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ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of this article was to evaluate the impact of intraoral aging and site specificity on debris accumulation and friction in molar tubes after intraoral use.

Materials and methods: A total of 80 intraorally used first molar convertible tubes were provided by 20 orthodontic adolescent patients after 6 months of treatment. The specimens were divided into eight groups (n = 10) according to the mouth quadrant and the type of examination [four groups of ten tubes for scanning electron microscope (SEM) and four groups of ten tubes for friction test]. Scanning electron microscope examination was performed after opening the convertible caps and friction test was executed using a 0.019” × 0.025” stainless steel wire, which was inserted in the tubes belonging to each group. The Mann–Whitney test, analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Bonferroni tests were performed for statistical analysis at 0.01 level of significance.

Results: There was a significant increase in the amount of debris and frictional force after 6 months of intraoral exposure (p < 0.0001). Debris scores were higher (10% increase) on the upper tubes when compared with the lower ones, with no statistical difference. Mean frictional force ranged from 0.22 to 0.26 N according to the mouth quadrant, but the difference between groups was also not significant.

Conclusion: After 6 months of intraoral exposure, there was a significant increase in the amount of debris and frictional forces of the first molar tubes. The influence of site specificity on the amount of debris and on frictional forces of the first molar tubes could not be demonstrated.

Clinical significance: Molar tubes should be cleaned, before and during sliding mechanics, in order to minimize friction.

INTRODUCTION

Friction is the resistance to movement when a wire slides in a bracket slot. Overcoming friction has been one of the main interests in orthodontic research and inspired a lot of manufacturers in the development of new orthodontic materials and products.

Several in vitro studies have investigated friction when combining as-received wires and brackets, with a variation of the alloy used, the bracket type, the wire and bracket dimension, the wire and bracket angulation, and the ligation type between the bracket and the wire. Other studies investigated friction in the presence of fluids tentatively to simulate the intraoral environment. Some of these studies showed that friction decreases in the presence of saliva, while others showed that saliva may not act as a lubricant.

Intraorally used wires were recently investigated in order to elucidate the effect of biological factors, such as corrosion and plaque, on sliding mechanics. Nitinol wires and stainless steel wires showed a significantly increased amount of debris and roughness after intraoral aging, but only stainless steel wires showed a significant increase in friction due to these factors. One recent article compared the effect of intraoral aging on conventional and self-ligating bracket slots and found that both of them showed a significant increase in the frictional force during sliding mechanics.

Sliding mechanics in preadjusted appliances is the mostly used method for moving a tooth or a group of
teeth. The efficiency of the system is affected by increased frictional forces, which can reach up to 50% of the force applied and can delay the treatment because of little or no tooth movement. The majority of published studies on friction simulated the movement of a bracket sliding along a wire, assuming that it reproduces the clinical reality of a canine retraction mechanics on a continuous wire or sliding mechanics of a wire in the premolar bracket. In en masse closure using sliding mechanics, the retraction of six anterior teeth occurs when the archwire slides along the bracket of the second premolar and the tubes of the first and second molars, which relates the importance of studying the behavior of molar tube slots in sliding mechanics.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the impact of intraoral aging and site specificity on debris accumulation and friction in molar tubes after intraoral use.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After the approval of the Research Ethics Board, the calculation of the sample size was done based on a pilot study on six pairs of as-received and used tubes, in order to observe a difference of 0.1 N with a standard deviation (SD) of 0.063 N, with a power of 90% and an alpha level of 0.05. The calculated sample size was n = 9 for both as-received and clinically exposed tubes.

Twenty as-received convertible triple tubes (3M Unitek, Monrovia, CA, USA; www.3Munitek.com), with MBT prescription (upper tubes: Width 4.3 mm, torque –14°, distal offset –10°, auxiliary torque 0°; lower tubes: Width 4.3 mm, torque –20°, distal offset 0°, auxiliary torque 0°), formed the control group. Ten tubes were opened in order to be examined by the scanning electron microscope (SEM), and ten tubes were designated to the friction test. In order to preserve the bracket slot from scratching by the use of a convertible cap-removing plier, the temporary cap representing the fourth wall of the tube was sheared off by inserting a 0.014” stainless steel wire into the slot and tying together the distal and mesial ends until the temporary cap was distorted and removed. The as-received tubes were named according to the test type (SEM as-received group: SEMAR; friction as-received group: FRAR).

A total of 80 intraorally used first molar convertible triple tubes (3M Unitek, Monrovia, CA, USA; www.3Munitek.com), with the same MBT prescription, were provided by 20 orthodontic adolescent patients (8 girls and 12 boys, aged between 12 and 19 years, with a mean age of 14.9 years), who were scheduled to receive orthodontic treatment. At the start of treatment, each patient was equipped with bands on upper and lower molars and Gemini MBT prescription brackets (3M Unitek, Monrovia, CA, USA; www.3Munitek.com) on the remaining teeth. These patients received a routine checkup, cleaning and hygiene instructions 10 days before treatment start, and at the end of the bonding session by the same operator. The 20 patients were followed up for the first 6 months, during the leveling and alignment phase, until each of them received 0.019” × 0.025” stainless steel wires usually used for sliding mechanics. At the end of the alignment phase, the four first molar bands were removed from each patient’s mouth by cutting them with a transmetal bur (E0153, 19 mm, ISO 012, Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland; www.dentsplymaillefer.com), in order to prevent deformation of the tube, which could occur with the use of a band-removing plier. The patients received new tubes and continued the treatment normally.

