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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Trauma remains to be a leading cause of maxillofacial injury globally. Motorized two wheelers (MTWs) are the main cause of (73%) maxillofacial injuries in the road traffic accidents; in several studies, the right side of maxillofacial injuries was reported as the common side of injury than left side. In our previous study, the percentage of the right side injuries was higher than the left side.

Aim: The aim of this study is to evaluate the influencing factors of the maxillofacial injury by MTWs and to find the association between the side maxillofacial injuries and the handedness of drivers.

Materials and methods: Clusters sampling method was used; 20 clusters were selected in Chennai. Motorized two-wheeler drivers were selected. Data collected by prepared questionnaires were statistically analyzed. Data included the demographic details, type of vehicle, engine capacity, average driving speed, years of driving, regular usage of the helmet, type, mode and frequency of accident, management, influence of alcohol, and use of the helmet at the time of injury. The side of the facial injury and handedness of drivers were recorded.

Results: From the total of 721 participants, 75.7% were males, 24.3% were females; 46.74% were in the age of 18 to 25; mean age is 29.45. Bikes were 55.9%, scooter 38.2%, moped 8.3%; 59.9% are geared; 50.5% were 80 to 100 cc vehicles types and 37% were 110 to 150 cc types; 62% of male’s average speed is 80 km/hour; 53% of female’s average speed is less than 40 km/hour. Only 18.06% had used at the time of injury. 39.4% of females had accidents, males had 59.3% (p<0.0001). Two-wheeler vs two-wheeler accidents were 24.8%; two-wheeler vs four wheeler were 19%; by pedestrians crossing 18.7%; by animal crossing was 16.5%; skid two wheeler 20%. A total of 30.02% were under the influence of alcohol. Right-side injuries were 44.5%; left side 25.9% (p<0.0001), while 96.9% were right-handers.

Conclusion: Right-side injuries were common in MTW skid and fall. Speed, type of vehicle, handedness, influence of alcohol, use of helmet, mode of injury, all are the determining factors for pattern and severity of injury. Helmet usage would definitely minimize the head injury to some extent.
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INTRODUCTION

Trauma remains a leading cause of maxillofacial injury globally. Changing etiology and patterns of maxillofacial injury also have been reported and are certainly influenced by sociogeographic and environmental factors. It is essential to have an in-depth understanding of the etiology and pattern in that particular sociogeographic region before developing any effective preventive measures. Despite improvements in automotive safety, traffic rules, and laws, the Motor Vehicle Collision-related facial injuries continue to be high in developing countries. Nearly 73% of two wheelers have registered as a proportion of all vehicle registered in Indian cities.1 In Chennai, it is the indispensable mode of transport for the young adults because of its convenient and their passion in driving two wheelers. In the road traffic-related accidents, 70% are by motorized two wheeler (MTW) accidents.2 The morbidity and mortality of maxillofacial injuries persist high and the disability related to nonfatal injuries also was wide ranging, in spite of the advances in access to trauma care systems and in the management.3,4 Some authors have reported that right-side injuries were common than the left side.5-7 A retrospective study was conducted in our center about the pattern and etiology of the maxillofacial injuries. The percentage of the right-side injuries was higher than the left side, and was statistically significant. This cross-sectional study was conducted as a continuation of the previous study and to confirm the above findings.

The aim was to evaluate the factors influencing the maxillofacial injury in road traffic-related accidents by MTWs, like vehicle type, engine type, average driving speed, usage, and usefulness of helmet while driving, and
In each cluster, 35 to 40 participants were surveyed and 750 two-wheeler riders were included. All columns of this survey were taken for data analysis. Data collected were statistically analyzed by using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 16.0 (Table 1).

