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ABSTRACT

Aim: To clarify the diagnostic accuracy of the lateral X-ray of nasopharynx, and the flexible nasopharyngoscopy in the assessment of adenoid hypertrophy, with the preoperative rigid nasal endoscopic observation, as it was considered as a reference standard guide.

Materials and methods: This is a prospective observational study that included 80 children who planned to undergo adenoidectomy due to the symptoms found related to adenoid hypertrophy. All the children underwent a relevant clinical history and full ear, nose, and throat (ENT) examination, and the grading of adenoid hypertrophy was done preoperatively with the lateral X-ray of the nasopharynx and the flexible nasopharyngoscopy. These findings were analyzed and compared with the peroperative rigid nasal endoscopic assessment of adenoid hypertrophy, which was considered as a reference guide.

Results: There were 44 boys (55%) and 36 girls (45%), with mean age of 5.176 (±1.873) years, and the highest frequency of adenoid hypertrophy was found in the age group of 4 to 6 years (62.45%); the most common grade of the adenoid size in all the types of the assessment was grade 3. The assessment of adenoid grading by both flexible and peroperative rigid nasal endoscopic assessment versus radiology was statistically significant, with p value of 0.0001, while the adenoid grading between flexible and peroperative rigid nasal endoscopic assessment was almost comparable, as no significant difference was found, with p value of 0.46.

Conclusion: Flexible nasopharyngoscopy was a more reliable diagnostic tool in the assessment of the adenoid size than lateral nasopharyngeal X-ray, as it correlates well with peroperative rigid nasal endoscopic finding.
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INTRODUCTION

The adenoid is a mass of lymphoid tissue embedded in the mucosal membrane of the nasopharynx. In general, it attains its maximum size between the age of 3 and 7 years and then regresses. The adenoid plays an important role in the immunological services of the body in growing children; however, because of various etiologies, such as upper respiratory tract infection, allergic episodes, and others, it becomes hypertrophied, and this enlargement in its size may lead to certain consequences, such as nasal obstruction, snoring and mouth breathing, sleep disturbance, Eustachian tube obstruction, otitis media, failure to thrive, and maxillofacial growth anomalies, in young children. Adenoid hypertrophy is a common disease in children, and its measurement had been reported by various methods, including clinical examination, imaging techniques, and endoscopy. Although these different objective diagnostic methods had been used for adenoid hypertrophy, the role of each one is still controversial, and currently there are no comprehensive and accepted guidelines by authors for adenoid size assessment.

As the clinical examination of children is notoriously unreliable especially in young children, a lateral radiograph of the nasopharynx can be very helpful in the assessment of the adenoid size and more important is its relation with the size of nasopharynx; however, its role in the evaluation of the adenoid hypertrophy has been less popular at the turn of the last century, with the egress of flexible fiberoptic nasopharyngoscopy, which has been regarded as a standard diagnostic modality that can give a valuable assistance for careful selection of candidates for adenoidectomy in order to avoid unnecessary operations.

The aim of this study was to clarify the diagnostic accuracy of the lateral X-ray of nasopharynx and the flexible nasopharyngoscopy in the assessment of adenoid hypertrophy with preoperative rigid nasal endoscopic observation, as it was considered to be a reference standard.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a prospective observational study conducted from May 2015 to May 2016; 80 children who planned to undergo adenoidectomy due to symptoms found related...
to the adenoid hypertrophy were enrolled in this study; the exclusion criteria included a child who was uncooperative for flexible nasopharyngoscopic examination; those with nasal pathologies other than adenoid, acute upper respiratory tract infection at the time of examination, and congenital craniofacial anomalies.

All children who participated underwent a full ear, nose, and throat (ENT) examination with particular attention to the nose, as the postrhinoscopic evaluation of size of adenoid was done by both preoperative skull lateral X-ray and flexible fiberoptic nasopharyngoscopy, as the assessment of the postnasal space by postnasal mirror was almost impractical to be performed especially in young children, and then these observations were blindly assessed by peroperative rigid nasal endoscopy of the nasopharynx under general anesthesia, as its finding was considered to be a standard reference guide for the other methods of assessment, as it measured the actual size of the adenoid tissue. Radiographs were obtained from the children in the erect position and their neck slightly extended using a Siemens Multix machine. The X-ray field was collimated to the nasopharynx, with 40 inches focus film distance, with mean exposure factors of 60 kV and 3.2 mAs, and assessed by the institute radiologist who was blinded from the endoscopic evaluation. The assessment was performed according to Fujiokas method, which assessed the adenoid size and the adenoid/nasopharyngeal ratio (A/N ratio) as follows: Grade 1 was tagged as (small size) adenoid size 0.3 to 0.5, grade 2 was tagged as (medium size) adenoid size 0.5 to 0.7, and grade 3 was tagged as (large size) adenoid size 0.7 to 1.0.

