ABSTRACT

Nonsyndromic idiopathic agenesis of primary teeth is a rare finding. Clinician's sound knowledge and preparedness for the early diagnosis and appropriate treatment are important to prevent consequences of esthetic and functional problems in children with congenitally absent primary teeth. This article reports two such cases of missing primary teeth in children of Asian origin.
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INTRODUCTION

In dentistry, there are plenteous literature describing the congenitally missing permanent teeth; however, the literature is underfed regarding the number, frequency, and location of congenitally missing primary teeth.1 Congenitally missing teeth have been defined as either absence of one or more teeth or total absence of teeth. The severity may be manifested in varying degrees. Anodontia is a total absence of teeth, whereas oligodontia designates the congenital absence of six or more teeth, and hypodontia, an absence of less than six teeth.2,3

A primary tooth is defined to be congenitally missing if it has not erupted in the oral cavity and is not visible even in the radiographic examination after supportive past history of number of lost teeth due to trauma/infection or any other reasons.4 By around 3 years of age, all primary teeth would have erupted, therefore 3- to 4-year-old children are suitable for diagnosis of congenitally missing primary teeth by clinical examination.5 The use of panoramic radiography is recommended, together with clinical examination in detecting or confirming dental development.6

In the present article, the prevalence, possible etiological factors, clinical implications, and management of congenitally missing primary teeth are briefly reviewed along with presentation of two clinical case reports.

CASE REPORTS

Case 1

A 4-year-old boy reported along with his parents for routine dental checkup. The patient was healthy with no evidence of any syndromic features. Intraoral examination revealed missing primary both right and left maxillary lateral incisors resulting in gap between teeth (Fig. 1). No other positive findings were observed in the patient. Orthopantomograph and intraoral periapical radiographs were made to rule out agenesis of any other teeth or any other developmental abnormalities (Figs 2 and 3). Parents were informed about the existing condition.

Case 2

A 3-year-old male patient reported with parents complaining of decayed teeth. The patient was healthy with no evidence of any syndromic features. Intraoral examination revealed missing primary lower right central incisor (Fig. 4). Radiographic examination revealed the same finding, thereby confirming the congenital agenesis of primary tooth (Fig. 5).

**Fig. 1:** Intraoral photograph showing missing maxillary primary right and left lateral incisors
DISCUSSION

Etiology

Oligodontia is a rare condition that can occur in association with genetic syndromes, or as a nonsyndromic isolated familial trait, or as a sporadic finding. Although tooth agenesis is associated with more than 49 syndromes (such as hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia, Down’s syndrome, incontinentia pigmenti, Rieger’s syndrome, and chondroectodermal dysplasia.), several case reports describe nonsyndromic forms that are either sporadic or familial in nature, as reviewed by Gorlin et al. Various studies suggest both genetic and environmental etiology toward this anomaly.

Hypodontia and oligodontia may be inherited as an autosomal dominant trait with incomplete penetrance and variable expression. Mutation of genes, such as Msh homeobox 1 (MSX1) and paired box protein 9 (PAX9) have been shown in families with nonsyndromic familial oligodontia. Certain studies suggest that the two members of the tooth family (primary teeth and its permanent successor) function rather as separate modules by the observation that in some species, primary teeth form, but fail to be replaced. This is exemplified by studies revealing differential involvement of genes in primary vs permanent teeth.

The dental lamina is extremely sensitive to external insults which may lead to its severe damage or disruption. Occasional environmental factors may be trauma in the dental region (such as fractures and surgical procedures on the jaws), tumors, infections (like rubella and syphilis), physical obstruction, endocrine disturbances, multiagent chemotherapy, and radiation therapy. Based on the age of patient and dosage used, radiation is found to have more serious effects when compared with chemotherapy. The explanation for the presented case can be genetic, environmental, or more likely the combination of both.

Prevalence

The prevalence of hypodontia in the permanent dentition ranges between 2 and 10%, while in primary dentition, hypodontia is uncommon, with a prevalence of 0.1 to 0.9%. Oligodontia has a population prevalence of
0.3% in permanent dentition and 0.5 to 0.9% in primary dentition.\textsuperscript{12} Prevalence of missing teeth in the primary dentition differs among different populations; a few epidemiological studies have given the incidence (Table 1), i.e., of 0.4 to 0.9% in the European\textsuperscript{13,14} and Brazilian population,\textsuperscript{16} and about 2\textsuperscript{17} and 2.38\%\textsuperscript{18} reported among Asian children. According to a study conducted in West Bengal, India, the prevalence of hypodontia was found to be 0.5\%.\textsuperscript{19} The prevalence of nonsyndromic oligodontia in Danish schoolchildren has been reported to be 0.16\% and in Indore, India, prevalence of oligodontia was reported as 0.36\%.\textsuperscript{7}