### Table 1: Mode of injuries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Engine type</th>
<th>Engine capacity – cc</th>
<th>Average speed</th>
<th>Regular use of helmet</th>
<th>Mode of injury (total # of injuries=556)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>721</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>546</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>721</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>241 96 337</td>
<td>142 15 197</td>
<td>216 134</td>
<td>161 127 38 5 4 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>99 28 127</td>
<td>41 8 78</td>
<td>92 36</td>
<td>51 54 20 3 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>71 23 94</td>
<td>32 6 57</td>
<td>57 38</td>
<td>42 45 4 7 1 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>36-40</td>
<td>46 19 65</td>
<td>27 7 31</td>
<td>31 34</td>
<td>44 20 1 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>35 5 40</td>
<td>8 12 20</td>
<td>23 17</td>
<td>22 13 4 1 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>23 4 27</td>
<td>9 8 10</td>
<td>13 15</td>
<td>19 8 1 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>17 0 17</td>
<td>11 2 5</td>
<td>5 12</td>
<td>14 3 1 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>56 and &lt;</td>
<td>14 0 14</td>
<td>7 2 5</td>
<td>5 9</td>
<td>12 2 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>546 175 721</td>
<td>276 60 403 442 294</td>
<td>371 272 72 10 6 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| %     | 721         | 75.7 24.3 100        | 38.2 8.3 55.9| 59.9 39.9 50.5 37 9.9 1.4 0.81 0.4 | 25.3 46.4 23.5 3.6 0.9               |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Age distribution</th>
<th>Engine type</th>
<th>Engine capacity (cc)</th>
<th>Average speed</th>
<th>Regular use of helmet</th>
<th>Mode of injury (total # of injuries=556)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>241 96 337</td>
<td>142 15 197</td>
<td>216 134</td>
<td>161 127 38 5 4 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>99 28 127</td>
<td>41 8 78</td>
<td>92 36</td>
<td>51 54 20 3 1 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>71 23 94</td>
<td>32 6 57</td>
<td>57 38</td>
<td>42 45 4 7 1 1 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>36-40</td>
<td>46 19 65</td>
<td>27 7 31</td>
<td>31 34</td>
<td>44 20 1 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>35 5 40</td>
<td>8 12 20</td>
<td>23 17</td>
<td>22 13 4 1 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>23 4 27</td>
<td>9 8 10</td>
<td>13 15</td>
<td>19 8 1 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>17 0 17</td>
<td>11 2 5</td>
<td>5 12</td>
<td>14 3 1 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>56 and &lt;</td>
<td>14 0 14</td>
<td>7 2 5</td>
<td>5 9</td>
<td>12 2 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| %     | 721         | 75.7 24.3 | 38.2 8.3 55.9 | 59.9 39.9 50.5 37 9.9 1.4 0.81 0.4 | 25.3 46.4 23.5 3.6 0.9 |

1: Two wheeler vs two wheeler; II: Two wheeler vs four wheeler; III: Two wheeler vs pedestrians crossing; IV: Two wheeler vs animal crossing; V: Two wheeler skid
reported that 74% are using geared vehicle, while 19.4% are without gear and 1.6% are using both geared and NG vehicles. Females are using 18.8% geared and 91.4% NG vehicles, 4% are using both types.

**Engine Capacity**

*Three Cylinder capacity (cc):* Categories: I [80–110 cc] accounts for 50.5%; II [110–150 cc] accounts for 37%; III [180–220 cc] was nearly 9.9%; IV [230–350 cc] was 1.4%; V [350–500 cc] was around 0.8% (n = 6); VI more than [500 cc] was 0.4% only.

**Average Speed of Driving**

This was categorized into five groups: *Group I* [less than 40 km/hour] accounts for 25.3%; *group II* [40–60 km/hour] was about 46.4%; *group III* [60–80 km/hour] accounts for 23.5%; *group IV* [80–100 km/hour] was 3.6%; *group V* [100 km/hour and above] accounts for 0.9% only. 62% of males in the age of 18 to 26 had reported that their average speed would be above 80 km/hour; 39% had reported above 100 km/hour speed. Female’s (33%) average driving speed is less than 40 km/hour; 38% had reported between 40 and 60 km/hour. Mean years of driving was 6.6 years (standard deviation = 5.57), with the minimum and maximum as 1 and 30 years.

**Regular Usage of Helmet**

Of participants, 64% reported that they were using regularly; 35.1% were not using regularly. At the time of injury, only 18.06% had used the helmet and above 81.9% were not wearing the helmet.

**Injury History and Mode of Injury**

Table 2 shows nearly 54.5% participants had mild to severe injury and minimum of one time to maximum of four
times. Out of 393 injured persons, 39.9% had been admitted in hospital and 35.2% of them underwent minor to major surgery for facial injuries, including treatment for facial bone fractures. Only 39.4% of females had accidents when compared with 59.3% of males who had accidents. The difference is statistically significant (p < 0.0001).

**Mode of Injury**

Graph 2 was established based on the previously reported histories:

- **Category I**: Two wheeler vs two wheeler accounts for nearly 24.8%.
- **Category II**: Two wheeler vs four wheeler like car/lorry/truck/van etc. accounts nearly 19%.
- **Category III**: Two wheeler met the accident because of sudden pedestrians crossing which was about 18.7%.
- **Category IV**: Injury due to two wheeler vs animal crossing was 16.5%.
- **Category V**: Injury due to skid/fall from two wheeler by sudden loss of control over vehicle due to other reasons/lost control because of influence of alcohol was reported as 20.9%.

**Influence of Alcohol**

Nearly 30.02% were reported that they had under the influence of alcohol at the time of the accident.

**Side of Injury on the Face**

Graph 3 shows 44.52% had an injury on the right side of the face; 25.95% had left-side injury; 22.13% had the bilateral injury. Again right-side injuries are more (44.5%) as compared with the left side (25.9%). The difference is statistically significant (p < 0.0001). Injury on the right side of the body was about 9.92%, and left side body was 2.03%.

**Handedness of the Driver**

Out of 721 participants, 96.9% were right-handers and 3.05% were left-handers.

