Effects of Different Final Irrigating Solutions on Depth of Sealer Penetration into Dentinal Tubules: An in vitro Confocal Laser Microscopic Study
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ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the maximum depth of sealer penetration into dentinal tubules at the coronal, middle, and apical third of root canals, following the use of different final irrigation solutions.

Materials and methods: Eighty freshly extracted mandibular premolars were selected for the study. After decoronation, instrumentation of samples was done using Protaper universal rotary file system till F4. During shaping and cleaning of root canal, 5.25% concentration of sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) was used for irrigation. The samples were then randomly divided into four groups (n = 20) depending on the final irrigating solution used. Group I: 17% aqueous ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (MA) (aq. EDTA); group II: 10% citric acid (CA); group III: 5% maleic acid; group IV: 5.25% conc. NaOCl. Passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI) was used to activate each final irrigating solution. The samples were obturated using warm lateral condensation technique with gutta-percha coated with AH plus sealer mixed with rhodamine B dye. Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) examined the sealer penetration depth.

Results: The Kruskal–Wallis analysis results showed that there was a significant difference in the maximum depth of sealer penetration among all groups in all sections (p < 0.05). The coronal sections of the root canals in each group showed a significantly higher depth of sealer penetration than did the apical and middle sections (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: A combination of 17% aq. EDTA and PUI used for final irrigation proved to be more effective for sealer penetration into the dentinal tubules.

Clinical significance: Final irrigation protocol followed is of paramount importance in achieving complete disinfection within the root canal, and also the penetration of sealer serves as an indicator of the extent to which the smear layer was removed.
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INTRODUCTION

The endodontic triad for successful root canal therapy comprises shaping and cleaning, disinfection followed by three-dimensional (3D) obturation of the root canal system. The aim of endodontic treatment is to eliminate microorganism, vital and necrotic tissue from the root canal system, and to prevent recontamination. The complex anatomy of the root canal system, such as lateral canals, ramifications, and deltas, makes it impossible to completely disinfect the root canal using instrumentation alone. Hence, irrigation is a crucial complement to instrumentation because it penetrates these canal irregularities and disinfects the root canal system efficiently. The removal of the smear layer, which contains attached microbiota and their toxins from canal walls, improves the seal of the obturating materials with the dentinal walls and reduces the potential for survival and reproduction of microorganisms. The depth of sealer penetration into the dentinal tubules might serve as an indicator of the extent to which the smear layer was removed. Chelating agents, such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), CA, MA, phosphoric acid, and combinations of EDTA and NaOCl have been used to remove the smear layer. A chelating agent helps in removing the smear layer by forming a coordinate bond with metallic ions of the organic compound. Therefore, they could be used as the final rinse in root canals after completion of instrumentation; 17% EDTA has been the most highly recommended chelating agent used for this purpose. Several weak acids, such as CA, MA, and apple cider vinegar, have been reviewed at different concentrations for the removal of smear layer. The effect of irrigation and smear layer removal depends on different techniques and irrigant delivery devices which improve the flow and distribution of irrigating solutions within the root canal system, such as manual agitation devices, sonic and ultrasonic devices, rotary brushes, and pressure alternative devices. The analysis of the dentin/sealer interface
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allows the determination of which materials and filling techniques could obturate the root canals with less gaps and voids. In comparison to conventional scanning electron microscope (SEM), CLSM has the advantage of providing detailed information about the presence and distribution of sealers or dental adhesives inside dentinal tubules in the total circumference of the root canal walls at relatively low magnifications through the use of fluorescent rhodamine-marked sealers. Hence, this study was undertaken to evaluate the maximum depth of sealer penetration following the use of different final irrigations solutions (17% aq. EDTA, 7% MA, and 10% CA) at the coronal, middle, and apical third of the root canal, activated by PUI, obturated using AH Plus sealer, and analyzed using a CLSM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human mandibular premolars freshly extracted (for orthodontic treatment) with a single straight canal and fully formed apices were selected, and confirmation of single canal was carried out by buccolingual and mesiodistal angulated radiographs. The teeth samples were decoronated with a diamond disk under water to a length of 16 mm. Hand instrumentation was done until #25k-file. The samples were instrumented by Pro-taper universal until full sequence F4 (40/0.06). During shaping and cleaning, EDTA gel was used as lubricant and 5.25% NaOCl was used for irrigation between each file change and recapitulation. After instrumentation the canals were dried using sterile absorbent paper points and the samples were prepared to receive final irrigation.