Epidemiological studies suggest that there exists a difference in the prevalence of hypodontia in the primary dentition between maxilla and mandible among different races (Table 1). Agenesis was found twice as frequently in the maxillary lateral incisor region than in the mandibular lateral incisor region. Congenital absence of primary molars, canines, and maxillary central incisors was extremely rare.\textsuperscript{20} Absence of more than two primary teeth was found in 8\% of children with hypodontia in the primary dentition. Prevalence rates of agenesis in both dentitions are significantly higher in females compared with that in males, but gender apparently does not affect dental agenesis patterns.\textsuperscript{11,21}

### Clinical Implications

In hypodontia among the primary dentition, the absence of teeth may be unilateral or bilateral.\textsuperscript{23} It has been hypothesized that if hypodontia is primarily genetic, then bilateral absence would be expected to occur; and when hypodontia occurs unilaterally, it may be associated with structural anomalies of the contralateral tooth (such as microdontia or conical teeth).\textsuperscript{24}

Congenital dental agenesis has been reported to be associated with anomalies like a tendency toward delayed tooth formation, reduced tooth size, ectopic maxillary canines, ectopic eruption of other teeth, short roots of teeth, enamel hypoplasia, hypocalcification, dentino genesis imperfecta, and taurodontism.\textsuperscript{11,25,26} Absence of multiple teeth in primary dentition can cause impaired growth of alveolar process, constricted arches, deep bite, asymmetry of face, reduced lower facial height, reduced orofacial space, lowered tongue position, high frenulal attachment, and speech and masticatory impairment, all of which can have a physiological and psychological impact on the individual.\textsuperscript{22,27} Cases with unilateral agenesis (especially of primary maxillary lateral incisors with missing permanent successor) was reported to be associated with dental midline shift and a molar class II relation on the same side of the agenesis. Missing mandibular incisors has been suggested as one of the chief factors affecting mandibular symphysis growth and morphology as found commonly in the Japanese populations.\textsuperscript{17,28}

Agenesis of a primary incisor was often but not always followed by agenesis of the succedaneous tooth. Most authors report 100\% absence of the permanent successor.\textsuperscript{13,14} According to Daugaard–Jensen et al.,\textsuperscript{29} agenesis in the primary dentition is often but not always followed by agenesis in the permanent dentition. Oligodontia in the primary dentition with presence of permanent successors was reported by Ooshima et al.\textsuperscript{30} However, the pattern of agenesis in the permanent dentition differed from that in the primary dentition. Incisors were most frequently missing in the primary dentition and premolars in the permanent dentition.\textsuperscript{29}

A summary of few case reports in dental literature on congenitally missing primary teeth and associated clinical findings is outlined in Table 2. These highlight the importance of proper and early diagnosis followed by a sequential treatment plan, for long-term stable results in the function and esthetics of such individuals.

### Table 1: Epidemiological studies on prevalence of congenitally missing primary teeth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Hypodontia prevalence</th>
<th>Most prevalent missing primary teeth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ravn</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>4,564</td>
<td>3–3½ years</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>17 maxillary and 7 mandibular lateral incisors, 1 mandibular primary canine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Järvinen and Lehtinen</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1,141</td>
<td>3–4 years</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>7 maxillary and 4 mandibular lateral incisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whittington and Durward</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1,680</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
<td>Mandibular incisors with 1 case of missing mandibular primary canine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daugaard-Jensen et al</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>193 cases</td>
<td>1.2–9 years</td>
<td>193 cases</td>
<td>119 maxillary and 53 mandibular lateral incisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yonezu et al</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2,733</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>2.38%</td>
<td>Mandibular lateral incisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kramer et al</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>2–5 years</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>Maxillary lateral incisors followed by other incisors and canines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>2,611</td>
<td>2–6 years</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Mandibular incisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapdan et al</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>1,149</td>
<td>2–5 years</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>Mandibular central incisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukhopadhyay and Mitra</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>2,757</td>
<td>4–6 years</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>Maxillary lateral incisors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Management

A child with hypodontia or oligodontia should be under proper treatment planning, treatment, and coordination of treatment and review appointing. In addition to the loss of function and esthetic compromise, psychosocial development is an important concern in the oral rehabilitation of growing patients. The successful management of hypodontia is achieved by an integrated multidisciplinary approach of pedodontist, orthodontist, oral maxillofacial surgeon, prosthodontist, and speech therapist.

The general principle in management is to deal with the space within the dental arches, i.e., a space closure in less severe cases, while prosthetic replacements (in the form of dentures, crowns, bridges, autotransplantation, dental implants, etc.) as well as some orthodontic tooth movement in more severe cases. Nagaveni et al reported a rare occurrence of concomitant occurrence of canine transmigration and symmetrical agenesis of mandibular permanent incisors.