**DISCUSSION**

These findings are obvious important implications for the clinical evaluation and the future design of injury prevention strategies for the MTW drivers. In our study population, the male/female participants proportion was 3.1:1; in Chakranarayan et al,9 Salonen et al10 have reported that male vehicle drivers are far outnumbered than females, explained by the fact that men are more involved in outdoor activities and are also exposed to maxillofacial injuries; as compared with females; the incidence of maxillofacial fractures was high in male than in female in the ratio of 4.2:1.

In the age group from 18 to 50 years, bike users are more than scooter and mopeds. Once the age increases, the scooter/moped usage are equal to or slightly more
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than bike users. This shows that the young adults, especially males, prefer bikes – particularly geared vehicle between 100 and 220 cc, because of the speed, convenience, and their passion in using these types of vehicles. In this study, only 2% of them are using above 300 cc; this could be explained by the fact that higher cc vehicles are expensive, maybe not preferred or afford to buy. In our study, most of the females are using vehicles without gear and less than 80 cc engine capacity vehicles because of the light-weight models and their convenient.

The average speed of the female riders is less 40 km/hour and maximum 60 km/hour. 62% of males (between 18 and 35 years) had reported that their average speed would be between 80 and 100 km/hour and 30% above 100 km/hour. As age (40 and above) increases the average speed are less than 50 km/hour. In the city like Chennai because of the congested traffics, particularly in the working hours, this could be the possible speed. Other studies reported that during night hours, weekend holidays, the incidence are more because of the over speed, less traffic in the roads, the holiday mood of youngsters, and consuming alcohols in weekends, all may precipitate the etiology for a number of accidents. Our study also supports their findings.

In our study, young adults reported that they are mostly using the helmet when compared with an age group of 45 and above. This shows some positive sign of awareness about usage of the helmet in the young minds regarding injury prevention efforts. 81% of participants were not wearing helmets at the time of injury, though they are regular users of helmets. 11% participants had the injury on other parts of the body, and reported that because of the usages of helmet they did not get injury in the face/head. Using helmets reduce the possibility of the incidence of head injury victims. Lee et al\textsuperscript{11,12} reported that because of hot weather in Thailand using helmet becomes discomfort for the bike riders, so in Chennai also that could be one of the reasons for not able to use the helmet regularly. Subhashraj et al\textsuperscript{13} reported that motorbike accidents are frequent in India due to socio-economic conditions, speeding, and not wearing a helmet or safety equipment.

Bali et al\textsuperscript{14} reported that road traffic accidents being 71.9% are commonly in the 20 to 40 age group; most of them were driving two wheelers and mostly under the effect of alcohol. A total of 66.2% were on two wheelers, among whom 10% were wearing the helmet. Laverick et al\textsuperscript{15} Maayeh et al\textsuperscript{16} and Septa et al\textsuperscript{17} reported that there is a definite association of influence of alcohol with maxillofacial injuries. Brasiliero and Passeri,\textsuperscript{18} Al Ahmed et al\textsuperscript{19} reported that men aged 21 to 40 years represent a group with intense social interaction and higher rates of morbidity, susceptible to road traffic accidents. Our study reports that nearly 30% males were under the influence of alcohol at the time of injury, which could be one of the causes of injury. Victims may not be in a position to take a decision in an unexpected situation and lost their control over the vehicle.

The majority (24.8%) of injuries were due to two wheeler vs two-wheeler accidents, 20.9% of injuries are due to self-fall/skid, 19% of injuries by two wheelers met accidents with four wheelers and had poly trauma, 18.7% of accidents by pedestrians crossing, and 16.7% by animal crossing. Samierad et al\textsuperscript{20} reported that left injuries were common next to bilateral injuries. Das et al\textsuperscript{8} Chakranarayan et al\textsuperscript{9} reported right-side injuries were common than left side injuries but have not mentioned about the reasons for common occurrence on a particular side. In our study, right-side injuries were more than the left side. Injury mainly by four wheeler impacts were bilateral and multiple injuries. They reported that because of a sudden cross of animal/pedestrian cross/speed breaker, they applied break for front wheel by right-hand side, then they lost the control of the vehicle and fall from the bike. A total of 96.3% of our participants are right-handers, which could be one of the reasons for right-side injuries by skid and fall from two wheeler, though other studies have mentioned about the frequently involving side of injuries in their retrospective studies. They have not analyzed any factors for the side of injuries.

Further study needs to confirm these findings to take decision-making policies, regarding vehicle modifications to prevent injury from MTWs. Most of the populations are right-handers only. Though the left-handers are very few, they were also to be considered while making vehicle modifications. Break systems and stability of MTWs to be improved. Usage of helmets should be made mandatory, enforcement of the law for drink and drive should be strict to prevent injury and related morbidity and mortality.

CONCLUSION

Motorized two wheelers are one of the main and essential transports for working, the middle, and low-income group in developing countries like India. It is our duty to improve the safety for the road riders, pedestrians, and pillion riders by improving the quality and safe road transportation system for these vulnerable groups of road users.
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