described advances related to the either diagnosis or therapeutics.86

For visual rehabilitation, spectacles are the first choice of treatment. Interestingly, a previous study revealed that wavefront-assisted manifest refraction provides a better visual acuity in about 60% of cases with keratoconus.87 Special contact lenses fitting is an alternative that also offers optical correction. The selection of the contact lens type depends on the disease stage. For example, the soft lenses with toric design may be adequate for early stages, in order to correct myopia and regular astigmatism. However, the use of contact lenses may increase the risk for ectasia.

**MANAGING ECDS BEGINS WITH EDUCATION**

**Fig. 10:** iTrace Summary with corneal topography and ocular wavefront by ray tracing of individual laser beams from a previously published case of unilateral ectasia OD.79 Patient admitted having rubbed only the right eye during early adulthood. The UCVA was 20/20+ in the left eye, considered normal based on all exams including the Gatinel-Saad Score Analyzer (Orbscan; Bausch and Lomb, Rochester, NY),24 and the Artems (ArcScan; Golden, CO)32

**Fig. 11:** The ARV Report with integrated Scheimpflug tomography and biomechanics of the same case as in Figure 9
progression. In more advanced cases, rigid gas permeable or hybrid lenses are preferred. These types of lenses can also correct irregular astigmatism, which enables visual quality improvement. However, there is no evidence to support the fact that use of contact lenses reduces the chances of ectatic progression. It has been shown that similar to the habit of eye rubbing, poorly fitted contact lenses can be associated with disease progression.3,86

The patients should also be informed that keratoconus and ECDs are progressive conditions and require monitoring. As mentioned previously, eye rubbing is directly related to its progression and should be avoided.4,5 Therefore, ocular allergic disease management with antihistamines, mast cell inhibitors along with ocular surface optimization with a dietary supplement of omega-3 fatty acids and preservative-free lubricants are imperative.86 Interestingly, recent data have supported the concept of enhancing natural cross-linking with oral supplementation with 400 to 500 mg of riboflavin (vitamin B2) and natural sun exposure for 1/2 hour a day. This anecdote was first reported by Prof Theo Seiler, referring to the experience from the German Air Force ophthalmology that had eliminated the loss of pilots due to keratoconus after implementing a riboflavin-rich diet and demanding the trainee pilots to spend as much as possible time under the sun. While one should be cautious about the dangers of excessive sun exposure to the skin, this is a relatively easy and safe measure that could be taken for patients with keratoconus, along with the general message to avoid eye rubbing.

Considering these aspects, we decided to launch a patient awareness campaign in Brazil—THE VIOLET JUNE—aiming to raise awareness about the disease as well as educate and spread the message on the risks associated to eye rubbing (Fig. 12). While the year 2018 marks the first year of the campaign, we hope to have this campaign growing every year, starting in June until the 10th of November, when we honor the World Keratoconus Awareness Day, as sponsored by National Keratoconus Foundation of the United States (NKCF—https://www.nkcf.org/world-kc-day-2017).

**PARADIGM SHIFT IN THE SURGICAL TREATMENT OF CORNEAL ECTATIC DISEASES**

The paradigm shift was highlighted by Prof Seiler,85 MD, PhD, considering that until the end of the last century (about two decades ago), the treatment of ECD was more unsophisticated and more simple-minded, being limited to spectacles, rigid contacts lens or PKP. Until the mid-1990s, keratoplasty was the only offered surgical option for visual rehabilitation for keratoconus cases. Currently, there are novel procedures, which are less invasive and present as an alternative that should be considered prior to a keratoplasty (Table 1). There are two indications for surgery in cases of keratoconus and ECDs (Table 2). Due to an increasing range of options for surgery in ECDs,