A prosthodontic rehabilitation, with a removable partial denture at an early stage and later rehabilitation of teeth with osseointegrated implants, is fundamental in these situations, attempting to provide a functional and esthetic solution that will allow the child as normal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Age/ gender</th>
<th>Missing primary teeth</th>
<th>Absent permanent tooth buds</th>
<th>Other anomalies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ooshima et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2/M</td>
<td>51, 52, 61, 71, 72, 73, 81, 82</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Small malformed—53, 54, 74, 84. Small and tapering—62, 63.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shashikiran et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/M</td>
<td>52, 53, 62, 63, 71, 72, 73, 82, 83</td>
<td>12, 22, 31, 32, 41, 42</td>
<td>Ankyloglossia and high frenula attachments with very thin alveolar ridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cho and Lee</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6/M</td>
<td>53, 63</td>
<td>21: delayed eruption 25, 35, 45</td>
<td>A premaxillary supernumerary tooth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6/M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6/M</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venkataraaghavan et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4/M</td>
<td>51, 52, 53, 54, 61, 62, 63, 64, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Improper speech, developing permanent tooth buds showing defective formation of dentin and roots of the permanent molars and lower central incisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shilpa et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2/M</td>
<td>52, 53, 54, 62, 63, 64, 71, 72, 73, 74, 81, 82, 83, 84</td>
<td>31, 32, 41, 42</td>
<td>Thin alveolar ridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shilpa et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2½/F</td>
<td>51, 52, 53, 54, 61, 62, 63, 64, 71, 72, 73, 81, 82, 83, 84</td>
<td>All absent except 16, 26, 36, and 46</td>
<td>Severely atrophic alveolar ridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navin et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/F</td>
<td>51, 52, 54, 61, 62, 64, 71, 72, 73, 74, 81, 82, 83, 84</td>
<td>12, 14, 15, 22, 24, 25, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 41, 42, 43, 44</td>
<td>Conical shaped maxillary primary canines, thin and knife-edged mandibular anterior alveolar ridge and decrease in vertical dimension of occlusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaur et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4/F</td>
<td>52, 62, 71, 72, 73, 81, 82, 83</td>
<td>12, 13, 15, 17, 22, 23, 25, 27, 31, 32, 33, 35, 37, 41, 42, 43, 45, 47</td>
<td>A very thin lower alveolar ridge with highly placed abnormal lingual frenum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selva Kumar et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5/F</td>
<td>52, 62</td>
<td>12, 22, 31, 32, 41</td>
<td>Space in the upper front teeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goswami et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/F</td>
<td>72, 82</td>
<td>35, 45, and 22</td>
<td>Delayed formation of permanent tooth buds, different positional change of right second permanent molar, difficulty in speaking and chewing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moses et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/F</td>
<td>52, 53, 62, 63, 71, 72, 73, 81, 82, 83</td>
<td>13, 23, 31, 32, 33, 41, 42, 43</td>
<td>Facial asymmetry, thin alveolus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nirmala et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6/F</td>
<td>51, 52, 53, 54, 61, 62, 63, 64, 71, 72, 81, 82, 83, 84</td>
<td>11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 31, 32, 33, 41, 42, 43, 44</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krishnapriya et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2½/F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>All first permanent molars showed taurodontism and short roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birnboim-Blau et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/M</td>
<td>73, 83</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Ankyloglossia, difficulty in speech, asymmetry of right side of the face with lower lip placed inward, narrow V-shaped upper arch with supraeruption and deep bite and psychological impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ephraim et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10/M</td>
<td>83, 84, 85</td>
<td>41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 16, 17</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a lifestyle as possible. A removable partial denture which can be modified according to the eruption status of the teeth can be fabricated, providing reasonable esthetic result and an acceptable masticatory function without unnecessary damage to tissues, which needs to be changed every 6 months serving as interim prosthesis. The correction of associated anomalies like expansion of narrow maxilla, correction of ankyloglossia, etc., along with space maintenance should be sequenced in a way that it produces a long-term outcome.

In those cases of existing permanent successor teeth, it is advised to examine the child periodically in order to avoid possible space loss due to tipping of adjacent teeth toward the space created by the missing tooth. If space is already lost, it might be necessary to gain it back and then to use a space maintainer, till the permanent tooth is erupted. Management in cases of congenitally missing primary teeth will depend on the pattern and severity of tooth absence, the amount of spaces present, eruption pattern, growth potential, health of teeth and surrounding structures, occlusion and interocclusal space, and, of course, the patient’s and caretaker’s attitude.

CONCLUSION

Hypodontia requires great care with extensive and complex treatments. Early identification of missing primary dentition anomalies can guide the dentist to prevent future permanent dentition ailments and planning interceptive dental treatment at the appropriate time. Therefore, in the mixed dentition period, it is very essential to monitor these patients, thereby various treatment options can be proceeded in future, creating less psychological distress for such patients.
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