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ABSTRACT

Despite being one of the commonest fractures, classifications of ankle injuries are still debated, with modern surgeons decrying the use of the so-called antiquated system based on injury mechanisms. Despite glaring lacunae, especially with lack of reproducibility using modern methods, and an understanding that many fractures which we now see routinely may not fit into this classification, the Lauge-Hansen classification is still worth preserving. It clarifies some, if not most deforming forces, allows us to understand the ankle injury in a three-dimensional concept, both on the medial and lateral sides, and allows an understanding of reduction methods. The limitations in its use are the lack of prognostication ability, the fact that most reductions are now done open, and the recognition of some transitional and posterior malleolar injuries unclassifiable by it. Not being alphanumeric is another disadvantage in the computer age. Nevertheless, it is an important landmark in the history of orthopedics, and in the development of our understanding of ankle fractures. Even in 2017, it is too important a piece of work to be discarded, and modern orthopedic students are encouraged to gain an understanding of this classification system, and to combine it with the newer ones to get an overall picture of the ankle injury.
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INTRODUCTION

Fractures of the ankle joint are among the commonest fractures in adults, with an incidence of up to 174 cases per 100,000 persons per year accounting for 9% of all fractures, representing a significant portion of the trauma workload. Around 2% of ankle fractures are open fractures. Ankle fractures have a bimodal age distribution, with peaks in younger males and older females. Since the mid-1900s, this rate has increased significantly in many industrialized countries, most likely due to growth in the number of people involved in athletics and in the size of the elderly population.

The vast majority of ankle fractures are malleolar fractures: 60 to 70% occur as unimalleolar fractures, 15 to 20% as bimalleolar fractures, and 7 to 12% as trimalleolar fractures. There are similar fracture rates overall between women and men, but men have a higher rate as young adults, while women have higher rates in the 50- to 70-year age group.

Ankle fractures are produced by a combination of deforming forces including rotation, angulation, axial loading, and translation. These forces are applied to a body in motion, and the forces transmitted across the ankle joint along various vectors change with various velocities. Knowing the precise mechanism of ankle fractures is important because it helps surgeons assess the fracture pattern and soft tissues to determine the sequence of the injury and planning of treatment. Identifying a fracture and classifying the type of injury enable diagnosis of otherwise occult ligament injuries. One of the most popular classifications for ankle fractures is the Lauge-Hansen classification. This article presents a critical review of the Lauge-Hansen classification and its applicability in the current scenario.

ANKLE FRACTURE CLASSIFICATIONS

Sir Percivall Pott developed the first classification system for ankle fractures, which was based on the number of malleoli involved, thus dividing injuries into unimalleolar, bimalleolar, or trimalleolar fractures. This classification system just gave a basic anatomical description of the fracture with no relevance to its mechanism of injury or stability of fracture.

Two other common classification systems used for ankle fracture were Danis–Weber system and Lauge-Hansen. The location of the distal fibular fracture in relation to the syndesmosis of the ankle joint was based on the Danis–Weber system, with they being classified as type I–infra-syndesmotic, type II–trans-syndesmotic, or type III–supra-syndesmotic injury (Table 1).

In the 1950s, Niels Lauge-Hansen (Fig. 1), a Danish physician, carried out a series of cadaver studies trying...
to find an insight to the pattern of ankle injuries, which in turn gave rise to the mechanism-based classification system of ankle injuries. Freshly amputated specimens were used for the study after they were fixed to wooden boards. Four categories and 13 subgroups of fracture pattern were described by him depending on the position of the foot and the direction of the deforming force at the time of injury (Table 2). Lauge-Hansen had reported that ankle fractures followed a definitive characteristic and reproducible pattern of osseous and soft tissue injury covering more than 95% of all the ankle fractures. Hence, was born his mechanistic classification system which has so far been the most commonly used system for ankle fractures, providing further information about reduction maneuvers needed and stability of the fracture.

