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ABSTRACT

Introduction: This single-center, prospective, randomized, double-blind study compares the effect of intrathecal fentanyl-bupivacaine and tramadol-bupivacaine on the onset and duration of sensory and motor blockade, as well as postoperative analgesia in lower abdominal surgeries.

Materials and methods: Patients of either sex, aged 18 to 60 years, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grade I/II undergoing lower abdominal surgeries like appendicectomy, inguinal hernia repair surgery, and hydrocele surgery were administered either 2.5 mL of 0.5% bupivacaine + 0.5 mL (25 µg) of fentanyl citrate (group F) or 2.5 mL of 0.5% bupivacaine + 0.5 mL (25 mg) of tramadol (group T) intrathecally.

Monitoring of the vital parameters, onset and duration of sensory and motor block, duration of postoperative analgesia, visual analog scale (VAS) score, sedation score, and any adverse drug reactions was done at predetermined intervals.

Results: Sixty patients were randomized to the group F (n = 30) and group T (n = 30). The duration of sensory blockade was significantly prolonged in group F (314.66 ± 49.25 minutes) as compared to group T (261.66 ± 27.92 minutes). Similarly, duration of motor blockade was longer in group F (263.66 ± 40.97 minutes) compared to group T (214.66 ± 26.61 minutes). The total duration of analgesia was significantly prolonged (p < 0.001) in group F (412 ± 97.888 minutes) compared to group T (301 ± 38.75 minutes).

Hemodynamic parameters, such as pulse, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and oxygen saturation were comparable in both the groups. Visual analog scores were significantly lower in the group F patients as compared to the group T patients. The group F patients had got significantly higher sedation scores as compared to Group T patients.

Discussion: Fentanyl 25 µg, when added to 2.5 mL of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine, confers prolonged duration of sensory and motor blockade than 25 mg tramadol added to 2.5 mL of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine. The bupivacaine-fentanyl combination prolonged duration of sensory and motor blockade, improved analgesia, as manifested by lower pain scores, and prolonged duration of postoperative analgesia.
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INTRODUCTION

Any expertise in anesthesia requires knowledge of pain relief during surgery and also into the postoperative period. The aim of postoperative pain relief is to provide comfort and to inhibit trauma-induced nociceptive impulses, thereby blunting autonomic and somatic reflex responses to pain.

Spinal anesthesia is a preferred method of anesthesia for surgery on lower half of body due to its efficacy, rapidity, reduction in blood loss, and protection against thromboembolic episodes. When the patient is receiving spinal anesthesia with local anesthetic agents like bupivacaine, addition of another drug intrathecally which will prolong analgesia is a logical choice. Predictably, thus a number of adjuvants have been added to spinal local anesthetics.1 Opioids like morphine,2 buprenorphine,3 pethidine,4 hydromorphone,5 diamorphine,6 fentanyl,7 sufentanil,8 and tramadol9 have been used for this purpose.

These opioids produce satisfactory analgesia for up to 24 hours postoperatively, but are frequently associated with side effects like respiratory depression, itching, nausea, vomiting, and urinary retention. Other adjuvants like clonidine,10 ketamine,11 neostigmine,12 and midazolam13 have been tried, but none has become as popular as opioids in regular clinical practice.

The administration of opioids in combination with local anesthetics intrathecally is an excellent technique for managing postoperative pain following abdominal, pelvic, thoracic, or orthopedic procedures on lower
extremities. We decided to compare effect of intrathecal fentanyl-bupivacaine and tramadol-bupivacaine combination in our present study and assess their effect on duration of sensory block, duration of motor block, and correlating it with duration of on postoperative analgesia in lower abdominal surgeries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After taking approval from the institution’s ethics committee, written informed, valid consent was taken from all patients after explaining the study protocol. It was a single centre prospective randomized double blind comparative study.

A total of 60 patients of either sex—aged 18 to 60 years, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grade I/II, posted for lower abdominal surgeries like appendicectomy, inguinal hernia repair surgery, hydrocoele surgery—were included in the study. American Society of Anesthesiologists grade III and IV, pregnant and lactating mothers, patients with history of hypersensitivity to local anesthetic drugs, patients on chronic opioid therapy and opioid addicts, and patients on pain-modifying drugs were excluded from the study.

