Root Coverage in Miller Classes I and II associated with Subepithelial Connective Tissue Graft: A comparative Clinical Trial of Two Techniques

1Vitor J Spada, 2Patricia O Nassar, 3Nahana Cardoso, 4Khadidja MB Caldato, 5Jordana H Pandini  
6Deisy M Randon, 7Carlos A Nassar

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Gingival recession is characterized by apical positioning of the gingival side in relation to the cementoenamel junction. Thus, this study aimed at evaluating the effectiveness of two surgical techniques for root coverage in patients with gingival recession of Miller class I or II.

Materials and methods: A total of 13 patients were selected, from the age group of 20 to 50 years, with bilateral gingival recession Miller class I or II, totaling 34 recessions. When the basic periodontal treatment was accomplished, every recession was included in one of both selected groups according to the surgical technique: Control group—Subepithelial connective tissue graft associated with coronally positioned flap technique and test group—Subepithelial connective tissue graft associated with the modified envelope technique. Patients were evaluated for 180 days, and the periodontal parameters were analyzed in 0, 90, and 180 days.

Results: The results showed that both surgeries were effective since they kept probe depths with periodontal health and clinical attachment gain (p < 0.05) in both groups, up from 90 days. The reduction was kept for 180 days, mainly for the control group that showed a clinical attachment gain that was still statistically significant at 180 days (p < 0.05). Regarding the root coverage, the control group showed a 90.2% of coverage while the test group showed 89.5%.

Conclusion: Thus, it can be concluded that both techniques, after 180 days, were effective for the health of periodontal tissues; however, a greater emphasis can be observed on the subepithelial connective tissue graft-associated coronally positioned flap technique because this technique demonstrated a greater significant gain in the clinical attachment level.

Clinical significance: The subepithelial connective tissue graft is used for gaining the clinical attachment level in the root coverage of patients with gingival recession Miller classes I and II.
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INTRODUCTION

Gingival recession is characterized by apical positioning of the gingival side in relation to the cementoenamel junction (CEJ). It is a common problem in patients with good standard of oral hygiene, both in maxilla and mandible, which can either be unit or multiple.1

Inadequate oral hygiene, inability or difficulty of a patient in removing plaque, folds, and fibrous formations near the gingival side along with some predisposing factors, such as thin gingival tissue (thin periodontal phenotype), prominent root surface, misplaced tooth, and bone dehiscence may contribute to their formation.2,3

To correct gingival recession, several techniques have been developed. A few among them are the coronally positioned flap technique associated with masticatory mucosal graft, initially described by Bernimoulin et al4 and modified by Liu and Solt.5 The main goal of surgical therapies is the complete covering of the root surface to obtain compatible depth soundings with periodontal health along with achromatic integration and root coverage texture so that there is a great interaction among the adjacent periodontal tissues.6,7

The coronally positioned flap technique is a procedure that shows high significance in the complete root coverage that can be observed in some parts where there
is no loss of papillae. Besides coronally positioned flap technique, there are some other techniques that were
developed, one among them is by Langer and Langer. In this technique, the graft is placed in a subepithelial
position on the exposed root surface, covered by a receiver epithelium, which moves the flap coronally to cover the
graft. This also increases its protection and maximizes nutrition, once it is provided by both periostea and flap
surface on the graft.

Another alternative technique of root coverage is placing the connective tissue graft within an “envelope”,
i.e., already prepared, however, in 1994, Allen modified this technique and included repositioning gingival
margin in the coronal direction by fine suture. The recent literature demonstrated that the successful rates in the
root coverage of Miller classes I and II with these techniques and under ideal conditions varied between 50
and 97.3% in the mean root coverage and it also varied between 77 and 91.6% for complete root coverage.

Therefore, this study aimed at evaluating the effectiveness of two surgical techniques for root coverage in
patients with Miller class I or II gingival recession.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research concerns the applied nature of explanatory character and its design was a randomized clinical trial.
The project was approved by the Research and Ethics Committee in Human Beings at Unioeste, document was
registered as no. 714,964. It was carried out at the clinics of the dentistry course, Campus of Unioeste in Cascavel,
and a private dentistry clinic. A total of 67 patients were examined, and 16 of them were selected according to the
inclusion criteria. There were three dropouts during the whole study: One because of disease in the family and
another because of pregnancy, and the last one reported that the first surgery was very traumatic.