For staging and diagnosing the mechanism of injury according to the Lauge-Hansen classification, radiographic interpretation is required, which aids in further treatment of the ankle fracture. Many radiologists prefer to describe rather than classify ankle injuries based on the Lauge-Hansen classification system, a possible reason being that it is complex and cumbersome for even the experienced radiologist to use.11,12

The AO/OTA system uses a systematic approach with alphanumeric coding and classifies all long bone fractures based on location, fracture pattern, comminution of fracture fragments, extent of fracture, and topography. According to the AO/OTA classification, ankle fractures are classified into three groups: Infra-syndesmotic (correlating to Danis–Weber type I injuries), trans-syndesmotic (correlating to Danis–Weber type II injuries), or supra-syndesmotic (correlating to Danis–Weber type III injuries), with further subgrouping of the fracture pattern based on presence or absence of posterior or medial malleolar injuries.13 It is of importance to note that the medial and posterior structures of the ankle complex are completely ignored in the Danis–Weber classification which is primarily based on the level of syndesmotic injury.

### SHORTCOMINGS OF THE LAUGE-HANSEN CLASSIFICATION

This half a century old mechanistic classification system has been under debate and a topic of controversy from the orthopedic community for the last couple of years. Issues raised over the last few years were inability of recent modern studies to reproduce the mechanism and sequence of injuries described originally with very low reproducibility rates quoted by few authors14-22 and inability to accommodate some fracture patterns in his classification, bringing this classification system under constant scrutiny among the orthopedic world. Although the Lauge-Hansen system describes many fracture patterns, some fractures are more complicated and do not fit into a definitive pattern.14 Another pitfall of this system is that
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...it was originally described in cadavers, with simulations of the traumatic mechanisms, a method, i.e., not always as precise as studying the mechanisms in live patients.10

Yde15 in his study emphasized that the classification of Lauge-Hansen10 gives a very exact description of ankle fractures. However, studies carried out by Lindsjö16 and Nielsen et al17 showed a high interobserver variation.

Haraguchi and Armiger18 challenged the importance of foot positioning in ankle fractures. Twenty-three cadaver ankles were subjected to a pronation–external rotation force, with and without an additional lateral force. Their results demonstrated that both short oblique fractures (consistent with the supination and external rotation (SER) pattern) and high fibular fractures could be produced and that this depended on whether the foot was subjected to an additional lateral force or not at the time of injury.

Michelson et al19 utilized 30 cadaver specimens in an attempt to duplicate Lauge-Hansen’s findings for the SER mechanism. The feet were supinated to 25° and an external rotation force was applied with a neutral ankle position, with 25° of plantar flexion, and with 10° to 15° of dorsiflexion. Pure SER with the ankle in any of these positions did not result in the SER fracture pattern. However, when combined with an applied lateral force and valgus repositioning, four of five experiments produced an oblique fibular fracture, and five of five produced the characteristic stage II injury with additional dorsiflexion in this position.

This arguable debate on the importance of foot positioning was further questioned when Stiehl et al20 in their study found little correspondence between foot position and injury patterns. They had subjected 26 ankle specimens to an external rotation force, and noted minimal correlation between foot position and type of injury that occurs. Interestingly, in their study, they found a marked difference in injury patterns between specimens from female and male cadavers. Female specimens tended to sustain a transverse fibular fracture without syndesmotic or deltoid injury in almost 45% of cases. They were also able to produce 17 oblique distal fibular fractures, and only 13 of these cases had evidence of an associated injury of the anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-based studies were carried out by Gardener et al21 to find out the association and reproducibility between mechanism of injury and associated injuries as described in the Lauge-Hansen classification. A total of 49 ankle fractures were evaluated in this study with both radiographic and MRI studies. Experienced orthopedic traumatologists and musculoskeletal radiologists were involved in the study to designate the fractures into the appropriate classification, and for diagnosing the associated ligamentous injuries; 17% of all the fractures in this study were not classifiable by the Lauge-Hansen classification. Of those patterns that were classifiable, 53% had a ligamentous injury or fracture that did not coincide with the Lauge-Hansen injury patterns.