Preanesthetic assessment included medical/surgical history; general/systemic examination; airway examination; and investigations, such as complete hemogram, bleeding time, and clotting time; chest X-ray; fasting blood sugar; and electrocardiogram for patients more than 35 years of age. Peripheral venous cannulation using 20 G/18 G angiocath was done on dorsum of hand, than 35 years of age. Peripheral venous cannulation blood sugar; and electrocardiogram for patients more

Duration of surgery was noted at the end of surgery. No prophylactic pain relief was given. Patients were transferred to postoperative anesthesia care unit, and monitoring was continued for vital parameters.

Sedation score, level of sensory blockade, motor blockade, and VAS score were noted every 15 minutes for first 2 hours, every 30 minutes for next 4 hours, and thereafter at 2-hour interval for 24 hours.

Duration of analgesia was considered as interval from time of intrathecal injection to the time of rescue analgesic demanded postoperatively or when VAS score was more than 4. Injection diclofenac 1.5 mg/kg intramuscularly was used as a rescue analgesic.

The total number of analgesic doses in the first 24 hours was noted.

Adverse effects like hypotension, bradycardia, nausea, vomiting, respiratory depression, excessive sedation, pruritus, urinary retention, and postdural puncture headache, if occurred, were noted. The patients were followed up postoperatively till he recovered completely from sensory and motor block and analgesia.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 13. Data, such as age, height, weight, duration of surgery, onset of sensory and motor blockade, time required for peak sensory and motor blockade, duration of sensory and motor blockade, and duration of analgesia were analyzed with unpaired Student’s t-test. Similarly, vital parameters like pulse, blood pressure, respiratory rate as well as sedation score and visual analog score were analyzed with unpaired Student’s t-test. Data like age distribution, sex, type of surgery, ASA grade, the number of analgesics required in 24 hours, and peak sensory level achieved were analyzed with chi-square test. A value of p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Scales like sedation and VAS scores were compared between groups using Mann–Whitney test.

RESULTS

Sixty patients were recruited in the two groups of 30 each randomly. Group bupivacaine-fentanyl (group F) received 2.5 mL of 0.5% bupivacaine + 0.5 mL (25 µg) of fentanyl citrate, whereas group bupivacaine-tramadol (group T) received 2.5 mL of 0.5% bupivacaine + 0.5 mL (25 mg) of tramadol intrathecally.

Vital parameters like pulse, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, respiratory rate along with sensory and motor blockade, pain scores (visual analog scale, VAS) 4, and sedation scores (Ramsay sedation scores) 5 were checked every minute for the first 5 minutes, every 5 minutes for the next 15 minutes, and every 10 minutes thereafter, till the end of procedure.

Duration of surgery was noted at the end of surgery. No prophylactic pain relief was given. Patients were transferred to postoperative anesthesia care unit, and monitoring was continued for vital parameters.

Sedation score, level of sensory blockade, motor blockade, and VAS score were noted every 15 minutes for first 2 hours, every 30 minutes for next 4 hours, and thereafter at 2-hour interval for 24 hours.

Duration of analgesia was considered as interval from time of intrathecal injection to the time of rescue analgesic demanded postoperatively or when VAS score was more than 4. Injection diclofenac 1.5 mg/kg intramuscularly was used as a rescue analgesic.

The total number of analgesic doses in the first 24 hours was noted.