Distribution of Teeth for Final Irrigation

The teeth were randomly divided into the following four groups (n = 20), according to the final irrigants used: Group I: Final irrigation with 17% aq. EDTA. Group II: Final irrigation with 10% CA. Group III: Final irrigation with 5% MA. Group IV: Final irrigation with 5.25% NaOCl (control group). For all the groups the sequence includes 2 mL of 5.25% NaOCl for 1 minute followed by drying with sterile paper points; then using the different final irrigating solutions. Passive ultrasonic activation was performed using a stainless steel, noncutting, ultrasonic Irrisafe #20/25 mm file tip (Satelec, Acteon group, Mergignac, France) mounted on a Suprasson P5 Booster ultrasonic unit (Satelec) at a power setting of five. The file was inserted passively 1 mm short of the working length and was activated for a period of 1 minute for each final irrigant used with a total volume of 5 mL per irrigant. This is followed by a final rinse of 5 mL of 5.25% NaOCl for 1 minute.

Obturation Protocol for Prepared Samples after Final Irrigation Procedure

All teeth were obturated with AH Plus sealer and gutta-percha using lateral compaction technique. For fluorescence under confocal laser microscopy, AH Plus sealer was used by mixing with 0.1% fluorescent rhodamine B isothiocyanate. Sealer was applied with a lentulo spiral and the root canals were filled with accessory gutta-percha cones of size 30 with a 0.02 taper. Excess gutta-percha was removed using a heated plugger. The decoronated teeth samples were sealed using Cavit and stored in an incubator at 370°C and 100% humidity for 24 hours to allow sealer to set.

Confocal Analysis

Samples from each treatment group were then divided into apical sections (3 mm from the apex), middle sections (5 mm from the apex), and coronal sections (8 mm from the apex). The prepared specimens were mounted onto glass slides and examined with a Leica TCS-SPE confocal microscope. Each sample image was imported into Image J. Areas along the canal walls in which the sealer penetrated into dentinal tubules were outlined and measured using the measuring tool in the Image J software. For measuring the depth of penetration, the point of deepest penetration was measured from the canal wall to the point of maximum sealer penetration into the dentinal tubules (Fig. 1).

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistical data of CLSM scores of the study groups were recorded (Table 1). Statistical analysis was performed using the Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric test for comparisons between groups (Table 2). The Dunn’s test for multiple comparison (also a nonparametric analysis) was used for comparisons within groups (Table 3). The level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

• The results of this study showed that chelating agents like 17% aqueous EDTA showed significantly superior depth of sealer penetration compared with 10% CA (Graph 1).
• They showed significantly better depth of sealer penetration compared with 7% MA.
• All the mentioned chelating agents proved significantly superior to NaOCl when activated by PUI.
• Also the coronal sections showed more sealer penetration followed by middle and apical.
• The apical sections of EDTA and CA showed similar depth of sealer penetration (Graph 1).
DISCUSSION

The success of endodontic treatment depends largely on shaping and cleaning, disinfection, and 3D obturation. An age-old adage is that: “Files shape while irrigants clean.” The primary aim of endodontic treatment is to eliminate all debris and microorganisms from the root canal system to prevent recontamination. The complex anatomy of the root canal system makes it impossible to completely disinfect the root canal using instrumentation alone. Irrigation is a critical and an essential complement to shaping and cleaning, since it penetrates canal irregularities and is important for removal of bacteria, debris, and necrotic tissue present in the smear layer. The smear layer comprises organic and inorganic substances derived from ground dentin and predentin; pulpal remnants; odontoblast processes; and, in cases of infected root canals, bacteria. It hinders effective penetration of antimicrobial agents and root canal sealers into dentinal tubules and has the potential of compromising the seal between root filling material and the root canal wall. For effective removal of smear layer, judicious use of chelating agents along with effective agitation methods is of paramount importance. Chelation is a chemical procedure involving formation of a heterocyclic ring compound that contains at least one metal cation or hydrogen ion in the ring. Chelating agents withdraw calcium from the inorganic calcium phosphate crystal lattice; this results in demineralization of the superficial dentin layer and exposure of collagen fibrils of the organic matrix. Chelating agents, such as EDTA, CA, MA, phosphoric acid, and combinations of EDTA and

---

**Table 1: Descriptive statistical data of CLSM scores of the study groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coronal</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>Apical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>Mean 236.48</td>
<td>SD 29.58</td>
<td>Mean 776.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric Acid</td>
<td>1141.95</td>
<td>21.89</td>
<td>670.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maleic Acid</td>
<td>953.30</td>
<td>20.34</td>
<td>551.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOCl</td>
<td>652.94</td>
<td>25.50</td>
<td>360.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation

**Table 2: The Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric test for comparison between groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coronal</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>Apical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chi-square</td>
<td>73.354</td>
<td>73.782</td>
<td>64.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Df</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Df: Degrees of freedom

**Table 3: The Dunn’s test for multiple comparison (also a nonparametric analysis) was used for comparisons within groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EDTA</th>
<th>Citric Acid</th>
<th>Maleic Acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citric Acid</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maleic Acid</td>
<td>1.8e-07**</td>
<td>3.4e-15**</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOCl</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
<td>3.1e-07**</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value adjustment method: holm

The test returns the lower triangle of the matrix that contains the p-values of the pairwise comparisons.