In a study carried out by Kwon et al,22 the Lauge-Hansen system was found to be accurate in only 58% of injuries caused by the supination–adduction and pronation–external rotation injury mechanisms. In a follow-up study carried out by the same authors,23 they found a 65% accuracy, predicting fracture patterns based on the deforming injury mechanism. It is noteworthy that these studies utilized injury videos obtained from the web site www.YouTube.com for carrying out the study, but the authors in this study only used SER mechanism, and other mechanisms were not examined.

In the 21st century, many things have changed. Some points are noteworthy of consideration when the validity of these classification systems is evaluated, especially after a span of so many years since its introduction, and a shift of treatment modalities from closed reduction to an almost mandatory open reduction and stable fixation.

In Lauge-Hansen’s description of his original technique, all application of forces and manipulations was done by hand with an uncontrolled magnitude of force to a fixed foot.10 In this modern era of orthopedic advancement, studies have shown that the testing parameters enforced 50 years ago were not only not of standard precision, but they also fail to reproduce accurately the in vivo forces which are actually experienced by a patient while sustaining an ankle fracture.

Additionally, recent studies have shown that a small percentage of ankle fractures, such as isolated fractures of the posterior tibial margin, do not fit into the Lauge-Hansen classification.15,21

On the contrary, the Danis–Weber system is based on the radiographic appearance of fracture pattern and the level of the fibular injury; this does not consider the mechanism or the position of the foot at the time of injury (which was based on the Lauge-Hansen system), and which has been debated to be imprecise in this modern time. The newer system classifies the instability perceived in the ankle, and allows the surgeon to plan for stabilization options. However, it is important to note that the medial side of the ankle, which forms one of the pillars of ankle stability and function, has been completely ignored here. It is thus clear that both the ankle classification systems used in modern orthopedics fail to incorporate the distal tibial plafond fractures, which form a part of the ankle joint, and are being seen more frequently. These so-called transitional fractures with inherent instability and more articular damage need to also be looked at. Additionally, both the systems were not designed to incorporate management of open fractures of the ankle joint, which is a segment of the ankle fracture population which is growing significantly.
CONCLUSION

The Lauge-Hansen classification is pioneering work on ankle fractures carried out on cadaveric specimens, where the pathobiomechanics were replicated using manual force by hand; this always had the element of imprecision, and considered the position of the foot and direction of the deforming force as paramount to deducing the mechanics of fracture pattern. This was important 50 years ago, when reductions of the ankle needed to be done by closed methods, and reversing the deforming forces was the key to reduction accuracy.

Methodological difficulties in carrying out this original work and failure of recent studies to simulate the same sequence of fracture pattern, by both biomechanical and radiographic means, challenge the validity of this classification among the orthopaedic community; its present-day usage becomes limited as most reductions of displaced fractures are done by open means. Additional factors that further question its existence include poor interobserver reliability, lack of prognostic prediction, and realization that atypical fracture patterns are being seen, which are not covered under this classification system.

Despite the drawbacks enumerated by numerous studies, this classification system remains the most commonly used and taught classification of ankle fractures; it is the only classification system which attempts to define the pathobiomechanics of ankle fractures, and thus retains its importance. In the absence of another comprehensive mechanism-based fracture classification, we believe that it still remains relevant. One of the biggest drawbacks is the fact that it cannot be computer coded, a mandatory requirement of modern medicine. Nevertheless, more studies are needed to refine and improve on the shortcomings of this classification. One way to do this could be using finite element analysis, which can simulate a wide variety of injury mechanisms, where the pathobiomechanics were replicated using manual force by hand; this always had the element of imprecision, and considered the position of the foot and direction of the deforming force as paramount to deducing the mechanics of fracture pattern. This was important 50 years ago, when reductions of the ankle needed to be done by closed methods, and reversing the deforming forces was the key to reduction accuracy.
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