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ABSTRACT

There are multiple congenital differences in the anatomy of the long head biceps tendon origin, course, and sling. Here, we report a case of biceps tendon congenital accessory superior glenohumeral ligament (SGHL) and biceps tendon fused to anterior superior capsule under the coracohumeral ligament without a history of prior shoulder surgery or trauma, “the Aggeris Fusion.” The diagnosis of this fusion was possible with “the Ramp Test,” originally described by the senior author. “The Ramp Test” was developed to evaluate biceps intra-articular pathology and ultimately diagnose the biceps “Hidden Lesion,” described by Walch et al. The reported lesion was found to have a negative (abnormal) Ramp Test, due to the abnormal, congenital fusion. The fusion was released arthroscopically. Postoperatively, the patient progressed successfully. The patient achieved a full range of motion and reports no pain.
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INTRODUCTION

The following case study introduces a case of biceps tendon congenital accessory SGHL and biceps tendon fused to anterior superior capsule under the coracohumeral ligament, “the Aggeris Fusion.”

The anatomy of the shoulder, particularly the capsule and the long head biceps tendon, has many known variations. Pouliart et al described the four main variations of superior capsular structures in a cadaver study. Ide et al described variation of the glenohumeral ligament complex, including the Buford complex by cadaver investigation. The study concluded that the Buford complex is a rare variant. Walch et al described intra-articular pathology, specifically the “Hidden Lesion.”

Many factors can contribute to shoulder anatomic variations, which include both surgical and congenital causes. Surgical causes include scarring or altered anatomy from shoulder repair, particularly rotator cuff repair. These procedures are occasionally followed by fusion of the biceps tendon to joint capsule. These types of fusion and scarring typically require a secondary surgery.

Congenital abnormalities vary greatly and some are thought to contribute to shoulder pathology while others are benign. Congenital abnormalities have been described throughout many studies.

Dierickx et al described atypical biceps tendon origins taking a “Y” shape. This is established by primary attachment to the rotator cable and secondary attachment medial to the superior glenoid tubercle. This abnormality was not found to contribute to shoulder pathology.

Zhang et al described abnormal origins of the long head of the biceps tendon, including origins at the anterior edge of the supraspinatus tendon. Due to this placement, the structure may have contributed to symptomatic rotator cuff pathology.

Dierickx et al described 12 variations of the intra-articular portion of the long head of the biceps tendon. Some of these include fusions with the inferior surface of the capsule. Each of the described variations are thought to be congenital, while only some contribute to shoulder pathology.

Here, we describe the fusion of the proximal biceps tendon to the anterior superior capsule. This abnormality is congenital, as no previous surgery or trauma is present. Additionally, the abnormality is thought to contribute to shoulder pathology.
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CASE REPORT

The patient was a 53-year-old female who presented with left anterior shoulder pain. The pain increased with abduction and adduction. The patient had no previous shoulder trauma or surgical procedures. The physical exam showed limited external rotation above shoulder and chest and isolated anterior shoulder pain. There was negative instability on biceps tendon test.

Arthroscopically, it was determined to have a negative “Ramp Test,” because the fusion was located at the exit out of shoulder. Ultimately, the lesion was arthroscopically released as depicted in Figures 1 and 2.

Postoperative procedures included physical therapy to increase strength and range of motion. The patient progressed successfully and made a full recovery. The patient gained full range of motion, reported no pain, and returned to work.

DISCUSSION

It is common for patients to experience posttrauma fusion of the biceps tendon to joint capsule. This requires arthroscopic repair and employment of the Ramp Test in order to determine the health of the intra-articular subluxation of the long head of the biceps tendon.

Various congenital formations of the bicep tendon origin have been well documented. The variations are outlined and described in detail.

To the best of our knowledge, there has been no prior description of the SGHL and biceps tendon being fused to the anterior superior capsule, as reported here. Therefore, we suggest the “Aggeris Fusion” as a novel discovery.
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