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ABSTRACT

Aim: In medicine, honey is known for its various biological or pharmacological effects, from wound dressing to anticancerogenic and from anti-inflammatory to antibacterial activities. The aim of the current study was to evaluate the effect of honey on healing of mandibular bone defects in a rat model.

Materials and methods: This animal study was performed on 24 wild-type Wistar rats. Following shaving, disinfection, and extraoral incision, a 2 × 2 mm defect was created at mandibular angle. In the experimental group, the defect was filled with sterile honey, while it was left unfilled in the control group. The rats were sacrificed after 2 and 4 weeks and defects were assessed histologically. The results were compared using Mann–Whitney U-test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Results: After 2 weeks, five samples of the experimental group were in mineralization phase, while all samples of the control group were in the vascularization phase ($p = 0.015$). After 4 weeks, the defects were filled in four samples of the experimental group, while all samples of the control group were in the mineralization stage ($p = 0.002$). Histomorphometric assessment revealed that the mean new bone formation in the experimental group was significantly more than the control group, both after 2 and 4 weeks ($p = 0.041$).

Conclusion: The results showed that honey could accentuate bone healing of mandibular small defects in rats.

Clinical significance: Honey might have potential in repair of human alveolar bone defects.
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INTRODUCTION

Regeneration and repair of bone defects due to congenital diseases, such as alveolar defects, pathologic conditions, trauma, and gunshot injury need surgical intervention. More than 1 million bone regeneration surgeries are performed each year worldwide. The gold standard modality for treatment of critical-sized bone defects is autogenous bone graft. However, due to its limitations, other techniques, such as stem cell therapy and alloplastic grafts have been developed.

Honey is one of the oldest food resources for humans. In addition, it is known for its curing effect in the treatment of various medical diseases. Early in the 20th century, its wound-healing feature was demonstrated; however, it was overlooked due to the introduction of antibiotics. Honey contains about 200 substances...
that could influence the physiology of tissue repair.\textsuperscript{17} The antibacterial and anti-inflammatory effects of honey have been reported in several studies.\textsuperscript{18-23} In addition, honey contains vitamin C concentration three times more than plasma,\textsuperscript{24} which could accelerate tissue regeneration.\textsuperscript{25} A recent clinical trial showed the effectiveness of honey as a dressing for dry socket.\textsuperscript{26} Oral consumption of honey could improve bone structure in osteoporotic rats.\textsuperscript{27,28}

In a recent review, it was demonstrated that honey could prevent cancerogenesis through alteration of cell cycle molecular processes\textsuperscript{19} and some studies reviewed the effectiveness of honey for wound healing.\textsuperscript{18,29,30} Although several studies demonstrated the soft tissue wound healing effect of honey,\textsuperscript{18,23,29,30} its effect on bone defects has not been evaluated. Hence, the aim of the current study was to evaluate the effect of honey on healing of mandibular bone defects in a rat model.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Design**

This animal study was performed on 24 seven-week-old wild-type Wistar male rats that weighted approximately 450 gm (420–470 gm). The study protocol was approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. All animals were kept in a monitored environment and separate cages. They had access to sufficient drinking water and laboratory rat food. All the animals were generally healthy before and throughout the experiment according to veterinarian examinations.

**Surgical Procedure**

Animals were anesthetized using ketamine hydrochloride (5 mg/kg; Iran Daru Pharmaceutical Co., Tehran, Iran) and xylazine (3 mg/kg; Iran Daru Pharmaceutical Co.). These drugs were injected intraperitoneally using insulin syringe. Mandibular angle and border of the right side were shaved and disinfected with povidone–iodine (Betadine). An extraoral incision was made by a sharp blade at the mandibular border and a full-thickness flap was reflected to expose mandibular angle. A 2 × 2 mm diameter unicortical bone defect was created using surgical bur (Meisinger, Neuss, Germany) with the speed of 20,000 (rev/min) under sufficient irrigation of normal saline in a sterilized condition.

The animals were randomly divided into two groups. In group I (experimental group, n = 12), the defect was filled with one drop of sterile honey (Medihoney, Derma Sciences Inc., Princeton, New Jersey) and in group II (control group, n = 12), the defect was left unfilled. No membrane was used to cover the defects. The incision was closed using 3-0 Vicryl (Ethicon Inc., Somerville, New Jersey) suture. Analgesic (0.1 mL/kg of ketoprofen) and antibiotics (20 mg/kg of oxytetracycline) were administered both preoperatively and postoperatively.

**Assessment of Healing**

At 2 and 4 weeks after the surgery, animals were sacrificed humanely. Mandible was dissected, dehydrated, and mounted in methyl methacrylate resin. The samples were fixed in 10% formalin solution with a pH of 7. Following the fixation in formalin for 1 week, the samples were moved to 4% ethylenediaminetetraacetate solution for 4 weeks to decalcify hard tissue. Then, the samples were processed using tissue processor machine (DidehSabz Company, Tehran, Iran). After 24 hours, samples were prepared with paraffin infiltration, and sequential sections (5 µm) were cut perpendicular to the defect. The sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Three slices of each sample that represented the most central cuts were selected and assessed histologically for evaluation of the healing stage. The samples were assessed by an experienced pathologist and graded according to their stage of bone repair (grade I: Inflammatory cells and blood clot; grade II: Vascularization and sequestration; grade III: Osteoid, mineralization, and cortical bone; and grade IV: Defect filled with new bone).\textsuperscript{10} In addition, the number of lymphocytes and neutrophils as well as blood vessels was counted in five randomly selected different fields of each slice (×1000 for cells and ×400 for vessels), and its mean number was accounted for that specimen.

