ABSTRACT

Aim: The study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of root canal sealer’s antimicrobial activity against Enterococcus faecalis.

Materials and methods: Root canal sealers with the brands Endoflas FS, AH Plus, and Tubli-Seal EWT were selected. Enterococcus faecalis organisms’ zone of inhibition was measured. Tukey post hoc tests and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to compare the data among study groups and within its group. The data were said to be statistically significant with an established p-value less than 0.05.

Results: The maximum zone of inhibition (23.20 ± 1.202 mm) was shown by Endoflas FS sealer; AH Plus and Tubli-Seal showed the inhibition zone of (18.42 ± 1.023) and (16.88 ± 0.962) respectively, following Endoflas FS. The ANOVA test showed p < 0.0001, which is highly statistically significant. AH Plus and Endoflas FS showed a statistically significant difference of 0.04 and 0.001 in between groups, and there was no statistically significant difference in the Tubli-Seal group from Tukey post hoc test.

Conclusion: The study showed that Endoflas FS sealer has a significant antimicrobial effect against E. faecalis.

Clinical significance: In clinical situation, despite perfect chemomechanical root canal preparation, persistence of microorganisms may reinfect the root canal. Therefore, endodontic root canal sealers play a major role in the eradication of bacteria. The polymicrobial nature of endodontic infection plays a main role during the usage of endodontic sealer with antimicrobial agents, which in turn reduces the failure of endodontic treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

The primary causative agents of apical periodontitis and necrotic pulpitis are microorganisms and its by-products. Endodontic therapy is the only treatment to preserve the teeth; if not, the teeth need to be extracted. Elimination of the microorganisms and prevention of the infection subsequently are the main aims of endodontic therapy.1

The factors which affect the success of the endodontic therapy begin from access opening, biomechanical preparation, and three-dimensional obturation of root canal. One of the main factors for root canal treatment failure is the residual infection; therefore, complete eradication of the infection is necessary for the success of the endodontic treatment.2

The microorganism most commonly found in endodontic infection is E. faecalis. This has been proved with its factor of survival and virulence, including the ability to invade dentinal tubules, resistance to deprived nutrition, and to compete with different microorganisms.3

The core aim of endodontic treatment is to obturate the root canal completely to obtain a sterile root canal, preventing bacteria to recontaminate and recolonize inside the root canal space. A bacteriostatic root canal sealer is required for the ideal treatment according to Grossman.4 Poor quality root canal filling leads to periodontal tissue breakdown. A tooth which is endodontically treated and
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has periapical pathology has an impaired or retarded subsequent healing capacity. To improve the antibacterial effect of sealer root canal therapy, antibacterial agents are added. Many sealers for root canal composed of calcium hydroxide, zinc oxide, and epoxy resin are available, yet only a few are effective to eradicate pathogens, particularly strict anaerobes.5

The infection is prevented by the root canal sealer by entombing survival bacteria, to block further microbial effects on the root canal and to avoid fluids of periapical tissue to reach bacterial cells inside the root canal and sustaining bacterial survival.6 Therefore, this study evaluates the antimicrobial effect of root canal sealers against E. faecalis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted using three different root canal sealers. This in vitro study was conducted in Jan Nayak Choudhary Devi Lal Dental College, Haryana, India.

Root Canal Sealers and Their Composition

AH Plus (Dentsply Detrey, USA)

This sealer of epoxy resin base is a pack of two pastes, pastes A and B. Paste A contained bisphenol F epoxy resin, bisphenol A epoxy resin, zirconium oxide, calcium tungstate, silica, and pigments of iron oxide. Paste B contained tricyclodecane diamine, dibenzyldiamine, aminoadamantane, zirconium oxide, calcium tungstate, silica, and oil of silicone.

Endoflas FS (Sanlor, Colombia)

The sealer is composed of iodoform incorporated in zinc oxide eugenol powder. The powder is composed of zinc oxide, barium sulfate, calcium hydroxide, and liquid composed of eugenol and zinc acetate accelerator.