Regarding the analysis of sample size calculation, the patients’ number was defined based on previous analyses,
through a test power of 80% and 0.05 alpha level. These data were also based on previous studies of researchers’
groups. The final sample size was 13 patients, in the age of 20 to 50 years, and with bilateral gingival recession
Miller class I or II, totaling 34 recessions, with 17 recessions in each group. They were diagnosed with gingival
recession, Miller classes I and II in more than one area of the dental jaw.

The inclusion criteria in the sample were patients of both gender (males and females), whose recession varied
from 2 to 5 mm height (it was measured from the CEJ to the upper apical part of gingival recession) and between
2 and 4 mm width (it was measured the furthest area between both sides of the gingival tissue that surrounds
recession). The bleeding index was ≤5% and carious free.

The exclusion criteria were any positive history for antibiotic therapy in the past 6 months, the use of anti-
inflammatory drugs or steroids in the past 3 months before the study was conducted, and any systemic problem that
contraindicated surgical procedure. The medical record of each patient was obtained through anamnesis; all
participants underwent clinical examination, and then, a basic periodontal treatment was carried out. The initial
clinical/periodontal examination was carried out by a single trained individual, who used a Williams number
23 periodontal probe for determining plaque index, gingival index, probing depth, clinical attachment level,
gingival level (recession), height of keratinized tissue, and dental sensibility (modified index of the US Public Health
Service).

Thereafter, each recession was randomly allocated by raffle in one of both selected groups, according to the
treatments proposed in Table 1. All patients received a basic periodontal treatment before the surgery, and, when
necessary, a manual instrumentation was performed with periodontal curettes of Gracey 5/6, 7/8, 11/12, and
13/14 (Hu-Friedy, Chicago, Illinois, USA). All patients were instructed to follow the modified bass technique of
toothbrushing and received maintenance care. After the 180 day trial period, all patients were included in a
periodontal maintenance program.

Coronally Positioned Flap Technique

The studied area received an infiltrative terminal anesthesia with mepivacaine 2% and epinephrine 1:100,000
(DFL, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil). An intrasulcular incision was carried out with a 15c Solidor® blade (Suzhou Kyan
Medical Apparatus Co. Ltd., Suzhou City Beiqiao Town, China) (Fig. 1) as well as horizontal incisions on papilla
base at CEJ level at mesial and distal parts so that the epithelial papilla could be removed.

Initially, the flap was displaced in its whole thickness until the mucogingival line. The flap was then displaced
into partial thickness so that a flap with a good flexibility

| Table 1: Distribution of 34 recessions according to the treatment proposed |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Control group (n = 17 recessions): Subepithelial connective tissue graft by the coronally positioned flap technique | Basic periodontal treatment | Surgical technique | Maintenance therapy |
| Test group (n = 17 recessions): Subepithelial connective tissue graft by the modified envelope technique | Basic periodontal treatment | Surgical technique | Maintenance therapy |

and without tension could be obtained. The subepithelial connective tissue grafts were placed on the proximal recession and stabilized in the proximal areas with a resorbable polyglycolic acid thread (BIOLINE, Anapolis, Goias, Brazil). After that, the flap was sutured on the graft with 6.0 nylon thread (SHALON San Luis M. Belos, Goias, Brazil) (Figs 1 and 2). In this technique, surgical cement (COE-PAK™, GC AMERICA, Alsip, Illinois, USA) was applied on the area. This technique was similar to that recommended by Langer and Langer. 8

**Modified Envelope Technique**

This technique was started by obtaining the receptor area where the terminal infiltrative anesthesia was performed using the same anesthetic agent as used in the control group (Fig. 3). Intrasulcular incision without papillae involvement was made with 15c Solidor® blade (Suzhou Kyuan Medical Apparatus Co. Ltd., Suzhou City, Beiqiao Town, China). The envelope was created with a tunneler (Helmut Zepf Medizintechnik GmbH, Seitingen-oberflacht, Germany) through a whole thickness detachment near the gingival margin beyond the mucogingival junction, followed by a split “array”-shaped flap, overtaking the boundaries of the recession so that the flap was immobilized without tensions, to the CEJ (Figs 3 and 4). This technique was similar to that described by Allen. 11