---

### Table 1: Surgical alternatives to corneal transplantation for ectasia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intracorneal ring segment</td>
<td>Regularization of corneal surface (“tissue add”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduction of irregular astigmatism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-linking</td>
<td>Stabilization or avoid progression of the disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topography-guided photorefractive keratectomy</td>
<td>Regularization of corneal surface (“tissue removal”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduction of irregular astigmatism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phakic intraocular lens</td>
<td>Treatment of spherical or spherocylindrical refractive errors (regular astigmatism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataract or refractive lens extraction</td>
<td>Cataract removal, along with treatment of spherical or spherocylindrical refractive errors (regular astigmatism)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The indication with refractive goal should be carefully considered in any case of ectasia.
treatment decisions should be based on a precise evaluation of patient needs, medical and anatomical findings, and environmental concerns.\textsuperscript{4,5,86}

Recent therapeutic approaches, such as CXL, have also increased the requirement for an earlier diagnosis of these disorders.\textsuperscript{4,5,86} The advent of CXL determines a new horizon regarding the prevention of disease progression. This procedure is a marginally invasive surgical technique, which combines saturation with riboflavin (vitamin B2) and exposure to ultraviolet (UV) type A (UV-A) to induce cross-links between the collagen fibrils in the corneal stroma. This photochemical reaction involves oxygen consumption. Riboflavin soaking can be performed after epithelial debridement (Epi-off technique).\textsuperscript{88} Alternatively, this procedure can also be done in a transepithelial approach (Epi-on technique), with a different type of riboflavin solutions.\textsuperscript{89} Clinical studies reported that cross-linking declines the progression of the disease, with a decrease in Kmax readings and improvement of the best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA).\textsuperscript{30,31} An age higher than 35 years, a preoperative corrected distance visual acuity higher than 20/25 and high preoperative keratometry readings were identified as significant risk factors for complications and failure of this treatment.\textsuperscript{71,92}

Intracorneal ring segments and topography-guided photorefractive keratectomy (topoguided-PRK), were introduced with the purpose of regularizing the cornea, providing reduction in high-order aberrations.\textsuperscript{85} The advent of femtosecond laser revolutionized anterior segment surgery.\textsuperscript{93} For example, the dissection technique for implantation of ICRS may be performed manually. However, when it is femtosecond laser-assisted, the postoperative results showed to be more predictable and safer (lower complication rates).\textsuperscript{94,95} During the last years, this surgical procedure underwent some improvements regarding the material and implantation nomograms.\textsuperscript{96,97}

The Athens Protocol is an alternative procedure that aims to regularize corneal shape and halt the progression of ectatic disease. In this procedure, a topoguided-PRK combined with CXL is performed. The ablation pattern should aim to save tissue and is customized to the irregularity of the anterior surface of the cornea. Clinical studies have demonstrated visual acuity and quality improvement derived from the corneal surface regularization, with stabilization of the ectatic disease.\textsuperscript{98-101} These procedures may also be combined with phakic intraocular lens implantation or CXL.\textsuperscript{98,102-108} Differently from original bioptics procedure as described by Zaldivar et al.,\textsuperscript{109} for refractive surgery in extreme cases of myopia, in which there is an implant of a phakic IOL followed by a LASIK procedure, we propose to name such approaches as Therapeutic Biopsics.\textsuperscript{105}

In addition, the improvement on surgical techniques and instruments also changed the paradigm of keratoplasty procedures. The PKP consists of a full-thickness replacement of the cornea and was the dominant approach for the majority of causes of corneal blindness for half a century. Interestingly, Von Hippel in 1877, pioneered an innovative procedure in which selective transplantation of corneal stroma without the endothelium, and is the basic perception of the deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty procedure.\textsuperscript{110,111} This surgical technique has become an alternative procedure to PKP in the treatment of different corneal diseases, including keratoconus and ECDs, due to the prevention of intraocular tissue damage, immunologic endothelial rejection/failure and other complications of open-sky surgery, including expulsive hemorrhage. Interestingly, the first studies revealed that PKP had better outcomes of visual acuity, being attributed to the less advanced techniques, such as the manual lamellar dissection. Recently, the Descemet membrane-baring procedure, such as the “big-bubble” and “viscodissection” techniques, provides more efficient visual results.\textsuperscript{112-117} Despite these improvements in this surgical area, the frequency of keratoplasty for keratoconus and ECD is declining over the last decade. The main reason for this epidemiological finding is the availability of new treatments that allow rapid visual rehabilitation and effective progression control.\textsuperscript{118}

**ELECTIVE OR THERAPEUTIC APPROACH**

Among the most important considerations when considering surgery for patients with ECD is the proper understanding of therapeutic vs refractive elective approaches.\textsuperscript{86,104} In this context, patient and family education are essential for enabling conscious decisions, as well as for setting realistic expectations.