Tubli-Seal EWT (Sybron Endo Corporation, Orange, CA)

The sealer consists of base paste and catalyst paste. The base paste is a zinc oxide eugenol base composed of zinc oxide, thymol iodide, bismuth trioxide, oils, and waxes. The catalyst paste is composed of eugenol, polymerized resins, and anidinal.

Preparation of the Medium for E. faecalis

The Mueller-Hinton (MH) agar medium was prepared and poured using 20 mL of sterilized pipettes into Petri dishes which are sterilized and on a flat horizontal surface up to a depth of 4 mm (20 mL). The Petri dishes were stored in a refrigerator under 4°C which is used within 1 week from preparation.

Table 1 shows the mean zone of inhibition E. faecalis within three sealers of root canal. The maximum zone of inhibition is shown by Endoflas FS (23.20 ± 1.202 mm) which is followed by AH Plus (18.42 ± 1.023) and Tubli-Seal (16.88 ± 0.962).

Table 2 shows the statistical comparison of the antimicrobial activity within the group and between the sealers

Table 1: Mean zone of inhibition of E. faecalis with three root canal sealers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root canal sealers</th>
<th>E. faecalis</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AH Plus</td>
<td>18.42</td>
<td>1.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoflas FS</td>
<td>23.20</td>
<td>1.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubli-Seal</td>
<td>16.88</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The colonies of E. faecalis with similar morphology were touched using a sterile wore loop. The organisms were transferred into a sterile test tube prefilled with sterile brain heart infusion broth of 1.5 mL. The test tube was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, to cultivate a moderately turbid bacterial suspension. The bacterial suspension density is standardized, comparing its density with 0.5 McFarland units of the barium sulfate standard. The standard is equivalent to 10⁶ colony-forming units per milliliter.

The MH agar culture medium was used for inoculation. A sterile cotton swab dipped in the bacterial suspension was taken, removing the excess by rolling the swab to the test tube side. The entire surface of the culture medium plate was streaked with the cotton swab in three directions evenly.

To investigate the root canal sealers’ antimicrobial activity, the agar diffusion method is used. In suitable culture media, the subculture of the microorganism was made to check their purity. Three wells of equal size were made by extracting the agar at the same distance and filled the root canal sealers. The mixing of the sealer is done as per the manufacturer’s instruction. Microorganisms are cultivated for 24 hours at 37°C in MH broth. The MH broth was incorporated as a second layer. This MH broth was added over after the placement of the sealer.

For prediffusion, the plates were kept for 2 hours at room temperature. The incubation was done at 37°C for 24 hours under suitable gaseous conditions. The diameter of the zone of inhibition was measured in millimeters around the plate after incubation.

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software version 17.0 was used for data analysis. To compare the data between the groups and within the groups, Tukey post hoc tests and one-way ANOVA were used; p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the mean zone of inhibition E. faecalis within three sealers of root canal. The maximum zone of inhibition is shown by Endoflas FS (23.20 ± 1.202 mm) which is followed by AH Plus (18.42 ± 1.023) and Tubli-Seal (16.88 ± 0.962).

Table 2 shows the statistical comparison of the antimicrobial activity within the group and between the sealers
tested using ANOVA, which is found to be $p < 0.0001$, which is statistically highly significant.

Table 3 depicts the comparison between the sealers' activity against *E. faecalis*. Endoflas FS and AH Plus sealers projected a difference of 0.001 and 0.04 between the groups respectively, which is statistically significant. There was no significant difference in the Tubli-Seal sealer.

**DISCUSSION**

The outcome of the endodontic treatment depends on the complete eradication of the bacteria from the root canal, and to provide an efficient seal to avoid further reinfection. *Enterococcus faecalis* was selected in this study mainly because of its possible activity in the endodontic infection.

