Evaluation of Antibacterial Effect and Dimensional Stability of Self-disinfecting Irreversible Hydrocolloid: An in vitro Study
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ABSTRACT

Aim: This study evaluated the antibacterial activity and dimensional stability of irreversible hydrocolloids mixed with different concentrations of chlorhexidine gluconate instead of water.

Materials and methods: Experimental specimens (45 specimens) were prepared and allocated into three groups of 15 each. Group I: Impression material mixed with distilled water served as control. Groups II and III were prepared with 0.12 and 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate solution, respectively. Specimens in each group were subjected to tests for dimensional stability. For antimicrobial activity, 30 specimens were prepared and allocated into three groups of 10 each named as group I (control), group II (0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate), and group III (0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate) similar to specimens for dimensional stability. Statistical analysis was performed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey test.

Results: Zones of inhibition were observed around test specimens, but not around control specimens; there was a significant intergroup difference in the diameters of the inhibition zones. In the test for dimensional stability, no significant differences were detected among groups, and the accuracy was clinically acceptable.

Conclusion: Irreversible hydrocolloid impression material mixed with chlorhexidine exhibits varying degrees of antibacterial activity without influencing the dimensional stability of set material.

Clinical significance: Many contagious diseases can be prevented by practical control of infection in the dental office. Chlorhexidine gluconate, as a mixing liquid, ensures disinfection of impression, and this method of disinfection is more convenient and avoids extra effort as in other disinfection techniques.
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INTRODUCTION

As a health professional, it is the responsibility of the dental surgeon to prevent disease and treat when disease sets in. The American Dental Association (ADA) has recommended the use of gloves, caps, masks, eyeglasses, and sterilization of dental materials for control of infection in dental offices and laboratories. Impressions along with trays are also an important source of cross-contamination, which should be considered in infection control.

In dental clinics, dental impressions are easily contaminated with saliva and blood from the oral cavity. These contaminated impressions, particularly hydrocolloid impressions, pose notable risks to dental practitioners, patients, and laboratory personnel. Due to hydrophilic nature, irreversible hydrocolloids retain the highest number of bacteria. Leung and Schonfeld reported that even stone casts are potential sources of cross-infection. Prosthetic work can expose professionals and technicians to contagious diseases, such as acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, hepatitis, and tuberculosis. Hence, additional infection control procedures, such as washing and disinfecting impressions should be followed during the manipulation and handling of dental impressions and dentures.

Many studies have evaluated the effect of various disinfectants and methods of disinfecting impression
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Materials, but the results of those studies varied widely. Disinfectant should ideally be an effective antimicrobial agent, yet cause no adverse effects on properties of impression material and the resultant gypsum cast.2

Many methods have been proposed for disinfection of irreversible hydrocolloid impression material. Among these, spray and immersion are the most common methods used in clinical practice. However, these methods present several disadvantages. Disinfection by immersion or spraying is quite effective in reducing cross-infection, but compliance by dental professionals has been uneven. The reasons for this include:

- Disinfection involves an extra effort or action.
- Loss of surface detail and dimensional accuracy of the impression by spraying or immersing impression material with disinfectants.
- Inhalation of disinfectants used for spray and immersion techniques may present health risks to the dental team.
- Toxic disinfectants cause corrosion of metallic trays or dislodgement of the impression from the tray.7

Due to these difficulties, self-disinfecting irreversible hydrocolloid impression materials evolved as those are impregnated with disinfectants. This technique reduced the overall quantity of bacteria on the impression material, without affecting dimensional stability than spray and immersion techniques, and saved disinfection time.7

However, disinfectant was impregnated into the powder of impression material for most of the self-disinfecting irreversible hydrocolloid impression materials evolved as those are impregnated with disinfectants. This technique reduced the overall quantity of bacteria on the impression material, without affecting dimensional stability than spray and immersion techniques, and saved disinfection time.7

The purpose of the study was to determine the following:

- Whether in vitro antibacterial activity against representative pathogenic microbes could be obtained after mixing chlorhexidine gluconate with irreversible hydrocolloid impression material
- The effects of chlorhexidine solution on the dimensional accuracy of irreversible hydrocolloid impression material
- The concentration of chlorhexidine recommended for producing the self-disinfecting impression material

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Impression Materials and Gypsum Products

Irreversible hydrocolloid impression material generally used in prosthodontic and orthodontic practice was selected for the study. The commercial alginate Tropicalgin (Zhermack) and a range of gypsum products commonly used in clinical practice were used in the study. Impression material and gypsum products were manipulated according to manufacturer’s instructions.

Disinfection Procedures

The disinfecting solution used was chlorhexidine gluconate solution in two concentrations, namely, 0.12 and 0.2%. Chlorhexidine was used in its pure form with no additives and preservatives (ICPA health products Ltd., Ahmedabad, India). Distilled water was used as a control. Chlorhexidine was used as mixing liquid for irreversible hydrocolloid.