A total of 80 used first molar tubes were separated from the bands using a sharp cutter, in order to be studied in SEM and tested for friction resistance. They were divided into eight groups of ten tubes each, and named according to the test type and mouth quadrant: SEM upper right group: SEMUR, SEM upper left group: SEMUL, SEM lower right group: SEMLR, SEM lower left group: SEMLL, friction upper right group: FRUR, friction upper left group: FRUL, friction lower right group: FRLR, and friction lower left group: FRLL.

Scanning Electron Microscope Analysis

For the SEM examination, each tube was fixed on a carbon tab and oriented for observation of the deepest wall of the slot using a SEM (FEI Quanta 600 FEG, Hilsboro, USA; www.fei.com) under the following conditions: 5.1 × 10⁻⁶ Pa vacuum; 10 kV accelerating voltage; 100 mA beam current; ultrathin sampling window on the SiLi Pioneer detector; 100 s acquisition time; 10 to 20% dead time.

For each tube, images were obtained by secondary electrons with 150× magnification. Five images with 150× magnification were necessary to cover the whole slot length. Images were captured in the same direction from mesial to distal. Debris quantification was done by one operator according to a published method in endodontics, and the following scores were attributed to the images: 0 = total absence of debris, 1 = debris covering one-fourth of the image, 2 = debris covering one-fourth to three-fourths of the image, 3 = debris covering more than three-fourths of the image (Figs 1A and B). Two blinded readings with 10 days interval were done for error analysis, and Spearman’s correlation test at p < 0.05 was performed to evaluate the reliability of the debris quantification method.

Friction Test

The friction test was performed by fixing the tubes on acrylic plates (area = 4 × 6 cm and thickness 5 mm) based
on a modification of a methodology published previously. All tubes belonging to the same quadrant were coupled one by one with the same reference tube (not belonging to the sample) in order to align them on two adjacent acrylic plates. The alignment was done using a 0.022” × 0.028” stainless steel wire template inserted in the accessory tube in order to keep the primary slot untouched.

Before the friction test, a 0.019” × 0.025” stainless steel straight wire with an omega loop stop was inserted in the primary slot of the reference tube and tied to it using a metallic ligature. The two plates were then assembled by engaging the wire in the tube to be tested.

The plates were fixed in the universal testing machine (YLE Germany) with a load cell of 22 N. The plate containing the reference tube was set at the upper grip, while the plate holding the tube to be tested was fixed on the lower grip (Fig. 2). The friction test was done in compression, the wire slid in the slot of the tube from mesial to distal (imitating the clinical situation) at the speed of 1 mm per minute for a 5 mm distance. The wire attached to the reference tube was cleaned with alcohol (96%) after each test. The kinetic force was registered during the whole movement.

All the tests were performed following the same procedures. Ten as-received tubes (FRAR group) were tested in friction and represented the control group. A total of 40 used tubes (FRUR, FRUL, FRLR, FRLL groups) were evaluated in friction test after 6 months of intraoral exposure.

RESULTS

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 software program (Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA; www.spss.com).

For the SEM images, the reproducibility of the scoring was tested using the Spearman correlation analysis and showed a high correlation between the scores registered by two operators (p < 0.0001, r = 0.96). The descriptive analysis of the sample is represented in Table 1. The score “zero” was attributed to all as-received tubes, while the tubes that were maintained for 6 months in the oral environment showed a significant increase in the debris scores. The calculated median score for the four groups

Fig. 1A and B: Scanning electron microscope images at 150× magnification: (A) As-received tube with debris score 0; and (B) clinically exposed tube with debris score 3

Fig. 2: Universal testing machine set-up for friction test
after intraoral aging was 2 at 150× magnification. For the lower right and lower left tubes, 60% of the sample was found to have a score of 2 after intraoral aging (debris covering one-fourth to three-fourths of the image), while the same score 2 was found in 70% of the upper right and left tubes. The Mann–Whitney test was performed to compare the variables. The difference was significant when the four groups representing the four mouth quadrants after intraoral aging were compared with as-received group (p < 0.001), while no statistical difference was found when comparing the four clinically exposed groups together (Table 1).

For the friction test, the normal distribution of the groups was verified using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The descriptive analysis of the mean frictional values for the five groups is represented in Table 2. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) test (Table 3) and the Bonferroni multicomparison test (Table 4) were used to evaluate the difference in friction before and after intraoral aging as well as the difference between the four groups after intraoral aging.