After preparation of the receiving area, the donor region selected for both techniques was the palatine region between canines and mesial of first molars, respecting the anatomical limits. After terminal infiltrative anesthesia, the graft tissue was obtained by the double incision technique described by Raetzke 15; this area was sutured with 5.0 nylon thread (Shalon São Luis M. Belos, Goias, Brazil) (Fig. 4) and protected by continuous suture with surgical cement (COE-PAK™, GC AMERICA, Alsip, Illinois, USA).

**Statistical Analyses**

All data were analyzed and evaluated initially through Shapiro–Wilk tests for checking the normal distribution, and then analysis of variance and Tukey’s tests were used. The only exception was the analysis of the sensitivity
parameter where Kruskal–Wallis and Dunn tests were used, at 5% significance. The BioEstat 5.4 software (Mamirauá Institute, Amazon, Brazil) was used to carry out all the statistical analyses.

RESULTS
In relation to probing depth, both techniques were effective. The periodontal health was maintained during the evaluation period, without significant change (p > 0.05; Table 2). There was a gain in clinical attachment level (p < 0.05) in both groups from 90 days after the surgeries, maintaining the gain at 180 days. They were most notable for the control group, which had a clinical attachment level gain still statistically significant after 180 days (p < 0.05). These results were also shown when the results were compared between the groups at 180 days. The control group presented a statistically significant gain when compared with the test group (p < 0.05). There was a statistically significant gain (p < 0.05) in the height of keratinized tissue at 90 days for both groups (Figs 5 and 6), remaining stable up to 180 days. In other periods and parameters, there was no statistical difference between the groups in the same period (p > 0.05).

There was a statistically significant decrease (p < 0.05) from the gingival recession level at 90 days for both groups, remaining stable up to 180 days (Table 3; Figs 5 and 6). Regarding the dental sensibility score, the two groups showed a significant reduction (p < 0.05) from 90 days after surgeries were performed maintaining this reduction during 180 days. There was no statistical difference between the groups in the same period (p > 0.05), whereas in relation to the plaque index, the two groups showed a significant reduction (p < 0.05) from 90 days after the surgeries, maintaining the reduction during 180 days. In gingival index, both groups showed a significant reduction (p < 0.05) from 90 days after the surgeries, maintaining this reduction during 180 days. In comparison between groups in the same period, there was no statistical difference (p > 0.05).

Regarding the percentage of root coverage, the control group showed a percentage of coverage of 90.2% and the test group of 89.5%.

Table 2: Analysis of the periodontal parameters of the probing depth, attachment, and width of keratinized tissue in groups evaluated in 0, 90, and 180 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Periodontal parameters</th>
<th>Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probing depth (mm)</td>
<td>Day 0 90 days 180 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.59A 2.17 ± 0.89A 1.85 ± 0.77A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical attachment level (mm)</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.86A 2.50 ± 0.67B 2.38 ± 0.86B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height keratinized tissue (mm)</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.50A 2.11 ± 0.92B 2.80 ± 0.67C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters signify statistically significant difference between means within each treatment group at p < 0.05. The values represent mean ± standard deviation. *Statistically significant difference between the variation of the means (Δ), considering the two treatment groups at p < 0.05.

Table 3: Analysis of the periodontal parameters of the gingival level (recession) and dental sensibility in groups evaluated in 0, 90, and 180 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Periodontal parameters</th>
<th>Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque index (percentages)</td>
<td>Day 0 90 days 180 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.28 ± 17.54A 2.92 ± 5.82B 1.47 ± 3.27C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.81 ± 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival index (percentages)</td>
<td>4.41 ± 4.40A 0.98 ± 0.97B 0 ± 0C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.88 ± 4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival level (recession) (mm)</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.90A 0.35 ± 0.60B 0.29 ± 0.58B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental sensibility (scores)</td>
<td>2.11 (0.92)A 0.62 (1.01)B 0.70 (0.98)B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters signify statistically significant difference between means within each treatment group at p < 0.05. The values represent mean±standard deviation. *Statistically significant difference between the variation of the means (Δ), considering the two treatment groups at p < 0.05.
**DISCUSSION**