Measurement of Dimensional Accuracy

A master die according to the ADA specification (specification no. 18)8 was used in the study as shown in Figure 1. A stainless steel block was machined into a round base and a platform. Two points, namely x and x', were identified on the master die, which were formed at the intersection of the 25 µm (width) vertical line and the two horizontal lines d1 and d2. Then, the distance between points x and x’ was measured using an electronic caliper to evaluate the dimensional stability. As shown in Figure 1, custom tray for this steel die was fabricated. A wax spacer was positioned over the entire master die, resembling an inverted loaf to provide uniform spacing (2 mm) and consistent seating against the die base for the acrylic resin trays. Custom trays were fabricated over the die. Uniformly spaced perforations were placed in the trays with a round bur to retain the irreversible hydrocolloid without an adhesive. Irreversible hydrocolloid impression material was mixed according to manufacturer’s instructions. Chlorhexidine gluconate solution was used as mixing liquid for test groups and autoclaved.
distilled water for control group. Impressions of the steel
die were made with the custom tray, and allowed to set
for 6 minutes at room temperature with uniform pres-
sure on the die. Impressions were poured immediately
in die stone, and stone casts were recovered. Die stone
was mixed according to manufacturer’s instructions and
mechanically spatulated with a stirring instrument. The
stone casts were allowed to set for 2 hours before separa-
tion and were dried at room temperature for at least 24
hours before being measured. Measurements were made
by single operator using an electronic digital caliper
between two designated points on the specimen. Mean
dimensions of the stone cast (control or after disinfection),
which were obtained by calculating the mean of three
measurements for the stone cast, were compared with a
mean of three readings of master die. Results were then
subjected to statistical analysis.

The dimensional stability was calculated using the
following formula:

\[(L - L') \times 100 / L\]

where \(L\) represents the dimensions of the master die
which were obtained by calculating the mean of three
measurements; \(L'\) represents the mean dimension of
the stone cast (control or after disinfection) which were
obtained by calculating the mean of three measurements
for the stone cast.

**Preparation of Test and Control Specimens**

Specimens made from irreversible hydrocolloid impres-
sion material were prepared in accordance with the
specific requirements in different tests. The specimens
were divided into three groups in each test: Group I
specimens prepared by mixing irreversible hydrocolloid
with autoclaved distilled water which served as control,
group II specimens were mixed with 0.12% chlorhexidine
solution, and group III specimens were mixed with 0.2%
chlorhexidine solution.

**Measurement of Antibacterial Effect**

The agar well technique was used to assess the antibac-
terial activity of the specimens. Irreversible hydrocol-
loid impression material was mixed according to the
powder/liquid ratio recommended by the manufacturer.
Then impression disks, 6 mm in diameter and 1 mm in
thickness, were prepared. These impression disks were
embedded in nutrient agar plates previously inoculated
with the appropriate microorganisms under sterile con-
ditions. On each agar plate, two impression disks were
embedded from each of the two test groups (group 0.12%,
group 0.2%). The control specimen (autoclaved distilled
water) was placed in each plate (Fig. 2). Finally, all plates
were incubated in the appropriate aerobic environment
for 24 hours at 37°C.

After incubation, the clear zones or inhibitory areas
appeared around the specimens (Fig. 3). Inhibitory zones
were measured with an antibiotic zone scale to evaluate
the antibacterial effect (Fig. 4). The following microor-
ganisms were used: American Type Culture Collection
(ATCC) Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213 and S. aureus
ATCC 33591.

**RESULTS**

The means and standard deviations of the dimensional
changes are presented in Table 1. Control specimens
showed greater dimensional accuracy in comparison
with test groups. However, there was no significant dif-
fERENCE in dimensional accuracy between impression
materials mixed with different concentrations of disinfec-
tant solution. However, the differences in dimensional
accuracy of three groups were statistically nonsignificant.
Table 1: Mean, SD, SE, and coefficient of variation of dimensional change scores in three study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>% of dimensional change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control distilled water</td>
<td>24.96 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12% chlorhexidine</td>
<td>24.64 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2% chlorhexidine</td>
<td>24.66 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE: Standard error, SD: Standard deviation

Graph 1: Comparison of three study groups with mean dimensional change scores

(p < 0.05) (Graph 1). Table 2 summarizes comparison of the study groups with mean dimensional change scores by one-way ANOVA with no significant differences (p < 0.05) among groups in relation to each other.

Well-defined zones of inhibition became apparent after incubation period, and consistent measuring of inhibitory fields was done. Mean diameters of inhibited zones for each microorganism are presented in Table 3. The results demonstrated zones of inhibition around the test specimens on all plates. No zones of inhibited growth were observed around the control wells on agar plates. One-way ANOVA and Tukey test revealed that the inhibition zones tested became significantly larger for each microorganism when the concentrations of chlorhexidine solution were raised from 0.12 to 0.2%.