Adverse effects like hypotension, bradycardia, nausea, vomiting, respiratory depression, excessive sedation, pruritus, urinary retention, and postdural puncture headache, if occurred, were noted. The patients were followed up postoperatively till he recovered completely from sensory and motor block and analgesia.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 13. Data, such as age, height, weight, duration of surgery, onset of sensory and motor blockade, time required for peak sensory and motor blockade, duration of sensory and motor blockade, and duration of analgesia were analyzed with unpaired Student’s t-test. Similarly, vital parameters like pulse, blood pressure, respiratory rate as well as sedation score and visual analog score were analyzed with unpaired Student’s t-test. Data like age distribution, sex, type of surgery, ASA grade, the number of analgesics required in 24 hours, and peak sensory level achieved were analyzed with chi-square test. A value of p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Scales like sedation and VAS scores were compared between groups using Mann–Whitney test.
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Table 1: Demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group F (n = 30)</th>
<th>Group T (n = 30)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>33.23 ± 9.031</td>
<td>33 ± 9.993</td>
<td>0.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio (M:F)</td>
<td>22:8</td>
<td>22:8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>59.33 ± 5.962</td>
<td>60.47 ± 4.447</td>
<td>0.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (min)</td>
<td>90.17 ± 18.684</td>
<td>86.67 ± 23.829</td>
<td>0.529</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of onset and duration of sensory and motor blockade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group F Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Group T Mean ± SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onset of sensory blockade (min)</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.556</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.507</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of sensory blockade (min)</td>
<td>314.66 ± 49.25</td>
<td>261.66 ± 27.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset of motor blockade (min)</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.776</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.621</td>
<td>0.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of motor blockade (min)</td>
<td>263.66 ± 40.97</td>
<td>214.66 ± 26.61</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph 1: Comparison of duration of analgesia

Graph 2: Visual analog scale monitoring

Total analgesic requirement during the observation period of 24 hours was noted. Group F patients required fewer doses of analgesics as compared to group T (Table 3).

The baseline pulse rate and systolic blood pressure were comparable in both the groups. There was no statistically significant difference between the pulse rate and systolic pressure in both the groups throughout the observation period.

DISCUSSION

The aim of intraoperative and postoperative pain relief is to provide comfort and to inhibit trauma-induced nociceptive impulses, thereby blunting autonomic and somatic reflex responses to pain. Postoperative analgesia plays a pivotal role in medical practice, enabling faster restoration of physiological functions.

Spinal anesthesia is a popular anesthetic technique for surgeries on abdomen and lower limbs. Though subarachnoid block provides effective analgesia in the initial postoperative period, the effect is very short lasting. Hence, additional analgesics are needed to lengthen the duration of analgesia provided. The use of potent opioid analgesics systemically has been associated with respiratory depression, nausea, vomiting, itching, and urinary retention. Hence, attempts were made to increase the duration of analgesia produced by subarachnoid block by addition of various intrathecal adjuvants. Various opioids like morphine, buprenorphine, hydromorphone, and

Table 3: Total number of analgesics in 24 hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of analgesics in 24 hours</th>
<th>Group F (n = 30)</th>
<th>Group T (n = 30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square = 24.00; p < 0.0001; p < 0.05 significant
Fentanyl,7 sufentanil,8 tramadol9 and nonopioid drugs clonidine,10 ketamine,11 neostigmine,12 and midazolam13 have been used as adjuvants.

Analgesic effect of opioids arises from their ability to inhibit the ascending transmission of nociceptive information from the dorsal horn of the spinal cord directly and to activate the pain control circuits that descend from the midbrain, via the rostral ventromedial medulla, to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord.

Fentanyl, a lipophilic opioid, is a strong µ and κ receptor agonist and 100 times more potent than morphine as an analgesic.1 It acts on the µ receptors present in substantia gelatinosa of the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, causing inhibition of substance P release which results in alteration of pain perception and inhibition of pain pathway. It does not tend to migrate to the fourth ventricle and to activate the pain control circuits that descend from the midbrain, via the rostral ventromedial medulla, to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord.

Fentanyl is a centrally acting opioid. It binds to the µ-receptor and to a lesser extent to the δ- and κ-opioid receptors, but is 5 to 10 times less potent than morphine as an analgesic.15 It enhances the function of spinal descending inhibitory pathways by inhibition of neuronal reuptake of norepinephrine and serotonin as well as presynaptic stimulation of 5-hydroxytryptamine release.16 It has lower incidence of cardiovascular and respiratory depression as compared to other opioid agonists.

Biswas et al.14 conducted a study to show that intrathecal fentanyl, when added to hyperbaric bupivacaine (2 mL of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine with 0.25 mL, i.e., 12.5 µg fentanyl), improves analgesia during cesarean delivery and in the early postoperative period. Chakraborty et al.8 had undertaken a study to evaluate the duration of analgesia conferred by intrathecal tramadol added to hyperbaric bupivacaine (3 mL 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine and 0.2 mL, i.e., 20 mg tramadol) in patients undergoing major gynecological surgery in a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled protocol. The duration of analgesia was prolonged with addition of tramadol.