The endoscopic examination was performed first by preoperative fiberoptic nasopharyngoscopy using 2.7 mm type Optim 3.6 mm, field of view 70° (model 00413) device by an ENT surgeon who was blinded from radiological assessment, and the grading was classified according to Clemens et al classification of adenoid size as follows: Grade 1 was classified as adenoid tissue filling one-third of the vertical portion of choana, grade 2 was classified as adenoid tissue filling from one-third to two-thirds of the choana, grade 3 was classified as adenoid tissue filling from two-thirds to nearly complete obstruction, and grade 4 was classified as complete choanal obstruction. The second endoscopic examination was performed preoperatively under general anesthesia as its finding was considered as a reference guide using rigid nasoscope 0° 4 mm (Stryker 5900), and its images were captured, which measure the actual size of the adenoid and its relation to the choana and graded according to Clemens et al classification, in the same manner as previously done with flexible endoscopy.

The study was approved by the ethical and the scientific committee of the institution, and informed consent from parents of the participating children was taken, as well as the patients’ hospital registered numbers were recorded.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (version 22) using Pearson chi-squared test for comparison between the three methods was used, considering a p-value < 0.05 as a statistically significant value.

**RESULTS**

Among 80 children aged 4 to 12 years, there were 44 boys (55%) and 36 girls (45%), with mean age of 5.176 (±1.873), and the most common age group affected was 4 to 6 years (62.45%).

The most common grade of the adenoid size detected by radiology and flexible and rigid endoscopy was grade 3, in the frequencies of 40, 40, and 42.5% respectively.

The results of the adenoid size assessment by lateral X-ray of the nasopharynx in relation to both flexible nasopharyngoscopy and peroperative rigid nasal endoscopy revealed that out of 20 children who were graded 1 by X-ray, 18 and 16 of them were upgraded to grade 2, and the remaining 2 and 4 children were upgraded to grade 3, by flexible and peroperative rigid nasal endoscopy respectively; while out of 28 children who were graded as grade 2 by X-ray, 24 and 20 children of them were upgraded to grade 3 by flexible and peroperative rigid nasal endoscopy respectively, and in regard to 32 children with grade 3 as assessed by X-ray, only 6 and 4 children were upgraded to grade 4 by flexible and peroperative rigid nasal endoscopy respectively, as it was statically significant with p value of 0.0001, as shown in Tables 1 and 2.

The grading of adenoid size assessed by both types of endoscopy showed that out of 26 children with grade 2 assessed by flexible nasopharyngoscopy, only 2 children were upgraded to grade 3 when they were assessed by peroperative rigid nasal endoscopy, while all other gradings were comparable in both types of endoscopic observation, with nonstatistically significant p value of 0.46, as shown in Table 3.

**DISCUSSION**

Since the adenoid tissue is located in the posterosuperior wall of the nasopharyngeal airway, its enlargement is a common cause of upper airway obstruction in children, and it has a significant impact on their quality of life, so the assessment of its size and its grading of airway obstruction were of great importance in order to provide an indication for its surgical removal and also on postoperative surgical outcome. Among the diagnostic modalities currently available, the clinical features, radiology, and
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The current study found that assessment of the adenoid size by preoperative flexible nasopharyngoscopy was better than that with preoperative lateral skull X-ray of the nasopharynx, as the p-value was 0.0001 (highly statistically significant difference between the two methods of assessment), and these findings were confirmed by almost the same observation in the grading in the size of the adenoid tissue when it was assessed with both preoperative flexible nasopharyngoscopy and peroperative rigid nasal endoscope, as the p-value was 0.46 (no statistically significant difference between the findings with both methods of assessment). It is worth mentioning that, the peroperative observation was considered as a reference standard guide compared with the other methods, because the peroperative endoscopy will capture the actual size of the adenoid tissue.

