Low-level Laser Therapy Effects on Pulp Cells from Human Primary Teeth

**ABSTRACT**

The aim of this study was to verify the influence of different energy densities of low-level laser therapy (LLLT) on the viability and proliferation of pulp fibroblasts of human primary teeth (HPF). Cultures of fibroblasts were irradiated with LLLT according to experimental groups: I (1.2 J/cm$^2$), II (2.5 J/cm$^2$), III (3.7 J/cm$^2$), IV (5.0 J/cm$^2$), V (6.2 J/cm$^2$), and VI (not irradiated). Cell viability was determined 6 and 24 hours after of irradiation using 3-(4, 5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl) -2, 5-diphenyl -2H- tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Cell proliferation was assessed through sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay after 24, 48, and 72 hours of irradiation. Data were submitted to two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey test (p < 0.05). After 6 hours of irradiation, group III exhibited the greatest cell viability compared with other groups. After 24 hours, group I exhibited the smallest absorbance value compared with group VI. To cell proliferation, 24 and 48 hours after irradiation, all groups showed similar results to those of group VI. After 72 hours, group I showed lower cell proliferation than the others. Group V exhibited results very similar to those of group VI. This study suggests that different energy densities of LLLT influenced the viability and proliferation of HPF.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Recent studies have searched therapeutic alternatives to conventional treatments in order to reestablish the pulp health. One of these alternatives is based on the application of low-level laser therapy (LLLT) on pulp tissue. In vitro and in vivo studies have demonstrated that LLLT may accelerate proliferation of fibroblasts and differentiation of osteoblasts during the processes of bone regeneration and neoformation. Histopathological studies have been conducted on dental pulp aiming at evaluating LLLT effects on both the inflammatory process and the production of extracellular matrix proteins. These extracellular matrix proteins play an important role in the formation of mineralized tissues; are capable of acting on cell differentiation, migration, and proliferation during many stages of dentinogenesis and healing process; and are of fundamental importance in the repair process of pulp tissue. The biostimulation achieved by LLLT depends on the laser irradiation parameters, such as wavelength, output power, and energy density. Several parameters have been used aiming to induce cell proliferation in vitro. The application of similar parameters may cause different effects on cultured cells. Parameters that effectively improve cell growth may increase the protein synthesis. Accordingly, it is mandatory that one knows the proper combination of these parameters to achieve the desired effects in pulp therapy.

Energy density may be important to improve cell growth. Notwithstanding, the effect of this parameter range is still unknown, mainly on cells from pulp tissue of human primary teeth. Some studies with pulp tissue cells have shown that different LLLTs, employed with different wavelengths and doses, act increasing the proliferation capacity in vitro and stimulating both the structural protein synthesis and the production of growth factors. However, little evidence has demonstrated that LLLT directly influences on pulp tissue recovery of human primary teeth. Thus, the aim of this study was to verify the influence of different energy densities of LLLT on the viability and proliferation of fibroblasts of human primary teeth.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Primary Culture of Dental Pulp*

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (process #138.192). Prior to experiments,
the tooth was donated under patient’s consent. Dental pulp fibroblasts from human primary teeth were cultured using an explant technique as described previously.19,20 The pulp tissues were removed in aseptic conditions (Fig. 1), and after minced, the fragments were incubated for cell growth Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) (Gibco, Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco) and antibiotics (100 μg/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 0.5 mg/mL amphotericin B – Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95% air. Cells were used between the 4th and 10th passages (Fig. 2).

Experimental Groups

The groups were divided into six groups according to the energy density of irradiation with LLLT, as described in Table 1.

