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ABSTRACT

Maxillary first molar has an extremely variable anatomy that makes a successful root canal procedure challenging. This case report describes a nonsurgical endodontic treatment of a maxillary first molar with two mesial, two distal, and a palatal canal. It also emphasizes the need of a thorough knowledge of the root canal and its variations to prevent the cases of failure and retreatments.
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INTRODUCTION

Maxillary first molar is a tooth that has been evaluated multiple times for its aberrant anatomy and has been described in the literature for its diversities. Thus, finding an extra canal or an extra root is not something which is a new finding for this tooth. The knowledge of the anatomy of a tooth is an indispensable criterion that decides the success and failure of the endodontic therapy for a tooth. One root, one canal formula is frequently overruled by the fact that number of canals is more than the number of roots. The failure rate of endodontic treatment of maxillary molars is high due to the complex morphology of root canals and often missing second mesiobuccal (MB2) and extra canals in distobuccal and palatal root. Moreover, a less than adequate access opening makes it difficult for the primary dentist to locate the supplemental canals. The broad buccolingual dimension of the mesiobuccal root and associated concavities on its mesial and distal surface is consistent with the majority of the mesiobuccal roots having two canals. Thus, it is of paramount importance for a clinician to have a conscientious knowledge of the tooth being treated so that he can perfectly justify the treatment.

The MB2 canal is not something that is easy to catch. It is usually located either mesial to or in the pulpal groove connecting the main mesiobuccal canal and palatal canals, within 3.5 mm palatally and 2 mm mesially from the main mesiobuccal canal. The incidence of MB2 canal is reported to be between 18 and 96.1% and the incidence of two canals in the distobuccal root is reported to be between 1.90 and 4.30%. Thus, an operator must always be anticipating. One should look for extra canals because missed canals lead to a decreased long-term prognosis.

This case report describes a nonsurgical management of maxillary first molar with two mesial, two distal, and a palatal canal.

CASE REPORT

A 21-year-old young male patient reported to the Department of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, with the complaint of pain in the upper left back tooth region since last 1 month. History revealed that the pain was moderate and continuous in nature and intensified by thermal stimuli and on mastication. The patient’s medical history was noncontributory and unremarkable.

On clinical examination, no sinus was observed. The tooth #26 was tender on percussion with no thermal response and the coronal restoration was incorrectly done. Radiographic examination of the tooth revealed secondary caries under the composite restoration involving mesial pulp horn, encroaching into the pulp space (Fig. 1).

According to the clinical signs and symptoms, the diagnosis of symptomatic irreversible pulpitis was made and nonsurgical root canal treatment was planned. Restoration was removed, caries was excavated under 2% local anesthesia in the concentration of 1,200,000, and access preparation was done using #2 and #4 round burs in the beginning followed by Endo Access bur (Dentsply Maillefer, Switzerland). Initial outline form was triangular and three orifices of mesiobuccal, distobuccal, and palatal canals were located following the dentinal map, bleeding points, and a DG16 explorer (Hu-Friedy, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Troughing was performed with the help of an ultrasonic tip (Endosonic, Woodpecker Mectron handpick).
after which MB2 canal orifice was also discovered. At this point, DB2 canal was anticipated and the access opening was further modified to achieve its presence and it was successfully discovered too (Fig. 2). All the canal orifices were enlarged using GG drills. The cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) images confirmed the presence of two more canals palatal to the mesiobuccal and the distobuccal canal orifices (Fig. 3).

The working lengths were determined with apex locator (Propex II, Dentsply Maillefer, Switzerland) and confirmed radiographically (Fig. 4). Cleaning and shaping of canals was done by Crown Down technique using no. 25 K3 nickel-titanium rotary files (SybronEndo, Orange, CA) in a taper of 4%.

About 15% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (Dentsply Maillefer Glyde syringe kit) along with 5.25% NaOCl was used to irrigate the canals during instrumentation followed by intermitted irrigation with normal saline.

A preobturation radiograph was taken to confirm that the canal preparation was achieved till the working length (Fig. 5). Canals were then dried with absorbent points (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland), coated with sealapex (Kerr Manufacturing Co.) and obturated using cold lateral condensation technique using no. 25 gutta-percha points (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) in a taper of 4% (Fig. 6).
DISCUSSION

Failure of root canal treatment can be attributed to a number of reasons. Inability to identify and negotiate missed canals remains a primary reason for retreatment and can be in as many as 19% of cases. After gaining access to the pulp chamber, the floor of the chamber must be carefully examined. The dentinal map should be followed and the developmental grooves should be carefully accessed using a DG16 explorer to locate extra canals. The presence of extra canals should not just be anticipated, but they should be meticulously looked for.

Auxiliaries, such as illumination, dental operating microscope, and endodontic loupes serve efficiently to locate extra canals. Yoshioka et al demonstrated increased ability to detect and negotiate MB2 when ultrasonics is used in conjunction with magnification. Gently sweeping the pulpal floor with a slow speed no. 2 or 4 round bur or using an ultrasonic instrument in the same manner aids in finding the extra canals. A preoperative two-angled radiograph is also mandatory, especially in the case of maxillary molars. There is superimposition of roots on one another, making radiographic assessment of additional canals impossible on a single projection. The presence of an eccentrically placed file on radiograph should also raise suspicion of presence of an extra canal. Newer diagnostic methods, such as CBCT help in accessing the internal canal morphology to a great extent. Searching for canal bleeding points, following the dentinal map, staining the pulpal floor with 1% methylene blue dye, and champagne bubble test are another ways to locate extra canal orifices.

CONCLUSION

Even if it is rare, a clinician should always be prepared to encounter any aberrant morphology during an endodontic treatment, and should not hesitate to use advanced diagnostic modalities like CBCT for identifying root and canal aberrations in order to ascertain favorable root canal treatment outcomes, while respecting the challenges of pulp space anatomy.
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