The differences between these approaches must be recognized and taken into account, since the same surgical procedure can present both aims and goals.

For cases with advanced ectasia and elevated magnitudes of high-order aberrations, keratoplasty may be a possible option. Nevertheless, there are less invasive alternatives to keratoplasty for visual rehabilitation. In these contexts, the primary purpose is to provide vision that is correctable by spherocylindrical refraction or even facilitate contact lens fitting. Thus, the measure of success will be related to the improvement in BCVA, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Clinical background/history</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual improvement</td>
<td>Patient with poor vision corrected by glasses and/or contact lenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilize the evolution of ectasia</td>
<td>Documented progression of ectasia or evidence of high risk for vision loss</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
refractive surgery result being a secondary goal. Considering an elective refractive purpose, the aim is refractive error reduction to provide uncorrected vision and greater independence from spectacles or contact lenses. Thus, the UCVA is the variable that represents the effectiveness of the procedure. However, comparison of the pre- and postoperative corrected visual acuity is always related to the safety of any ophthalmic surgery.

In ECD cases, an elective refractive surgery procedure may be an unconventional alternative for vision correction if glasses or contact lenses enable a satisfactory solution. In such cases, the decision to undergo such procedures should be taken carefully and exclusively by the patient, based on adequate knowledge about the risks, benefits and limitations. The surgeon should focus on the clinical scenario of each case and understand how the correction by using glasses or contact lenses is relatively inadequate and unsatisfactory for the patient. With the evolution of the initial treatment, a case may be proposed for a secondary procedure with an elective refractive purpose. For example, secondary implantation of a phakic intraocular lens has a refractive goal in a patient with DCVA improvement after ICRS implantation. The combination of surgical procedures with different purposes based on the “Therapeutic Bioptics” concept.65,105 The informed consent process should be performed with an appropriate explanation to the patient, who must understand the differences between need and possibility, balancing risks, benefits and limitations of the procedure.

CONCLUSION

Keratoconus and ECD comprehend a very hot area for research and clinical interest. Considering the number of articles published over the last years and decades, we expect an increasing number of publications in the subsequent years. We also predict further developments in diagnostic technologies and therapeutics.

Corneal imaging will evolve, including further integration of devices, such as Scheimpflug tomography, OCT segmental tomography, ocular wavefront and biometry, and corneal biomechanical assessment. Considering the vast amount of generated data, the conscious use of AI will play a major role for taking higher advantage of such information for clinical decisions. This is also expected to have an expansion into genetics and molecular biology. While this is acknowledged that the aim for enhanced diagnosis is ultimately to characterize ectasia susceptibility, one should consider that the ideal clinical studies should consider longitudinal data. Prospective well-controlled studies should be designed. Alternatively, retrospective analysis of the preoperative state of cases that developed keratoclastia after LASIK should be consistent model for future studies, also contemplating the surgical impact on the cornea. Another limitation widely seen in studies involving the diagnosis of keratoconus is the group with normal controls. Cases with stable corneas and long follow-up after LVC would provide a more robust population for the normal group. In fact, studies involving such populations enabled the development of the enhanced ectasia susceptibility score.11

In terms of treatments, novel less invasive modalities for CXL are expected to augment safety profile of such procedures and further improve our ability to help patients. Novel intracorneal implants may be designed and customized based on finite element models to add to the armamentarium that includes ICRS, custom therapeutic ablations and phakic IOLs as alternative approaches for keratoplasty. While we predict a bright future for the field of managing ECDs, one should not neglect the focus on patient care, which starts from education and counseling.
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