Worldwide, many studies have been conducted on the intrathecal use of either fentanyl or tramadol in combination with local anesthetic agents, but there is not a single study available which has compared the intrathecal use of these two opioids in terms of efficacy and safety. So, this study was conducted to compare the two opioids, fentanyl and tramadol, when combined intrathecally with hyperbaric bupivacaine for postoperative analgesia.

The present study was a single-center, prospective, randomized, double-blind study. The patients were divided into two groups of 30 patients each. We have sought to compare the onset, duration, and analgesic effect of intrathecal fentanyl-bupivacaine with that of tramadol-bupivacaine. Group F received 2.5 mL of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine with 0.5 mL (25 µg) of fentanyl while group T received 2.5 mL of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine with 0.5 mL (25 µg) of tramadol. Mixing of these drugs did not show any physical changes like precipitation, turbidity, and changes in color.

In the present study, demographical parameters like age, sex, weight, height, and ratio of ASA were comparable between the fentanyl group and tramadol group. Likewise, duration of surgery and types of surgery in both the groups were comparable.

The effect of intrathecal fentanyl and tramadol in combination with hyperbaric bupivacaine on sensory parameters including onset with time for peak sensory block and duration of sensory block was compared.

It was found that the patients receiving fentanyl and tramadol had nearly the same onset of sensory block (1.37 ± 0.55 and 1.47 ± 0.51 respectively), but fentanyl group attained the peak sensory level earlier (3.77 ± 0.72 vs 5.13 ± 0.77 minutes) which is statistically significant. The duration of sensory blockade was found to be longer with intrathecal fentanyl than intrathecal tramadol (314.66 ± 49.25 vs 261.66 ± 27.92 minutes respectively). Biswas et al.14 and Ben-David et al.17 found that the duration of sensory blockade was longer in the patients who received intrathecal bupivacaine with fentanyl than the patients who received intrathecal bupivacaine alone.

In our study, it was found that patients receiving fentanyl and tramadol had nearly the same onset of motor block (2.47 ± 0.777 vs 2.6 ± 0.62 minutes) and comparable time to achieve peak motor block (6.57 ± 1.135 vs 7.03 ± 1.066 minutes). The duration of motor block was longer in group F as compared to group T and was statistically highly significant (263.66 ± 40.97 vs 214.66 ± 26.61 minutes, p < 0.001). It implies that the motor recovery and street fitness was delayed in fentanyl group as compared to the tramadol group.

The duration of postoperative analgesia was found to be significantly prolonged in fentanyl group as compared to the tramadol group (412 ± 97.88 vs 301.33 ± 38.75 minutes; p < 0.001). Clinically, it was also found that the patients from fentanyl group were more pain-free and comfortable than the tramadol group. Similar results have been obtained by Biswas et al.14 and Ben-David et al.17 According to Dahlgren et al.18 intrathecal fentanyl-bupivacaine and sufentanil-bupivacaine had prolonged duration of analgesia as compared to the patients receiving intrathecal bupivacaine alone. Chakraborty et al.8 found that the duration of postoperative analgesia was longer in the patients receiving intrathecal bupivacaine-tramadol than the patients receiving only bupivacaine. Parthasarathy and Ravishankar19 found that the duration of postoperative analgesia was significantly longer in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Fentanyl (Group F)</th>
<th>Tramadol (Group T)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onset of sensory block</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.55</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak sensory level</td>
<td>3.77 ± 0.72</td>
<td>5.13 ± 0.77</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of sensory block</td>
<td>314.66 ± 49.25</td>
<td>261.66 ± 27.92</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset of motor block</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.777</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to achieve peak motor block</td>
<td>6.57 ± 1.135</td>
<td>7.03 ± 1.066</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of motor block</td>
<td>412 ± 97.88</td>
<td>301.33 ± 38.75</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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patients receiving intrathecal lignocaine-tramadol than in the patients receiving lignocaine only.