DISCUSSION

Decontamination of impression materials is an essential stage in controlling cross-infection in dentistry. Use of disinfectant-supplemented irreversible hydrocolloid impression materials provides greater efficiency and effectiveness compared with the other disinfection techniques.

Oral microorganisms easily get incorporated in impression materials during setting. Immersing or spraying rinsed impressions provide only surface disinfection. However, the self-disinfecting impression is uniformly disinfected throughout the material and not just on the surface. Impression material takes up oral fluids and microbes while setting, which introduces microorganisms within the material. Therefore, disinfectant-supplemented alginates could eliminate most of the microbes that were incorporated into the set impression materials.
Chlorhexidine is a broad-spectrum disinfectant, i.e., an effective antiplaque and antigingivitis agent. Chlorhexidine has been studied in several mouthrinse formulations and is proved to be safe and effective. Most commonly used concentrations of chlorhexidine solutions as mouth rinse are 0.12 and 0.2%; these concentrations are proved to be effective against most of the microbes. To be indicated as a substitute for water, the solution used for the mix should be biocompatible and not affect either the physical or mechanical properties of the irreversible hydrocolloid. Chlorhexidine gluconate solution was selected for this research because it fulfills those requirements. Chlorhexidine was used in its pure form without any additives to eliminate effects of other ingredients on properties impression material. Thickness of samples to test for antibacterial activity was kept uniform, i.e., 2 mm to achieve consistent results.

Disinfection method used in this study is shown to be effective against S. aureus, which is in accordance with a study conducted by Casemiro et al; they evaluated in vitro antimicrobial activity of irreversible hydrocolloids prepared with a 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate solution against oral microbiota. They found that chlorhexidine was effective against S. aureus.

Staphylococcus aureus is found to be sensitive to chlorhexidine, which is in agreement with the study by Wang and Zahraa Alwahab. Positively charged chlorhexidine molecule interacts with negatively charged bacterial cell wall and permits agent to penetrate into cytoplasm leading to potassium leakage and death of microbe.

It was expected that exposure of impression materials to disinfectants would adversely affect dimensional accuracy. However, results of this study show that the dimensional changes were insignificant when chlorhexidine was used as mixing liquid. Specimens made with distilled water were more dimensionally accurate in comparison to specimens made with 0.12 and 0.2% chlorhexidine solution.

The mean percentage deviation of measurements recorded for gypsum product produced comparable results. There was no significant difference in the overall dimensional accuracy between casts made from impression materials mixed with different concentrations of chlorhexidine. This is in agreement with study conducted by Taylor et al.

The result of the current study is also in agreement with study conducted by Wang et al. The three-dimensional accuracy of the irreversible hydrocolloid was not influenced, even if chlorhexidine solution served as the mixing liquid.

Acceptable methods for measuring dimensional accuracy of casts include measuring microscopes, micrometers, dial gauges, and calipers. Digital caliper was used in the study with good reproducibility between repeat readings of each measurement.

Ramer et al conducted a study to evaluate the accuracy of alginate impressions made with water to which iodine or chlorhexidine was added. They found that accurate casts can be made when either of the disinfectant is incorporated into alginate impression material. This is in agreement with results of this study.

Amalan et al evaluated properties of irreversible hydrocolloid impression materials mixed with disinfectant liquids. Impression material was mixed with 0.1 and 0.2% chlorhexidine, which is similar to the method employed in this study. They concluded this method of disinfection ensures disinfection of impressions without significantly altering its properties.

Flanagan et al evaluated antimicrobial activities of dental impression material. They found that alginate with chlorhexidine killed all the Gram-negative bacilli and majority of Gram-positive cocci and yeast. Chlorhexidine is an effective antimicrobial agent and ensures disinfection of impression.

Rosen and Touyz studied changes in working time and accuracy of alginate impression material when mixed with disinfectant solutions rather than water. Results indicate that when chlorhexidine is used as a substitute for water, it does not modify working time beyond acceptable limits and has no significant effect on dimensional accuracy of the material.

There was a deviation between master model and test specimens. This level of deviation would have greater significance if impressions were to be used to construct fixed prosthesis. Irreversible hydrocolloid impression materials are usually used in prosthetic and orthodontics purposes where the level of accuracy is less critical. Hence, chlorhexidine can be safely used as mixing liquid for irreversible hydrocolloid impression material.

CONCLUSION

1. Chlorhexidine self-disinfecting irreversible hydrocolloid impression material exhibits varying degrees of in vitro antibacterial activity. This disinfection method was effective in the elimination of S. aureus.
2. Based on the findings of this study, chlorhexidine can be used as mixing liquid for irreversible hydrocolloid, without influencing the dimensional stability of set material.
3. Based on the findings of this study, 0.2% is the recommended concentration for chlorhexidine solution to produce the self-disinfecting impression material.
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