Low-level Laser Therapy Irradiation

Dental pulp fibroblasts from human primary teeth were seeded in 96-well plates (1 × 10⁴ cells/well) with DMEM supplemented with 1% FBS and allowed to attach overnight. Prior to laser irradiation, the plates were wrapped in a mask made out of black cardboard with holes located in the position of the wells of the experimental groups. Each hole was individually sealed by a hatch, as well as in black cardboard that allowed only the bore of the well that was being irradiated to remain open, while all the others were kept in the dark.21 Low-level laser therapy irradiation was made through the transparent bottom of the 96-well plates, keeping the distance between the light beam and the cell monolayer constant at 1 mm. Therefore, the radiation passed directly to the cell monolayer via the plate base without traveling through the culture medium reaching the cells, following the methodology adopted previously.21-23 The power of the laser was measured with the Lasercheck Power Meter (Coherent Inc., Santa Clara, California) prior to each application.

Laser irradiation was delivered with gallium-aluminum-arsenide (GaAlAs) low-level laser (Twin Flex Evolution MMOptics®, São Carlos, Brazil) in all groups in contact, using the punctual irradiation mode at wavelength of 660 nm (red), output beam area of 0.04 cm², and varying the energy density in function of the power used for each experimental group. The control group was treated under identical conditions except that the laser device was kept off. After irradiation, the media of all wells were replaced by fresh culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS.

Analysis of Cell Viability by 3-(4, 5-Dimethyl-2-Thiazolyl)-2, 5-Diphenyltetrazolium Bromide

Aiming to evaluate the cytotoxicity of the different LLLT irradiation densities, 3-(4, 5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was performed 6 and 24 hours after irradiation, and this test consists in the cellular proliferation assay which quantifies the ability of viable cells to reduce a yellow tetrazolium salt to purple formazan crystals by using a mitochondrial enzyme succinate dehydrogenase. For this reason,
a shorter trial period was chose, in order to verify the different laser doses that could cause death in cells after irradiation. At the end of the respective incubation time, the supernatants were discarded and 200 μL MTT solution was added into each well to a final concentration of 5 mg/mL. After an additional 4-hour incubation period, the supernatant was discarded, and 200 μL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH, USA) was added to solubilize the formazan crystals. Immediately, the absorbance was read in a microplate reader (Zenyth, 200 RT, Anthos, Cambridge, UK) at 560 nm. Data were obtained from three wells per condition.

Analysis of Cell Proliferation by Sulforhodamine B

Cell proliferation by sulforhodamine B (SRB Assay) was assessed after 24, 48, and 72 hours of irradiation. Because this method is based on the principle, which the SRB stains proteins within cells, and it is independent of the metabolic activity, unlike the MTT test, so the period was greater than the MTT assay. At the end of the respective incubation time, cells were fixed by the addition of 10% trichloroacetic acid and incubated for 1 hour at 4°C. Plates were washed in tap water five times and allowed to dry. Cellular protein was stained by adding 4% SRB in 1% acetic acid and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. Excess SRB was removed by washing the wells with 1% acetic acid, and remaining SRB was solubilized in 10 mm Tris-base unbuffered. Absorbance was determined on a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 565 nm. Data were obtained from three wells per condition.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Prism, San Diego, California, USA). Viability and proliferation data were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s test. Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05. Data were expressed as mean value and standard deviation.

RESULTS

3-(4, 5-dimethyl-2-thiazoly)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium Bromide Assay

The absorbance rate variations showed statistically significant differences from 6 to 24 hours after irradiation regarding cell viability for all groups.

Six hours after irradiation, statistically significant differences occurred between groups II and III, groups II and VI, and groups III and IV. Group III exhibited the greatest absorbance value compared with those of the other groups at this period.

Twenty-four hours after irradiation, group I showed the lowest absorbance value compared with that of control group (VI), while group IV had the greatest value compared with those of groups I and III (Graph 1).

SBR Assay

At 24 hours after irradiation, all groups showed cell proliferation similar to that of control group (VI); however, in group I, cell proliferation rate was lower than that of group II, with statistically significant difference.

At 48 hours after irradiation, no statistically significant differences were seen in the cell proliferation among all of the groups (p > 0.05).

At 72 hours after irradiation, group I exhibited the lowest cell proliferation than those of the other study groups. Group V showed greater cell proliferation when compared with control group (VI), with no statistically significant difference. Group V exhibited the greatest cell proliferation compared with those of groups I, II, III, and IV, with statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) (Graph 2).