This study results showed that Endoflas FS projected the antimicrobial activity which was higher when compared with AH Plus and Tubli-Seal, which had the least activity against *E. faecalis* as that of Saha et al\(^8\) and Gomes et al\(^9\) study.

The antimicrobial activity was highest in Endoflas FS mainly because of its content iodoform. Iodoform is added in modified zinc-oxide eugenol sealers, such as medicated canal sealer, and Endoflas FS to improve its antimicrobial property. Iodoform has a mild antiseptic property, as it releases iodine slowly when it is exposed to other body fluids. The iodine content makes it fungicidal and bactericidal. The mechanism of action of the iodoform is to release its oxidizing agent iodine, which can oxidize irreversibly and inactivate vital metabolic compounds, such as a protein by its antimicrobial action. This result is similar to that of the study conducted by Kayaoglu et al\(^10\) and Aravind et al\(^11\).

Anaerobic bacteria can sustain in the environment without oxygen and with minimal nutrients. As the root canal is a closed place, with less or no oxygen, it makes it an ideal environment to harbor anaerobic bacteria. The environmental condition in the root canal, such as bacterial relationships, oxygen tension, and nutrients lays a platform for the anaerobic bacteria to ferment peptides and amino acids. The bacteria that mainly extract energy from carbohydrate fermentation are restricted by lack of nutrients.\(^12\)

The antimicrobial activity was higher in AH Plus which is freshly mixed when compared with Tubli-Seal, especially against *E. faecalis*. The present study results supported these findings; the antimicrobial activity against *E. faecalis* in AH Plus was better than Tubli-Seal, which is eugenol based. AH Plus showed to release a few amine ingredients, epoxy, and formaldehyde during its polymerization process. Other contributing factors which back AH Plus sealers’ performance are speculated.\(^13\)

The mechanism of antimicrobial activity is by the speed of its dissociation into the hydroxyl and calcium ions. The hydroxyl ion dissociation creates an environment with high pH, inhibiting enzymatic activities that are necessary for cellular division, growth, and metabolism of the microorganism. From the present study, AH Plus showed antimicrobial activity toward *E. faecalis*. But as per Mickel et al’s\(^14\) study results, AH Plus did not show any zone of inhibition toward *E. faecalis*. Elimination of the formaldehyde release from AH Plus sealant led it to become ineffective against microorganisms.

Agar dilution and agar diffusion methods were used traditionally to detect the susceptibility for microorganisms. In this study, the agar disk diffusion method, called Kirby–Bauer method, was used instead of traditional agar dilution method. The agar dilution method’s disadvantage is that this method is tested to alter few root canal sealers’ properties. Additionally, few sealers cannot be dissolved homogenously, and it is a slow and difficult technique. Therefore, the agar disk diffusion method is used, as it does not change the sealer’s chemical property.\(^15\)

Siren et al\(^16\) presented the probable reasons for the failure of endodontically treated teeth due to the presence of *E. faecalis*, such as

- The presence of enteric bacteria in small amount inside the infected canal initially and increase in their proportion relatively during treatment, as other microorganisms are vulnerable to the therapy
- The entry of enteric bacteria during the root canal treatment is because of
  - leaking of temporary filling
  - working area’s inadequate isolation
  - opened root canal left for abscess drainage

Therefore, the ideal root canal treatment’s objective is not only to eliminate infection but also to prevent reinfection of the treated root canal.

The rationale to perform this *in vitro* test is to provide valued information to the clinicians related to the various
root canal sealers. Accordingly, an *in vitro* test is essential to determine the true effectiveness of the antimicrobial activity. Findings from this study showed that the endodontic sealers vary by the zone of inhibition of their antimicrobial activity.

**CONCLUSION**

The conclusion defines that Endoflas FS root canal sealer showed a significant increase in the antimicrobial activity against *E. faecalis*. The endodontic sealer’s antibacterial property is an essential factor in preventing the growth of the bacteria in the root canal.
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