The most central slice was selected (largest diameter) and digitized with microscope digital camera and analyzed by an image-analyzing computer software (Image-Pro Plus, Media Cybernetic, Silver Spring, MD). The area of bone tissue in the defect was expressed as percentage.

**Statistical Analysis**

Comparison of the healing grades of two groups as well as their histomorphometric results was performed by Mann–Whitney U-test. All statistical analyses were performed by Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois) with a significance level of 0.05.

**RESULTS**

After 2 weeks, five samples of the experimental group were of grade III (mineralization) and one sample was of grade II, while all samples of the control group were of grade II (vascularization) (p = 0.015). After 4 weeks, the defects were nearly filled in all samples. At this time, four samples of the experimental group were of grade IV (defect was filled with new bone) and two samples were of grade III, while all samples of the control group were of grade III (mineralization; p = 0.002; Fig. 1).
The results of inflammatory cells and blood vessels counting are demonstrated in Table 1. The number of cells was not statistically different between two groups; however, statistically significant lesser blood vessels were observed in the experimental group, both after 14 and 28 days (p < 0.05).

The mean (standard deviation, SD) new bone formation in the experimental group was 36.83% (9.33) and 64.33% (10.69) after 2 and 4 weeks respectively. The corresponding numbers for the control group were 27.00 (6.72) and 52.33 (7.45) respectively. As demonstrated in Graph 1, the difference in mean new bone formation between two groups was statistically significant, both after 2 and 4 weeks (p = 0.041).

**DISCUSSION**

This study was performed to assess bone reparative potential of honey, and the results demonstrated its significant influence on repair of small-sized mandibular defect in a rat model. To the extent of our knowledge, this was the first report on the effect of honey on bone repair. The regeneration acceleration property of the honey could be attributed to its anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, and angiogenesis effects.

Some authors have investigated the effect of honey on the inflammation. A study showed that honey flavonoid extract significantly suppressed the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines including tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) and interleukin (IL)-1 beta. In an in vivo study on rats, Hussein et al showed that honey could decrease edema and also decrease the levels of inflammatory mediators including prostaglandin E2, TNF-α, nitric oxide, and IL-6 in the plasma. Its anti-inflammatory effect was similar to that of indomethacin. It has been revealed that the anti-inflammatory property of the honey could be due to its phenolic and flavonoids compounds.

Several studies have reported the antibacterial effect of honey, which results in inhibition of bacterial growth.
colonization and promotes wound healing.\(^2\)\(^\text{22}\) Antibacterial effect of honey is attributed to the riboflavin.\(^2\)\(^\text{22}\) In addition, honey contains enzymes, such as catalase, which could have an antibacterial effect.\(^2\)\(^\text{23}\) The pH of honey is low enough (3.2–4.5) to prevent bacterial growth.\(^2\)\(^\text{24}\)

A significant stage of bone repair is vascularization for providing enough oxygen and nutrition for the cells involved in healing process. Previously, some studies reported that honey could accelerate wound healing by granulation tissue formation.\(^2\)\(^\text{22,23}\) Vascularization was significantly more in skin lesions dressed with honey compared with the control group.\(^2\)\(^\text{24}\) The bacteria present in the lesion could consume glucose of honey and produce lactic acid, which could play a role in growth of the cells.\(^2\)\(^\text{25}\)

Honey contains several vitamins and other nutritional components, which could positively influence wound healing.\(^2\)\(^\text{26}\) Honey has high concentrations of vitamin C.\(^2\)\(^\text{27}\) This might accelerate collagen synthesis by fibroblasts resulting in hastening of bone healing.

Regarding the safety of application of honey in the bone defects, sterilization seems necessary. The presence of clostridial spores in honey could cause food poisoning in children.\(^2\)\(^\text{28,29}\) However, Molan\(^3\)\(^\text{30}\) reported that application of unsterile honey on open wounds of 470 patients caused no infection.

Although translation of this limited animal study to the clinical situation is not appropriate, the results indicated the potential of honey in bone healing. Furthermore, the molecular process of bone healing through honey was not assessed in this preliminary animal study. More in \textit{vivo} studies should be performed to evaluate the effectiveness of honey in repair of bone lesions, such as periodontal defects.

\textbf{CONCLUSION}

Within the limitations of this animal study, the results demonstrated that honey could increase and accelerate bone repair of mandibular small defects in rats. These results indicate the possible potential of honey for application in bone regeneration and repair.

\textbf{Clinical Significance}

The results showed that medical honey could accelerate healing of mandibular bone noncritical-sized defect.
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