DISCUSSION

Low-level laser therapy has been largely applied in dentistry in order to accelerate the repair process, reduce pain and swelling, and modulate inflammation. Notwithstanding, few studies have investigated the irradiation parameters capable of promoting effects on different cell lines and determining an ideal protocol of irradiation for healing and repair. In the present study, the metabolic activity of fibroblasts from pulp cells following LLLT application with
different energy densities in function of the power was evaluated to determine the adequate dosage to produce biomodulation effects on cells in vitro. MTT results demonstrated statistically significant differences for all groups between 6 and 24 hours. Notwithstanding, at 6-hour period, cellular metabolism was greater at 3.7 J/cm² dose in comparison with those of the other groups. At 24-hour period, 1.2 J/cm² dose exhibited the smallest cellular metabolism in comparison with that of the nonirradiated group (control), while 5.0 J/cm² dose presented the greatest value compared with those of 1.2 and 3.7 J/cm² doses. The increase in cell metabolism after LLLT irradiation was observed previously, although the cells used in those studies were fibroblasts from gingival tissue.10,15

The present study observed a different behavior at 6 and 24 hours, at the different irradiation patterns. However, it was not possible to affirm which parameter would be ideal. Considering the cell proliferation after 24 and 48 hours of irradiation, all groups exhibited results similar to those of the group without irradiation (control group). After 72 hours, the cell proliferation irradiated at 1.2 J/cm² dose (group I) was smaller than those of all other groups. At 6.2 J/cm² dose, there was a greater cell proliferation rate than those of 1.2 to 5.0 J/cm² doses, but very similar to that of the group without irradiation (control group). Other studies have also confirmed that LLLT stimulates the presence of photoreceptors within cellular membrane, which increases cell functions and cell proliferation.13 It is worth emphasizing that most of the studies in literature have been conducted on fibroblasts from gingival tissue instead of fibroblasts from dental pulp of human primary teeth as in this study. The fact of performing primary culture of pulp cells from human primary teeth in vitro raises the possibility of conducting many studies with the proposed methodology.

Many mechanisms are involved in the cellular metabolism during healing and repair processes. Previous studies demonstrated that LLLT may cause positive cellular effects because of the increase of expression and secretion of growth factors, which can be a specific mode of action of laser parameters.10

Some authors have observed that LLLT applied on cell culture may increase the proliferation rates of many cell lines and the production of increased adenosine triphosphate (ATP), ribonucleic acid (RNA), and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) synthesis in stem cells and other cell types.13 Low-level laser therapy have also improved cell proliferation without any cytotoxic effects and its results have varied according to both the energy density applied and the cells used. This evaluation suggests that LLLT energy density of 0.5 to 4.0 J/cm² and visible spectrum of 600 to 700 nm may be very useful in increasing the proliferation rate of many cellular types.13 Low-level laser therapy can improve the cell proliferation in cultured cells (including undifferentiated cells), which might be very useful in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. A study showed that LLLT irradiation with a series of dose parameters may cause significant changes in gene expression and that these effects depend on the wavelength and radiation exposure.12 Thus, the authors concluded that laser irradiation is capable of modulating the genetic expression and releasing growth factors and cytokines of culture cells. These authors also emphasized the need of clinical trials to clarify the clinical relevance of LLLT’s positive effects.12

The present study showed that LLLT biomodulated the metabolism of pulp cells from human primary teeth. Notwithstanding, other in vitro and in vivo parameters need to be tested. The definition of protocols comprising more specific indications regarding to time, doses, and application sites have been expected.27 Therefore, further studies are necessary to determine the ideal laser irradiation protocol for pulp cells from human primary teeth by assessing cell growth, viability, migration, and differentiation through different dosimetry parameters.

This study suggests that different energy densities of LLLT had effects on the viability and proliferation of pulp fibroblasts from human primary teeth. However, further studies are necessary to determine the ideal energy density protocol for laser irradiation on pulp cells from human primary teeth.
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