There were many factors that influenced X-ray to underdiagnose adenoid hypertrophy when it was compared with nasal endoscopy, such as the lack of standardization of X-ray, the two-dimensional (2D) view by X-ray rather than the three-dimensional (3D) view by endoscope. Also, the positional changes and respiration movement, together with the lateral rather than the anterior direction of the growth of the adenoid enlargement, could be missed by radiology of the nasopharynx.

These findings in the current study were confirmed by the observation of other studies, as those done by Yassen et al14 concluded that evaluation by endoscopy was highly accurate than by X-ray. Lourenco et al11 found in the mouth breather that children who showed small adenoid by X-ray mostly had medium size adenoid when detected by nasoscope, and those with medium and large size adenoid by X-ray were mostly considered both large by nasoscope. Souza and Hennemann15 studied X-ray of postnasal space that showed no sign of airway obstruction, but 27% of them had severe hypertrophy of the adenoid as were detected by fiberoptic nasoscopy. These results were also supported by other studies.16,17

A study performed by Gill et al18 concluded that although the nasal endoscope is an emerging gold standard method for diagnosis of adenoid hypertrophy, as some cases were underdiagnosed by lateral X-ray as compared with nasal endoscopy, the lateral X-ray of the nasopharynx still serves as a reliable diagnostic tool, and both modalities are considered complementary to each other and serve in the best interest of the patient.

Another important fact to be considered in the favor of nasal endoscopy is that it had an important role in differentiation of adenoid tissue from other postnasal masses, which may show the same appearance on plain X-ray as tumor, granuloma, and aneurysm.14

However, nasoscopy requires the cooperation of the child and may be difficult to perform in young children.

---

**Table 1:** The correlation of the adenoid size assessed by radiology and flexible endoscopy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adenoid size by X-ray</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>18 (22.5%)</td>
<td>2 (2.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>4 (5%)</td>
<td>24 (30%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28 (35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>4 (5%)</td>
<td>22 (27.5%)</td>
<td>6 (7.5%)</td>
<td>32 (40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>28 (35%)</td>
<td>48 (60%)</td>
<td>6 (7.5%)</td>
<td>80 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: p = 0.0001 (highly significant using Pearson chi-squared test at 0.05 level of significance)*

**Table 2:** The correlation of the adenoid size assessed by radiology and preoperative endoscopy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adenoid size by X-ray</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>16 (20%)</td>
<td>4 (5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>20 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>8 (10%)</td>
<td>20 (25%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>28 (35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>28 (35%)</td>
<td>4 (5%)</td>
<td>32 (40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24 (30%)</td>
<td>52 (65%)</td>
<td>4 (5%)</td>
<td>80 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: p = 0.0001 (highly significant using Pearson chi-squared test at 0.05 level of significance)*

**Table 3:** The measurement of the adenoid size assessed by both flexible and peroperative nasal endoscopy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading</th>
<th>Flexible nasopharyngoscopy</th>
<th>Peroperative nasal endoscopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>26 (32.5%)</td>
<td>24 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>32 (40%)</td>
<td>34 (42.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>22 (27.5%)</td>
<td>22 (27.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80 (100%)</td>
<td>80 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: p = 0.46 (not significant using Pearson chi-squared test at 0.05 level of significance)*
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also it is an invasive procedure, and sometimes based on subjective analysis, especially if not incorporated with camera, so it may reveal some discrepancies, as well as the advantages of X-ray of nasopharynx; it is a noninvasive procedure, can be tolerated by small children who cannot tolerate nasal endoscopy, as well as it could give some assessment of the size of the adenoid tissue in relation to the size of the nasopharynx. For these reasons, it may still be used by some clinicians in various centers.

CONCLUSION

Flexible nasopharyngoscopy is a more accurate diagnostic modality than nasopharyngeal radiology in the assessment of the adenoid grading, as it was confirmed by peroperative rigid nasal endoscopic observation, which was considered as the reference standard guide.

RECOMMENDATION

Since the flexible nasopharyngoscopy was regarded as a reliable diagnostic tool for the assessment of adenoid hypertrophy, for more secure indications for adenoidectomy, and to avoid unnecessary operations, any child with symptoms of upper air obstruction suspected to be related to adenoid hypertrophy, even when there were no evidence of adenoid enlargement revealed by lateral X-ray of the nasopharynx, for an accurate assessment of the adenoid size, should be submitted to flexible nasopharyngoscopy.

REFERENCES