The procedures of mucogingival plastic surgery and gingival recession covering are challenges encountered daily in our clinical practice, and many local anatomical conditions can influence the treatment and prognosis of recessions. Among these conditions, the presence alveolar bone interproximal, gingival thickness, amount of keratinized tissue, the presence of cervical lesions, the size of adjacent papillae, and the location of the tooth, including the skills and experience of the surgeon, have already been emphasized by Cortellini et al and Tonetti and Jepsen. Other factors that can limit root coverage are related to the correct identification of anatomical CEJ, the presence of cervical abrasions associated with recession, rotations and extrusions, as well as loss of height, even with no interproximal bone loss.

Patients with gingival recession who present with complaints related to esthetics and root hypersensitivity are candidates for mucogingival root coverage therapies. Obtaining root coverage in areas with localized or generalized loss of periodontal tissue is one of the therapeutic goals of mucogingival surgery. Hence, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of two different techniques of subepithelial connective tissue graft, the modified envelope technique and the displaced coronary technique, both associated with a subepithelial connective tissue graft for coverage of gingival recession, in Miller classes I and II for 180 days.

Several studies have shown great results when using the method of Langer and Langer for root coverage; among them, there are the methods by Paolantonio et al (90%) and Tözüm et al (75.5%). The result of this study is close to these percentages of coverage, with 90.2% of root coverage reached in 180 days. The use of vertical relaxing incisions described in the article provides for obtaining a flap without tension, which can be mobilized in coronal position to the CEJ. In another study, Pini Prato et al stated that if the gingival margin is sutured to 2 mm or more, coronal to the CEJ, one can already get the full coverage of gingival recession, resulting in good rates reported in the literature, both for single and multiple recessions, as described by Langer and Langer and Santarelli et al. However, due to the realization of vertical incisions there is reduced local blood supply and the occurrence of unwanted scarring.

In the surgery with the modified envelope technique, there is 84% of root coverage in this study by this author, but in other studies, Tözüm and Dini showed 95% and Tözüm et al showed 96.4% of root coverage, but in this study, we obtained 89.5% of root coverage.

The authors cited earlier claim that the use of this technique preserves the interdental papillae, minimizing the possibility of scarring, providing a better blood supply, and may accelerate the initial healing, and therefore, the results of our study are similar to the results demonstrated in the literature.

Both techniques have shown to be highly predictable for the proposed procedure (Figs 5 and 6); there was a significant reduction for the clinical attachment level at 90 days for both groups, but the control group had an additional gain at 180 days (Table 2), probably one of the associated factors may be the lower tension and positioning of flap proposed in the test group. In other periodontal parameters examined, all showed a significant reduction of the initial period for 180 days, demonstrating the effectiveness of the two techniques (Tables 2 and 3).

According to literature, after 5 months of root coverage surgery by the techniques used in this study, some regeneration level in periodontal defects with new cement, bone formation, and periodontal ligament as well as large portions of the root covered by connective tissue.
and long junctional epithelium was found.\textsuperscript{32-34} Since probably periodontal ligament cells in the side portions of the defect act as a stimulator of granulation tissue, a new insertion could develop.\textsuperscript{15,26,35}

All patients participated in the maintenance care throughout the study and were monitored based on their brushing technique and were also included in maintenance programs until the end of this research. The improvement of periodontal parameters over 180 days was taken as results of maintenance. The results demonstrated that monitoring and maintenance of the proposed periodontal treatments may present significant improvements in these indexes, as shown in a long-term observation by Pini Prato et al.\textsuperscript{26}

**CONCLUSION**

Within the limitations of this study and based on the results, it was concluded that after 180 days both the techniques were effective for the health of periodontal tissues and root coverage of patients with gingival recession Miller classes I and II; however, a greater emphasis can be observed on the subepithelial connective tissue graft associated with coronally positioned flap technique because this technique demonstrated a greater significant gain in the clinical attachment level.
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