These results are consistent with experimental evidence of a synergistic interaction between spinal opioids and local anesthetics.20-24 This is due to separate mechanisms of action of both the drugs. Intrathecal opioids inhibit nociceptive afferent synaptic transmission via Aδ and C fibers by opening presynaptic potassium channels to inhibit transmitter release and thus reduce calcium influx. There is also a direct postsynaptic effect with hyperpolarization and reduced neuronal activity.25 Local anesthetics work primarily by causing blockade of voltage-gated sodium channels in the axonal membrane and, possibly, a further effect on presynaptic inhibition of calcium channels.26

The comparison of VAS scores between the groups showed that group F had significantly lower scores than group T. The significant difference in VAS scores was found from 3½ hours up to 4½ hours from the subarachnoid block. Three and half hours onward, the patients from tramadol group needed rescue analgesia steadily. Four and half hours onward, some patients from fentanyl group also started requiring rescue analgesia. Therefore, at 5 hours, the means of VAS of two groups became comparable and the difference in VAS scores became nonsignificant.

Injection diclofenac sodium 1.5 mg/kg was used as a rescue analgesic, and requirement of analgesics in 24 hours was monitored. In fentanyl group, 12 patients out of 30 required single analgesic dose whereas in tramadol group, all patients required more than 1 analgesic dose. Eighteen patients from both the groups required 2 doses of analgesics within 24 hours. No patient from group F required 3 doses of analgesic while 12 patients from group T required 3 analgesic doses. The need for other systemic opioid or nonopioid analgesics was reduced and the side effects of them could be averted. Thus, it can be inferred that intrathecal fentanyl added to hyperbaric bupivacaine provides better quality of postoperative analgesia.

In a recent study, Subedi et al27 demonstrated that for cesarean section under subarachnoid block with hyperbaric bupivacaine, intrathecal tramadol 10 mg produces a longer duration of pain relief with a lower incidence of shivering compared to intrathecal fentanyl 10 mg. Afolayan et al28 demonstrated that intrathecal tramadol 25 mg is equipotent with 25 µg of intrathecal fentanyl during bupivacaine subarachnoid block for appendicectomy. Singh29 compared bupivacaine with bupivacaine-tramadol and bupivacaine-fentanyl and found that duration of analgesia is prolonged with both tramadol and fentanyl, but is more prolonged with fentanyl.

Hemodynamic parameters, such as pulse rate and oxygen saturation were comparable in both the groups. The difference of the systolic and diastolic blood pressures between fentanyl group and tramadol groups was not significant. In both the groups, the systolic and diastolic blood pressures dropped till 40 to 50 minutes and then it steadily increased over the time. No episode of hypotension occurred. The patients did well throughout the observation period. No patient required injection ephedrine for the treatment of hypotension.

All the patients were calm, sleeping comfortably, and responding to verbal commands. Patients from fentanyl group were more sedated than the patients from tramadol group. No patient had any evidence of delayed respiratory depression or hypoxia in both the groups. Biswas et al14 in their study found that there was no respiratory depression or hypoxia in the patients receiving intrathecal fentanyl and intrathecal bupivacaine alone. Chakraborty et al15 found that there was no consequent respiratory depression in the patients receiving intrathecal tramadol and intrathecal bupivacaine alone. Though fentanyl and tramadol act on µ receptors, as fentanyl is more lipophilic, it ascends higher than tramadol and causes more sedation.1 No patient experienced airway compromise or required airway assistance.

All the patients were monitored in the intraoperative and postoperative period for opioid-related side effects. Seven patients from fentanyl group and 14 patients from tramadol group experienced nausea while 3 patients from fentanyl group and 11 patients from tramadol group experienced vomiting. Eleven out of 30 patients complained of pruritus from the fentanyl group. There were no neurological and urological complications noted in the observation period. This finding is supported by Dahlgren et al.18

CONCLUSION

Fentanyl 25 µg, when added to 2.5 mL of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine, confers prolonged duration of sensory and motor blockade than 25 mg tramadol added to 2.5 mL of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine. There was no difference in the onset of sensory and motor blockade. The bupivacaine-fentanyl combination prolonged duration of sensory and motor blockade, improved analgesia, as manifested by lower pain scores, and prolonged duration of postoperative analgesia.
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