Interpretations: The p-value less than that of 0.05 (*Significant, **Higher significance) indicates significance of difference in the respective pair.

**Graph 1:** Comparison of sealer penetration in respective groups
NaOCl, have been used to remove the smear layer. Many studies have reported the penetration of sealer into root canal dentin. To analyze the extent of sealer penetration, SEM, light microscopy, and CLSM have been used. In the present study, CLSM was used because this technique has several advantages over SEM. In comparison with SEM and histologic methods, confocal microscopy has the advantage of providing detailed information about the presence and distribution of sealers or dental adhesives inside dentinal tubules in the total circumference of the root canal walls at magnification as low as £50 to £100 through the use of fluorescent dye-marked sealers. The principal advantage of CLSM is that it allows the study of a volume of dentin in nondehydrated specimens at the subsurface level of specimens using histotomographic images. The results of the present study showed that in all experimental groups the maximum depth of the sealer penetration was better in the coronal thirds than in the apical thirds of root canals. These results are in agreement with those of other studies. This could possibly be because there are more dentinal tubules in the coronal area, and the diameters of the tubules in the coronal area are larger than those in the apical area. In the present study, depth of sealer penetration was highest when final irrigation was carried out with 17% aqueous EDTA. This was also reported by other studies. The greater depth of sealer penetration could be attributed to the fact that EDTA reacts with the calcium ions in dentin and forms soluble calcium chelates. Eldeniz et al reported that 19% of CA is more efficient in reducing dentin microhardness than 17% EDTA, which differs from our results. It is known that the efficiency of a chelating agent depends on several factors, including application time, pH, concentration, and the amount of solution. The depth of sealer penetration after the use of 10% CA was less than after the use of 17% EDTA, but more than 7% MA and 5.25% NaOCl solution, which is in accordance with other studies. Different techniques have been proposed to improve irrigant distribution within the root canal system. The PUI method is a noncutting irrigation protocol applied with ultrasonically activated files. Energy is transmitted from a file or smooth oscillating wire to the irrigation by ultrasonic waves, producing a stream and cavitation of the irrigating solution disrupting the vapor lock. Paragliola et al and Lui et al concluded that the use of ultrasonics with 17% EDTA improved smear layer removal. De deus et al explained that the sealer penetration depth in the dentinal tubules also depends on various factors like dentinal permeability (the number and the diameter of tubules), root canal dimension, and the physical and chemical properties of the sealer. The flow is one of the main chemical/physical factors to influence the adaptation to the tubular penetration. AH Plus, an epoxy resin amine sealer, was used to carry out the obturation process because the flow of AH Plus is significantly higher than other sealers tested. Balguerie et al evaluated that AH Plus scores the best for adaptation to root canal wall, extent of tubular penetration, and adaptation to the peritubular dentin. This might be explained by the capillary action of the dentinal tubules. Sealer may be drawn into the tubules by capillary action and not by hydraulic forces created during root canal filling. AH Plus sealers have no fluorescent properties; hence, it was required to add a fluorescent rhodamine dye to the sealers to allow visualization under the CLSM. The sealer labeled with 0.1% rhodamine did not show changes in flow according to American Dental Association specifications. It is important to note that the obturation technique influences the percentage of sealer penetration into the root canal walls. Cold lateral compaction technique was used in this study. It was reported that the area of sealer-coated root canal wall in the coronal area was significantly higher when lateral condensation was used than vertical condensation. Furthermore, there was significantly more sealer in the lateral canals obturated using lateral condensation as measured by the ratio of gutta-percha and sealer in the main and lateral root canals.

CONCLUSION

Under the experimental conditions and within the limitations of this study, the following can be concluded based on the depth of sealer penetration:

• 17% aq. EDTA and 10% CA can effectively remove smear layer from the coronal and middle third with no significant difference in the apical third
• 7% MA did not demonstrate significant depth of sealer penetration compared with the other chelating agents tested
• 5.25% NaOCl is not efficient in smear layer removal
• None of the irrigating solutions tested were able to remove smear layer completely in the apical third of the root canal
• Activation with PUI shows potential to replace the conventional needle syringe delivery of irrigating solutions. It is important to emphasize that the results of this in vitro study cannot be directly extrapolated to all clinical conditions where the volume and exposure time of the irrigating solutions in the root canal may result in a different outcome.
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