The as-received tubes showed a mean frictional force of 0.09 N (SD = 0.03), while this force increased significantly in the four groups of tubes after 6 months of intraoral aging, to reach respectively, 0.22 N (SD = 0.05) for the FRLR group (144% increase); 0.24 N (SD = 0.06) for the FRLL group (166% increase); 0.24 N (SD = 0.05) for the FRUR group (166% increase); 0.26 N (SD = 0.06) for the FRUL group (188% increase). The difference between the as-received group’s frictional values and each one of the four groups after intraoral aging was statistically significant (p < 0.001).

No statistically significant difference was detected when comparing the four groups after intraoral aging, knowing that group FRUL had the highest friction force (0.26 N), while group FRLR had the lowest one (0.22 N).

DISCUSSION

Intraoral aging of orthodontic material is a determinant factor in the efficiency of orthodontic tooth movement. The effect of aging on material surface and friction has been the subject of many investigations on Nitinol, stainless steel wires, and to a less extent on brackets. However, to our knowledge, molar tubes remain unexamined despite the importance of their role in sliding mechanics during en masse retraction. This study aimed to investigate the effect of intraoral aging on frictional forces of first molar tubes in vitro. The tubes were kept in the intraoral environment for 6 months, which corresponds to the alignment period, and were withdrawn and then examined before the beginning of en masse space closure step.

The results of the SEM analysis showed a significant increase in the amount of debris in the tubes after intraoral aging.
aging. The same results were found in previous studies that investigated conventional, self-ligating brackets, and stainless steel and Nitinol wires. The comparison of stain scoring between the groups representing the four mouth quadrants after intraoral aging showed an increase of 10% in score 2 (debris covering one-fourth to three-fourths of the image) for the upper left and right groups, but this difference was not statistically significant. Even though it is well known that site specificity could have an impact on the amount of calculus observed on tooth surfaces in different regions of the mouth, the impact of site specificity on debris accumulation in the tube slots was not proven in this study. A larger sample might be needed for this kind of investigation.

For the friction test, the tubes were aligned through a full size stainless steel wire template inserted in the accessory tube, which kept the primary tube intact for the friction test. Previous studies done on conventional and self-ligating brackets used a full size stainless steel wire inserted in the bracket slot, which could have eliminated a part of the debris and calculus and consequently affected the results. The test was done in compression, and the wire slid from the mesial to the distal part of the tube in order to imitate the en masse movement of sliding as it happens clinically. A straight linear test set-up method was used in this study, while the clinical situation is more complex and involves a three-dimensional (3D) movement of the teeth. Although it is complicated to imitate the dynamic movement that happens in vivo, future investigations should address this issue.

The results of the friction test in the present study showed a mean frictional force of 0.09 N for as-received tubes. These results are similar to those shown in several studies with a similar set-up done on self-ligating brackets and conventional brackets with metallic ligatures. Frictional forces in tubes after intraoral aging showed a significant increase ranging from 144 to 188%. Even though the upper tubes showed higher values than the lower ones, the statistical difference was not significant, and the role of site specificity in altering frictional resistance of intraorally used first molar tubes could not be demonstrated.

To our knowledge, no similar studies on molar tubes are available for direct comparison of friction test results. However, in a study done on brackets, Araújo et al found an increase of 191% of the frictional force in self-ligating brackets coupled with stainless steel wires after only 8 weeks of intraoral aging. These differences can be explained by the bracket design used in their study (open slots that are subject to direct exposure compared with a completely closed tube) and by the test set-up combining a bracket and a wire set, which both were aged for 8 weeks.

The present study investigated first molar tubes with four walls, which were kept in the intraoral environment for 6 months and showed that debris accumulation occurs even in closed tubes after intraoral aging. This phenomenon can be explained by the infiltration of intraoral fluids inside the tube by capillarity and by the mechanical introduction of plaque inside the tube during wire insertion. The extended use of orthodontic appliances over an average treatment time of 24 months may result in more debris accumulation and higher frictional resistance values than those found in this study, and the impact on treatment progress could probably be increased. Patient hygiene and appliance cleaning may play a role in reducing debris on intraoral appliances and improving the efficiency of the treatment in sliding mechanics. Archwire cleaning after intraoral aging showed a significant decrease in frictional force level, while sodium bicarbonate air abrasive polishing on metallic and ceramic brackets produced more surface roughness and increased friction. In the present study, the patient was asked to brush his teeth before the adjustment session. Although it is difficult or even impossible to clean the inner space of closed first and second molar tubes, different hygiene measures (toothbrushing or polishing before wire insertion in each session) could be investigated in order to evaluate their impact on debris accumulation.

CONCLUSION

After 6 months of intraoral exposure, there is a significant increase in the amount of debris in the first molar tube slots leading to significantly higher frictional forces during sliding mechanics.

Site specificity does not seem to have an influence on the amount of debris and frictional forces of the first molar tubes.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Orthodontists should know that debris accumulation in molar tubes can increase friction up to three times during sliding mechanics. Therefore, molar tubes should be cleaned, before and during sliding mechanics, in order to optimize the en masse closure.
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